

Minimality of difference-differential equations

Wei Li

Academy of Mathematics and Systems Science
Chinese Academy of Sciences

August 4, 2025

Joint work with Thomas Scanlon

When can a function satisfy both a differential equation and a difference equation?

As a rule of thumb, if a function satisfies a nontrivial difference equation, it is difficult for this function to satisfy a nontrivial differential equation.

For example, the fact that the Γ function satisfies the difference equation $\Gamma(t+1) = t\Gamma(t)$ is used in the proof that it is hypertranscendental, that is, satisfies no nontrivial algebraic differential equation over $\mathbb{C}(t)$.

Some functions break this rule.

- $y := f(t) = t$ satisfies $y' = 1$ and $y(t+1) = y(t) + 1$.
- $y := f(t) = \exp(t)$ satisfies $y' = y$ and $y(t+1) = ey(t)$.

When can a function satisfy both a differential equation and a difference equation?

As a rule of thumb, if a function satisfies a nontrivial difference equation, it is difficult for this function to satisfy a nontrivial differential equation.

For example, the fact that the Γ function satisfies the difference equation $\Gamma(t+1) = t\Gamma(t)$ is used in the proof that it is hypertranscendental, that is, satisfies no nontrivial algebraic differential equation over $\mathbb{C}(t)$.

Some functions break this rule.

- $y := f(t) = t$ satisfies $y' = 1$ and $y(t+1) = y(t) + 1$.
- $y := f(t) = \exp(t)$ satisfies $y' = y$ and $y(t+1) = ey(t)$.

When can a function satisfy both a differential equation and a difference equation?

As a rule of thumb, if a function satisfies a nontrivial difference equation, it is difficult for this function to satisfy a nontrivial differential equation.

For example, the fact that the Γ function satisfies the difference equation $\Gamma(t+1) = t\Gamma(t)$ is used in the proof that it is hypertranscendental, that is, satisfies no nontrivial algebraic differential equation over $\mathbb{C}(t)$.

Some functions break this rule.

- $y := f(t) = t$ satisfies $y' = 1$ and $y(t+1) = y(t) + 1$.
- $y := f(t) = \exp(t)$ satisfies $y' = y$ and $y(t+1) = ey(t)$.

When can a function satisfy both a differential equation and a difference equation?

As a rule of thumb, if a function satisfies a nontrivial difference equation, it is difficult for this function to satisfy a nontrivial differential equation.

For example, the fact that the Γ function satisfies the difference equation $\Gamma(t+1) = t\Gamma(t)$ is used in the proof that it is hypertranscendental, that is, satisfies no nontrivial algebraic differential equation over $\mathbb{C}(t)$.

Some functions break this rule.

- $y := f(t) = t$ satisfies $y' = 1$ and $y(t+1) = y(t) + 1$.
- $y := f(t) = \exp(t)$ satisfies $y' = y$ and $y(t+1) = ey(t)$.

Hypertranscendence and linear difference equations

Adamczewski-Dreyfus-Hardouin prove (JAMS, 2021) in various precise senses that solutions to linear difference equations are automatically **hypertranscendental**, *i.e.*, satisfy no nontrivial algebraic differential equations.

For one precise sense, let

- $K = \mathbb{C}(x)$ be the field of rational functions in one variable over the complex numbers,
- F be the field of meromorphic functions, and
- F_0 be any subfield of F closed under the difference operator $\sigma : f(x) \mapsto f(x+1)$ with $F_0^\sigma = \{f \in F_0 : \sigma(f) = f\} = \mathbb{C}$ for which $F_0 \cap \mathbb{C}(x, \{\exp(\lambda x) : \lambda \in \mathbb{C}\}) = \mathbb{C}(x)$.

Then, if $f \in F_0$ satisfies a nontrivial linear difference equation with coefficients from K , either $f \in K$ or f is hypertranscendental.

Hypertranscendence and linear difference equations

Adamczewski-Dreyfus-Hardouin prove (JAMS, 2021) in various precise senses that solutions to linear difference equations are automatically **hypertranscendental**, *i.e.*, satisfy no nontrivial algebraic differential equations.

