

# 第一讲：递归论基础

喻良

南京大学数学学院

July 3, 2025



# Baire and Cantor space

Baire space is a topology space over  $\omega^\omega$  and Cantor space is over  $2^\omega$ . They both are "Euclid spaces" for logicians. Note that Cantor space is compact (Konig lemma).

We identify  $x \subseteq \omega$  with its characteristic function  $x \in 2^\omega$ .

Lebesgue measure over  $2^\omega$ .

$$x \oplus y(2n) = x(n) \text{ and } x \oplus y(2n + 1) = y(n).$$

# Arithmetical hierarchy

## Definition

- ① The set  $A$  of bounded formulas in the arithmetic language is the smallest set of the formulas containing the Boolean combination of all atomic formulas and so that
  - If  $\varphi \in A$ , then  $\exists u < v \varphi \in A$ ;
  - If  $\varphi \in A$ , then  $\neg \varphi \in A$ .
- ② A formula  $\varphi$  is  $\Sigma_0^0$  (or  $\Sigma_0^0$ ) if it is bounded.
- ③ A formula  $\varphi$  is  $\Sigma_{n+1}^0$  if there is a  $\Pi_n^0$  formula  $\psi$  so that  $\varphi$  is of the form  $\exists \vec{u} \psi$ .
- ④ A formula  $\varphi$  is  $\Pi_{n+1}^0$  if there is a  $\Sigma_n^0$  formula  $\psi$  so that  $\varphi$  is of the form  $\forall \vec{u} \psi$ .

# Second order arithmetical hierarchy

## Definition

- ① A formula  $\varphi$  is  $\Sigma_1^1$  if there is a  $\Pi_1^0$  formula  $\psi$  so that  $\varphi$  is of the form  $\exists f \in \omega^\omega \forall n \psi(f \upharpoonright n, m)$ .
- ② A formula  $\varphi$  is  $\Sigma_{n+1}^1$  if there is a  $\Pi_n^1$  formula  $\psi$  so that  $\varphi$  is of the form  $\exists \vec{u} \psi$ .
- ③ A formula  $\varphi$  is  $\Pi_{n+1}^1$  if there is a  $\Sigma_n^1$  formula  $\psi$  so that  $\varphi$  is of the form  $\forall \vec{u} \psi$ .

# Definable sets

## Definition

Given a collection of formulas  $\Gamma$ , a set  $x \subseteq \omega$  (or  $A \subseteq \omega^\omega$ ) is called  $\Gamma$ -definable, if there is a formula  $\varphi \in \Gamma$  so that  $x = \{n \mid \varphi(n)\}$  (or  $A = \{x \mid \varphi(x)\}$ ).

A set  $x \subset \omega$  is  $\Delta_n^0$  (or  $\Delta_n^1$ ) if it is both  $\Sigma_n^0$  (  $\Sigma_n^1$  ) and  $\Pi_n^0$  ( $\Pi_n^1$ ).

# Some basic facts

## Proposition

- *All the arithmetical definable sets are both  $\Sigma_1^1$  and  $\Pi_1^1$ -definable.*
- *The hierarchy does not collapse.*

# Recursive functions

## Definition

A function  $f: \omega^\omega \rightarrow \omega^\omega$  is (partial-) recursive if there is a (partial-) recursive function  $\Phi: \omega^{<\omega} \rightarrow \omega^{<\omega}$  so that

- $\sigma \preceq \tau$  implies  $\Phi(\sigma) \preceq \Phi(\tau)$ ; and
- $\forall x \bigcup_n \Phi(x \upharpoonright n) = f(x)$ .

The definition can be generalized to multiple dimensions in an obvious way.

# Turing reduction and Turing degrees

## Definition

We say that  $x \leq_T y$  for  $x, y \in 2^\omega$ , or  $x$  is Turing reducible to  $y$  (or  $x$  is  $y$ -recursive), if there is a partial recursive function  $\Phi$  so that  $\Phi(y) = x$ . If  $\Phi$  is recursive, then we say that  $x \leq_{tt} y$ , or truth table reducible to  $y$ .

We say that  $x \equiv_T y$  if  $x \leq_T y$  and  $y \leq_T x$ . The equivalence class  $[x]_T$  is called as a Turing degree.

We use  $\mathbf{0}$  to denote the Turing degree of recursive sets and  $\mathbf{0}'$  to denote the Turing degree of Halting problem.



# Basic facts on Turing degrees

## Proposition

- $\mathbf{x} \vee \mathbf{y}$ , the least upper bound of Turing degrees  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{y}$ , is the degree of  $x \oplus y$ .
- Any countable set of Turing degrees has an upper bound.

# $\Delta_2^0$ -reals (I)

## Proposition

TFAE

- (1)  $x \subseteq \omega$  is  $\Delta_2^0$  ;
- (2)  $x \leq_T \emptyset'$ ;
- (3) There is a recursive function  $f: \omega^2 \rightarrow 2$  so that  $\forall n x(n) = \lim_s f(s, n)$ .

Proof.

(1) implies (2): By the fact that every r.e. set is Turing reducible to  $\emptyset'$ .



## $\Delta_2^0$ -reals (II)

(2) implies (3). Then there is a partial recursive function  $\Phi$  so that for every  $n$ , there is some  $m_n$  so that  $\Phi(\emptyset' \upharpoonright m_n) = x \upharpoonright n$ . Define  $f(s, n)$  to be the value of  $\Phi(\emptyset'[s] \upharpoonright s)(n)$ , where  $\emptyset'[s]$  is  $\emptyset'$  enumerated at stage  $s$ .  
(3) implies (1).  $x$  is  $\Sigma_2^0$  since  $x(n) = 1$  if and only if  $\exists s \forall t \geq s f(t, n) = 1$ .  $x$  is also  $\Pi_2^0$  since  $x(n) = 1$  if and only if  $\forall s \exists t \geq s f(t, n) = 1$ .

# Relativized hierarchy

Both the arithmetical and second order arithmetical hierarchy can be relativized.

$x'$  is halting problem relative to  $x$ . So  $x <_T x'$ .

A set of reals is open if and only if it is  $\Sigma_1^0(x)$  for some  $x$ .

All the arithmetical definable sets are Borel.

## Proposition

*Every continuous function  $f: \omega^\omega \rightarrow \omega^\omega$  is a recursive function relativized to some  $x$ .*

# Relativization principle

If a result is proved, then the relativization follows.

For example, a set of numbers is recursive if it is  $\Sigma_1^0$  and  $\Pi_1^0$ . Then for any  $x$ , a set of numbers is  $x$ -recursive if it is  $\Sigma_1^0(x)$  and  $\Pi_1^0(x)$ .

And there is an r.e. set  $U \subseteq \omega$  so that for any r.e. set  $W$ , there is some  $e$  so that  $W = \{n \mid \langle e, n \rangle \in U\}$ . Then this also holds for any  $x$ -r.e. set.

# Lowness and highness

## Definition

- A real  $x$  is low if  $x' \equiv_T \emptyset'$ ; A real  $x$  is high if  $x' \equiv_T \emptyset''$ .
- A real  $x$  is generalized low if  $x' \equiv_T x \oplus \emptyset'$ .

# Upward closure.

Theorem (Sacks; de Leeuw, Moore, Shannon, and Shapiro)

*Given a non-recursive real  $x \in 2^\omega$ , the set  $\{y \in 2^\omega \mid x \leq_T y\}$  is null.*

Proof.