For one precise sense, let

- $K = \mathbb{C}(x)$ be the field of rational functions in one variable over the complex numbers,
- F be the field of meromorphic functions, and
- F_0 be any subfield of F closed under the difference operator $\sigma : f(x) \mapsto f(x+1)$ with $F_0^\sigma = \{f \in F_0 : \sigma(f) = f\} = \mathbb{C}$ for which $F_0 \cap \mathbb{C}(x, \{\exp(\lambda x) : \lambda \in \mathbb{C}\}) = \mathbb{C}(x)$.

Then, if $f \in F_0$ satisfies a nontrivial linear difference equation with coefficients from K , either $f \in K$ or f is hypertranscendental.

Dependence on the field of solutions

The exceptional difference-differential field generated by rational functions and exponentials is necessary. Can we change K , the difference-differential field over which (linear) difference equations are defined, and F , the difference-differential field in which solutions are taken to obtain a similar hypertranscendence result?

In general, no. Algebraically, linear difference equations are compatible with arbitrarily complicated algebraic differential equations.

Dependence on the field of solutions

The exceptional difference-differential field generated by rational functions and exponentials is necessary. Can we change K , the difference-differential field over which (linear) difference equations are defined, and F , the difference-differential field in which solutions are taken to obtain a similar hypertranscendence result?

In general, no. Algebraically, linear difference equations are compatible with arbitrarily complicated algebraic differential equations.

Nonlinear variants

For the case already considered of difference equations over $\mathbb{C}(x)$ with solutions in the field of meromorphic functions, it remains a challenge to determine to what extent being a transcendental solution to a **nonlinear** difference equation forces hypertranscendence.

We consider a complementary problem: if y is a solution of (nonlinear) algebraic differential equations, under what conditions can we obtain algebraicity / difference-transcendence dichotomy for y ?

We answer this question in the case that the differential equations define a *strongly minimal set* relative to the theory of differentially closed fields.

Nonlinear variants

For the case already considered of difference equations over $\mathbb{C}(x)$ with solutions in the field of meromorphic functions, it remains a challenge to determine to what extent being a transcendental solution to a **nonlinear** difference equation forces hypertranscendence.

We consider a complementary problem: if y is a solution of (nonlinear) algebraic differential equations, under what conditions can we obtain algebraicity / difference-transcendence dichotomy for y ?

We answer this question in the case that the differential equations define a *strongly minimal set* relative to the theory of differentially closed fields.

Nonlinear variants

For the case already considered of difference equations over $\mathbb{C}(x)$ with solutions in the field of meromorphic functions, it remains a challenge to determine to what extent being a transcendental solution to a **nonlinear** difference equation forces hypertranscendence.

We consider a complementary problem: if y is a solution of (nonlinear) algebraic differential equations, under what conditions can we obtain algebraicity / difference-transcendence dichotomy for y ?

We answer this question in the case that the differential equations define a ***strongly minimal set*** relative to the theory of differentially closed fields.

Difference-differential fields

By a **difference-differential-field (or σ - δ -field)**, we mean a field K of characteristic zero equipped with

- a field endomorphism $\sigma : K \rightarrow K$ (i.e. $\sigma(x + y) = \sigma(x) + \sigma(y)$ and $\sigma(xy) = \sigma(x)\sigma(y)$) and
- a derivation $\delta : K \rightarrow K$ (i.e. $\delta(x + y) = \delta(x) + \delta(y)$) and $\delta(xy) = x\delta(y) + \delta(x)y$

for which δ and σ commute. Denote by (K, σ, δ) .

Example: $(\mathbb{C}(x), \frac{d}{dx}, \sigma : f(x) \rightarrow f(x+1))$

- Field of differential constants: $C_K := \{x \in K : \delta(x) = 0\}$;
- σ -Fixed field: $K^\sigma := \{x \in K : \sigma(x) = x\}$.

If we require merely that K is a commutative ring, then we say that (K, σ, δ) is a σ - δ -ring.

Difference-differential fields

By a **difference-differential-field (or σ - δ -field)**, we mean a field K of characteristic zero equipped with

- a field endomorphism $\sigma : K \rightarrow K$ (i.e. $\sigma(x + y) = \sigma(x) + \sigma(y)$ and $\sigma(xy) = \sigma(x)\sigma(y)$) and
- a derivation $\delta : K \rightarrow K$ (i.e. $\delta(x + y) = \delta(x) + \delta(y)$) and $\delta(xy) = x\delta(y) + \delta(x)y$

for which δ and σ commute. Denote by (K, σ, δ) .

Example: $(\mathbb{C}(x), \frac{d}{dx}, \sigma : f(x) \rightarrow f(x + 1))$

- Field of differential constants: $C_K := \{x \in K : \delta(x) = 0\}$;
- σ -Fixed field: $K^\sigma := \{x \in K : \sigma(x) = x\}$.

If we require merely that K is a commutative ring, then we say that (K, σ, δ) is a σ - δ -ring.

Difference-differential fields

By a **difference-differential-field (or σ - δ -field)**, we mean a field K of characteristic zero equipped with

- a field endomorphism $\sigma : K \rightarrow K$ (i.e. $\sigma(x + y) = \sigma(x) + \sigma(y)$ and $\sigma(xy) = \sigma(x)\sigma(y)$) and
- a derivation $\delta : K \rightarrow K$ (i.e. $\delta(x + y) = \delta(x) + \delta(y)$) and $\delta(xy) = x\delta(y) + \delta(x)y$

for which δ and σ commute. Denote by (K, σ, δ) .

Example: $(\mathbb{C}(x), \frac{d}{dx}, \sigma : f(x) \rightarrow f(x + 1))$

- Field of differential constants: $C_K := \{x \in K : \delta(x) = 0\}$;
- σ -Fixed field: $K^\sigma := \{x \in K : \sigma(x) = x\}$.

If we require merely that K is a commutative ring, then we say that (K, σ, δ) is a σ - δ -ring.

Difference-differential fields

By a **difference-differential-field (or σ - δ -field)**, we mean a field K of characteristic zero equipped with

- a field endomorphism $\sigma : K \rightarrow K$ (i.e. $\sigma(x + y) = \sigma(x) + \sigma(y)$ and $\sigma(xy) = \sigma(x)\sigma(y)$) and
- a derivation $\delta : K \rightarrow K$ (i.e. $\delta(x + y) = \delta(x) + \delta(y)$) and $\delta(xy) = x\delta(y) + \delta(x)y$

for which δ and σ commute. Denote by (K, σ, δ) .

Example: $(\mathbb{C}(x), \frac{d}{dx}, \sigma : f(x) \rightarrow f(x + 1))$

- Field of differential constants: $C_K := \{x \in K : \delta(x) = 0\}$;
- σ -Fixed field: $K^\sigma := \{x \in K : \sigma(x) = x\}$.

If we require merely that K is a commutative ring, then we say that (K, σ, δ) is a σ - δ -ring.

Difference-differential polynomial equations and varieties

Given a σ - δ -field K and a tuple $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ of variables, the σ - δ -ring $K\{x\}_{\sigma,\delta}$ of σ - δ -polynomials in x with coefficients from K is the free σ - δ -ring generated over K by x . Concretely,

$$K\{x\}_{\sigma,\delta} = K[\sigma^i \delta^j(x_\ell) : i, j \in \mathbb{N}; 1 \leq \ell \leq n].$$

- Let (L, σ, δ) be a σ - δ -field extending K and $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in L^n$. There exists a unique σ - δ -homomorphism over K ,

$$\phi_a : K\{x\}_{\sigma,\delta} \longrightarrow L \quad \text{with} \quad \phi_a(\sigma^i \delta^j(x_i)) = \sigma^i \delta^j(a_i) \text{ and } \phi_a|_K = id.$$

For $f \in \text{Ker}(\phi_a)$, a is a solution of f and denote $f(a) = 0$.

- Given $\Sigma \subseteq K\{x\}_{\sigma,\delta}$, $X = \mathbb{V}(\Sigma)$ is the σ - δ -variety defined by Σ ; and for a σ - δ -field (L, σ, δ) extending K , write

$$X(L) := \{a \in L^n : f(a) = 0 \text{ for all } f \in \Sigma\}.$$

Difference-differential polynomial equations and varieties

Given a σ - δ -field K and a tuple $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ of variables, the σ - δ -ring $K\{x\}_{\sigma, \delta}$ of σ - δ -polynomials in x with coefficients from K is the free σ - δ -ring generated over K by x . Concretely,

$$K\{x\}_{\sigma, \delta} = K[\sigma^i \delta^j(x_\ell) : i, j \in \mathbb{N}; 1 \leq \ell \leq n].$$

- Let (L, σ, δ) be a σ - δ -field extending K and $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in L^n$. There exists a unique σ - δ -homomorphism over K ,

$$\phi_a : K\{x\}_{\sigma, \delta} \longrightarrow L \quad \text{with} \quad \phi_a(\sigma^i \delta^j(x_i)) = \sigma^i \delta^j(a_i) \text{ and } \phi_a|_K = id.$$

For $f \in \text{Ker}(\phi_a)$, a is a solution of f and denote $f(a) = 0$.

- Given $\Sigma \subseteq K\{x\}_{\sigma, \delta}$, $X = \mathbb{V}(\Sigma)$ is the σ - δ -variety defined by Σ ; and for a σ - δ -field (L, σ, δ) extending K , write

$$X(L) := \{a \in L^n : f(a) = 0 \text{ for all } f \in \Sigma\}.$$

The theory of DCF_0 and theory of DCFA_0

- The theory of differential fields (of char 0) admits a model companion, the theory DCF_0 of differentially closed field of char 0.

Blum(1968): Axiomatic of differentially closed fields and elimination of quantifiers in the language $\mathcal{L} = \{+, -, \cdot, 0, 1, \delta\}$ of differential rings.

One gets the following bijection over a differential field (K, δ) :

$$\phi : S_n(K) \longrightarrow \{I \subset K\{y_1, \dots, y_n\} \text{ prime differential ideals}\}$$

- The theory of σ - δ -fields (of char 0) also admits a model companion, DCFA_0 of σ - δ closed field of char 0 (Hrushovski, Bustamante2005).

DCFA_0 does not enjoy quantifier elimination but a weak quantifier simplification.

Minimality difference-differential varieties

Let K be a σ - δ -field and $X = \mathbb{V}(\Sigma)$ a σ - δ -variety defined over K . We say that X is **minimal** relative to DCFA_0 if

- there is some extension L_0 with $X(L_0)$ infinite, but
- for any tower of extensions $K \subseteq L \subseteq M$ and any solution $x \in X(M)$, either x is algebraic in the sense that $x \in X(L^{\text{alg}})$ or x satisfies no new equations in the sense that $\mathbb{I}_L(x) = \mathbb{I}_K(x) \otimes L$, where $\mathbb{I}_L(x) = \{f \in L\{y\}_{\sigma, \delta} : f(x) = 0\}$.

Minimal varieties and minimal types

There are various subtly distinct model theoretic notions of minimality for minimal varieties, minimal definable sets, minimal types.

- A complete type $\text{tp}(v/K)$ is minimal (or U-rank 1) iff $v \notin K^{\text{alg}}$ but for every forking extension of $\text{tp}(v/K)$ is algebraic, that is, has only finitely many realizations.
- Our definition of a σ - δ -variety being minimal is equivalent to asking that X defines an infinite definable set and every complete type extending X is minimal.

(Non)-examples of minimality

- If $f, g \in K[x]$ and the equations $\sigma(x) = f(x)$ and $\delta(x) = g(x)$ are consistent, then $X = \mathbb{V}(\sigma(x) - f(x), \delta(x) - g(x))$ is minimal.
- The σ - δ -variety $X = \mathbb{V}(\sigma(x) - e\delta(x), \delta^2x - 2\delta x + x)$ defined over $K = \mathbb{C}$ is not minimal. If $L = \mathbb{C}(e^t)$ and $M = \mathbb{C}(t, e^t)$, then $a = te^t \in X(M) \setminus X(L^{\text{alg}})$, but it also belongs to the proper σ - δ -variety defined by the additional equation $\delta x - x = e^t$.

(Non)-examples of minimality

- If $f, g \in K[x]$ and the equations $\sigma(x) = f(x)$ and $\delta(x) = g(x)$ are consistent, then $X = \mathbb{V}(\sigma(x) - f(x), \delta(x) - g(x))$ is minimal.
- The σ - δ -variety $X = \mathbb{V}(\sigma(x) - e\delta(x), \delta^2x - 2\delta x + x)$ defined over $K = \mathbb{C}$ is not minimal. If $L = \mathbb{C}(e^t)$ and $M = \mathbb{C}(t, e^t)$, then $a = te^t \in X(M) \setminus X(L^{\text{alg}})$, but it also belongs to the proper σ - δ -variety defined by the additional equation $\delta x - x = e^t$.

Minimality for differential equations

In the definition of minimality we could work just with σ -equations or just with δ -equations. For example, a δ -variety X over a δ -field K is minimal if

- there is some δ -field extension L with $X(L)$ infinite, but
- for any tower of extensions of δ -fields $K \subseteq L \subseteq M$ and any solution $a \in X(M)$, either a is algebraic in the sense that $a \in X(L^{\text{alg}})$ or a satisfies no new equations in the sense that there is no proper δ -variety $Y \subsetneq X$ defined over L with $a \in Y(M)$.

A **strongly minimal set** is a set X definable over some base K so that for some extension L , $X(L)$ is infinite, but for any extension M of K for every M -(q.f.)definable subset $Y \subseteq X$, either $Y(M)$ or $X(M) \setminus Y(M)$ is finite.

Strongly minimal sets are always minimal.

Minimality for differential equations

In the definition of minimality we could work just with σ -equations or just with δ -equations. For example, a δ -variety X over a δ -field K is minimal if

- there is some δ -field extension L with $X(L)$ infinite, but
- for any tower of extensions of δ -fields $K \subseteq L \subseteq M$ and any solution $a \in X(M)$, either a is algebraic in the sense that $a \in X(L^{\text{alg}})$ or a satisfies no new equations in the sense that there is no proper δ -variety $Y \subsetneq X$ defined over L with $a \in Y(M)$.

A **strongly minimal set** is a set X definable over some base K so that for some extension L , $X(L)$ is infinite, but for any extension M of K for every M -(q.f.)definable subset $Y \subseteq X$, either $Y(M)$ or $X(M) \setminus Y(M)$ is finite.

Strongly minimal sets are always minimal.

Examples of strongly minimal δ -varieties

- For any irreducible polynomial $f(x, y)$ in two variables, the δ -variety $X = \mathbb{V}(f(x, \delta x))$ is strongly minimal.
- By work of Jaoui (ANT, 2022) for $K = \mathbb{C}$ and $f(x, y)$ and $g(x, y)$ sufficiently general polynomials in two variables, the δ -variety $X = \mathbb{V}(\delta x_1 - f(x_1, x_2), \delta x_2 - g(x_1, x_2))$ is strongly minimal.
- The Schwarzian differential equation satisfied by Klein's j -function,

$$x^2(x - 1728)^2(2\delta^3 x \delta x - 3(\delta^2 x)^2) + (x^2 - 1968x + 2654208)(\delta x)^4 = 0$$

defines a strongly minimal set.

Examples of strongly minimal δ -varieties

- For any irreducible polynomial $f(x, y)$ in two variables, the δ -variety $X = \mathbb{V}(f(x, \delta x))$ is strongly minimal.
- By work of Jaoui (ANT, 2022) for $K = \mathbb{C}$ and $f(x, y)$ and $g(x, y)$ sufficiently general polynomials in two variables, the δ -variety $X = \mathbb{V}(\delta x_1 - f(x_1, x_2), \delta x_2 - g(x_1, x_2))$ is strongly minimal.
- The Schwarzian differential equation satisfied by Klein's j -function,

$$x^2(x - 1728)^2(2\delta^3 x \delta x - 3(\delta^2 x)^2) + (x^2 - 1968x + 2654208)(\delta x)^4 = 0$$

defines a strongly minimal set.

Examples of strongly minimal δ -varieties

- For any irreducible polynomial $f(x, y)$ in two variables, the δ -variety $X = \mathbb{V}(f(x, \delta x))$ is strongly minimal.
- By work of Jaoui (ANT, 2022) for $K = \mathbb{C}$ and $f(x, y)$ and $g(x, y)$ sufficiently general polynomials in two variables, the δ -variety $X = \mathbb{V}(\delta x_1 - f(x_1, x_2), \delta x_2 - g(x_1, x_2))$ is strongly minimal.
- The Schwarzian differential equation satisfied by Klein's j -function,

$$x^2(x - 1728)^2(2\delta^3 x \delta x - 3(\delta^2 x)^2) + (x^2 - 1968x + 2654208)(\delta x)^4 = 0$$

defines a strongly minimal set.

δ -varieties as σ - δ -varieties

If X is a δ -variety, then we may consider the δ -equations defining X as σ - δ -equations so that X may also be regarded a σ - δ -variety.

If X is minimal as a δ -variety, does it remain minimal as a σ - δ -variety?

In general, no. For example, if $X = \mathbb{V}(\delta x)$, then for any σ - δ -field K , $X(K)$ is just the field of δ -constants and every difference field may be realized in this form. Thus, there are many inequivalent difference equations consistent with X .

δ -varieties as σ - δ -varieties

If X is a δ -variety, then we may consider the δ -equations defining X as σ - δ -equations so that X may also be regarded a σ - δ -variety.

If X is minimal as a δ -variety, does it remain minimal as a σ - δ -variety?

In general, no. For example, if $X = \mathbb{V}(\delta x)$, then for any σ - δ -field K , $X(K)$ is just the field of δ -constants and every difference field may be realized in this form. Thus, there are many inequivalent difference equations consistent with X .

δ -varieties as σ - δ -varieties

If X is a δ -variety, then we may consider the δ -equations defining X as σ - δ -equations so that X may also be regarded a σ - δ -variety.

If X is minimal as a δ -variety, does it remain minimal as a σ - δ -variety?

In general, no. For example, if $X = \mathbb{V}(\delta x)$, then for any σ - δ -field K , $X(K)$ is just the field of δ -constants and every difference field may be realized in this form. Thus, there are many inequivalent difference equations consistent with X .

(Non)orthogonality

If X and Y are two strongly minimal sets defined over some K , then we say that X and Y are **not almost-orthogonal** over K , written $X \not\perp_K^a Y$, if there is some strongly minimal set $Z \subseteq X \times Y$ defined over K for which the projections to each of X and Y miss at most finitely many points.

We say that X and Y are **nonorthogonal**, written $X \not\perp Y$, if there is some extension L so that $X \not\perp_L^a Y$.

(Non)orthogonality

If X and Y are two strongly minimal sets defined over some K , then we say that X and Y are **not almost-orthogonal** over K , written $X \not\perp_K^a Y$, if there is some strongly minimal set $Z \subseteq X \times Y$ defined over K for which the projections to each of X and Y miss at most finitely many points.

We say that X and Y are **nonorthogonal**, written $X \not\perp Y$, if there is some extension L so that $X \not\perp_L^a Y$.

Triviality

A strongly minimal set X defined over K is **geometrically trivial** if for any tower of extensions $K \subseteq L \subseteq M$ and distinct v_1, \dots, v_n from $X(M)$, $L\langle v_1, \dots, v_n \rangle^{alg} = \bigcup_{i=1}^n L\langle v_i \rangle^{alg}$. That is, if (v_1, \dots, v_n) satisfies some new relations over L not implied by their individual membership in X , then there is some new binary relation.

Examples:

- The differential equation $y' = y^3 - y^2$ defines a geometrically trivial strongly minimal set (Kolchin/Rosenlicht/Shelah, 1974)
- The Painleve equation $P_{II}(\alpha): y'' = 2y^3 + ty + \alpha$ is geometrically trivial strongly minimal for $\alpha \notin 1/2 + \mathbb{Z}$ (Nagloo-Pillay, 2011).
- All generic differential equations are geometrically trivial strongly minimal sets (Develbiss-Freitag, 2021).

Trichotomy

Strongly minimal sets (and even just minimal types) satisfy the Zilber trichotomy relative to the theory of differentially closed fields of characteristic zero.

Theorem (Hrushovski-Sokolović, 1994)

Let X be a strongly minimal set in a differentially closed field \mathcal{U} . Then exactly one of the following holds:

- (1) (Field-like) X is non-orthogonal to constants $C_{\mathcal{U}}$;
- (2) (Group-like) X is non-orthogonal to a very special strongly minimal subgroup of an abelian variety which does not descend to $C_{\mathcal{U}}$;
- (3) (trivial) X is geometrically trivial.

Remark. If $\text{ord}(X) := \max\{\text{tr.deg} K\langle a \rangle / K : a \in X\} = 1$, then X satisfies either for (1) or (3); if $\text{ord}(X) > 1$, then X satisfies either for (2) or (3).

General principle of geometrically trivial and strongly minimality differential equations

Theorem. Let X be a strongly minimal and geometrically trivial differential variety with respect to $\text{DCF}_{0,K}$ where K is an algebraically closed σ - δ field. Then X is minimal as a σ - δ -variety with respect to $\text{DCFA}_{0,K}$. Precisely, for any tower of σ - δ -fields $K \subset L \subset M$ and any $a \in X(M)$, either $a \in X(L^{\text{alg}})$ or $\mathbb{I}_{\sigma,\delta}(a/L) = \mathbb{I}_{\sigma,\delta}(a/K) \otimes L$.

Totally disintegrated differential equations

Definition. Let X be a geometrically trivial and strongly minimal set defined over K relative to DCF_0 . X is said to be **totally disintegrated** if for any $y \in X$, we have

$$\text{acl}_X(K, y) = K\langle y \rangle^{\text{alg}} \cap X = \{y\}.$$

Theorem. Let X be a totally disintegrated set defined by a δ -polynomial equation over an algebraic closed σ - δ -field K . If L is any σ - δ -field extension of K and $a \in X(L)$, then one of the following holds:

- (1) $a \in X(K)$;
- (2) there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$, a differential bi-rational map $\phi : X \longrightarrow X^{\sigma^n}$ such that $\sigma^n(a) = \phi(a)$;
- (3) a is differencely transcendental, i.e., satisfies no nontrivial σ -equation over K .

Strictly minimal sets

Definition. A strongly minimal set X defined over K relative to DCF_0 is said to be **strictly minimal** over K if for any definable equivalence relation E on X , all but finitely many of the E -equivalence classes have size one.

- Test for strictly minimality of rational 1-forms on \mathbb{P}^1 via residues (Hrushovski-Itai, 2003)

Theorem. Let K be a σ - δ -field of characteristic 0 and $f(y) \in C_K[y]$ be a monic polynomial of degree $n \geq 3$. Assume $\mathbb{V}(y' - f(y))$ is strictly minimal relative to DCF_0 . If ξ is a solution of $y' - f(y) = 0$, then ξ is either algebraic over K , or differencely transcendental over K , unless¹ $f^{\sigma^m}(y) = c \cdot f(\frac{y-d}{c}) + d'$ for some $m \geq 1$, $c, d \in K$ with $c^{n-1} = 1$.

¹Here, $f^{\sigma^m}(y)$ is the polynomial in $K[y]$ obtained from $f(y)$ by acting σ^m to the coefficients of f .

Strictly minimal sets

Definition. A strongly minimal set X defined over K relative to DCF_0 is said to be **strictly minimal** over K if for any definable equivalence relation E on X , all but finitely many of the E -equivalence classes have size one.

- Test for strictly minimality of rational 1-forms on \mathbb{P}^1 via residues (Hrushovski-Itai, 2003)

Theorem. Let K be a σ - δ -field of characteristic 0 and $f(y) \in C_K[y]$ be a monic polynomial of degree $n \geq 3$. Assume $\mathbb{V}(y' - f(y))$ is strictly minimal relative to DCF_0 . If ξ is a solution of $y' - f(y) = 0$, then ξ is either algebraic over K , or differencely transcendental over K , unless¹
 $f^{\sigma^m}(y) = c \cdot f(\frac{y-d}{c}) + d'$ for some $m \geq 1$, $c, d \in K$ with $c^{n-1} = 1$.

¹Here, $f^{\sigma^m}(y)$ is the polynomial in $K[y]$ obtained from $f(y)$ by acting σ^m to the coefficients of f .

Computational example: $y' = y^3 + a_2y^2 + a_1y + a_0$

Theorem. Let K be a σ - δ -field of characteristic 0 with $a \in C_K$. Let ξ be a transcendental solution of $y' = y^3 + a$. Then we have the following:

- (1) If $a \notin \{\sigma^n(a) : n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}\}$, then ξ is differencely transcendental over K .
- (2) If $\sigma^n(a) = a$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, then either ξ is differencely transcendental over K or ξ satisfies $\sigma^n(y) - y = 0$.

For general monic cubic polynomial $f(y) = y^3 + a_2y^2 + a_1y + a_0$ irreducible over $\mathbb{Q}(a_2, a_1, a_0)$ with $a_i \in C_K$, we have:

Theorem. If $f^{\sigma^n}(y) \neq \pm f(\pm y + b) + b'$ for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ and $b \in K$, then any solution ξ of the differential equation $y' = f(y)$ is either algebraic or differencely transcendental over K .

Computational example: $y' = y^3 + a_2y^2 + a_1y + a_0$

Theorem. Let K be a σ - δ -field of characteristic 0 with $a \in C_K$. Let ξ be a transcendental solution of $y' = y^3 + a$. Then we have the following:

- (1) If $a \notin \{\sigma^n(a) : n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}\}$, then ξ is differencely transcendental over K .
- (2) If $\sigma^n(a) = a$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, then either ξ is differencely transcendental over K or ξ satisfies $\sigma^n(y) - y = 0$.

For general monic cubic polynomial $f(y) = y^3 + a_2y^2 + a_1y + a_0$ irreducible over $\mathbb{Q}(a_2, a_1, a_0)$ with $a_i \in C_K$, we have:

Theorem. If $f^{\sigma^n}(y) \neq \pm f(\pm y + b) + b'$ for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ and $b \in K$, then any solution ξ of the differential equation $y' = f(y)$ is either algebraic or differencely transcendental over K .

Generalizations / questions

The general principle of geometrically trivial strongly minimal sets also holds relative to $\text{DCF}_{0,m}$ and $\text{DCFA}_{0,m}$, the theory of Δ -closed field of char 0 with m commuting derivations $\Delta = \{\delta_1, \dots, \delta_m\}$ and the σ - Δ closed field of char 0.

Questions:

- If X is minimal as a σ -variety, does it remain minimal as a σ - δ -variety?
- If X is minimal as a δ_1 -variety, does it remain minimal as a $\{\delta_1, \delta_2\}$ -variety?

Generalizations / questions

The general principle of geometrically trivial strongly minimal sets also holds relative to $\text{DCF}_{0,m}$ and $\text{DCFA}_{0,m}$, the theory of Δ -closed field of char 0 with m commuting derivations $\Delta = \{\delta_1, \dots, \delta_m\}$ and the σ - Δ closed field of char 0.

Questions:

- If X is minimal as a σ -variety, does it remain minimal as a σ - δ -variety?
- If X is minimal as a δ_1 -variety, does it remain minimal as a $\{\delta_1, \delta_2\}$ -variety?

Thanks!