The set  $\{y \in 2^\omega \mid x \leq_T y\}$  is Borel and so must be measurable. Further more  $\{y \in 2^\omega \mid x \leq_T y\} = \bigcup_e A_e$ , where  $A_e = \{y \mid \Phi_e(y) = x\}$ . Since each  $A_e$  is Borel, there must be some  $e$  so that  $A_e$  has positive measure. By Lebesgue density, there must be some  $\sigma$  so that  $A_e \cap [\sigma] = \{y \succ \sigma \mid \Phi_e(y) = x\}$  has measure greater than  $\frac{3}{4}2^{-|\sigma|}$ . To decide  $x(n)$ , find sufficiently many  $\tau$ 's so that  $\{\tau \succ \sigma \mid \Phi_e(\tau)(n)\}$  share a common value over  $n$  and has measure greater than  $2^{-|\sigma|-1}$ . Then the value is  $x(n)$ . □

# Kleene-Post theorem (I)

## Theorem (Kleene-Post)

*There are two reals  $x$  and  $y$  Turing reducible to  $\emptyset'$  so that they are Turing incomparable.*

We  $\emptyset'$ -recursively build two sequences  $\sigma_0 \prec \sigma_1 \cdots$  and  $\tau_0 \prec \tau_1 \cdots$  so that  $x = \bigcup_s \sigma_s$  and  $y = \bigcup_s \tau_s$  with the required property as follows.

At stage  $s+1$ ,



# Kleene-Post theorem (II)

Substep (1). If there are  $\sigma', \sigma'' \succ \sigma_s$  and some  $n_0 > |\tau_s|$  so that  $\Phi_s(\sigma')(n_0) \neq \Phi_s(\sigma'')(n_0)$ . Let  $\tau' \succ \tau_s$  so that  $\Phi_s(\sigma')(n_0) \neq \tau'(n_0)$ . Go to next step. Otherwise, go to next substep.

Substep (2). If there are  $\tau'', \tau''' \succ \tau'$  and some  $n_1 > |\sigma'|$  so that  $\Phi_s(\tau'')(n_1) \neq \Phi_s(\tau''')(n_1)$ . Let  $\tau_{s+1} = \tau''$  and  $\sigma_{s+1} \succ \sigma'$  so that  $\Phi_s(\tau_{s+1})(n_1) \neq \sigma_{s+1}(n_1)$ . Otherwise, go to next step.

# Jump inversion theorem

## Theorem (Friedberg)

*For any real  $x \geq_T \emptyset'$ , there is a real  $g$  so that  $g' \equiv_T g \oplus \emptyset' \equiv_T x$ .*

## Proof.

We build a sequence  $\sigma_0 \prec \sigma_1 \cdots$  as follows:

At step  $s+1$ , check whether there is some  $\tau \succ \sigma_s \hat{x}(s)$  so that  $\Phi_s(\tau)(s) \downarrow$ .

If yes, let  $\sigma_{s+1}$  be the shortest such  $\tau$ . Otherwise, let  $\sigma_{s+1} = \sigma_s \hat{x}(s)$ .

Let  $g = \bigcup_s \sigma_s$ .

The construction can be decoded by both  $g \oplus \emptyset'$  and  $x$ . So  $g' \equiv_T g \oplus \emptyset' \equiv_T x$ . □

# On $\Pi_1^0$ -sets

## Proposition

Given a  $\Pi_1^0$ -set  $A \subset \omega^\omega$ , there is a recursive tree  $T \subseteq \omega^{<\omega}$  so that  $A = [T] = \{x \in \omega^\omega \mid \forall n x \upharpoonright n \in T\}$ .

## Proof.

The complement of  $A$  is a  $\Sigma_1^0$  set.

At stage 0,  $T_s = 2^{<\omega}$ .

At stage  $s+1$ , if we find some  $\sigma$  enters the complement of  $A$ , then cut all of  $\tau \succeq \sigma$  with length at least  $s+1$  from  $T_s$ .

Then  $T = \lim_s T_s$  is recursive and  $[T] = A$ . □

So, by compactness, if  $x$  is an isolated point in a  $\Pi_1^0$  set  $A \subset 2^\omega$ , then  $x$  is recursive.

# Kreisel's basis theorem

## Theorem (Kreisel)

*If  $A \subseteq 2^\omega$  is a nonempty  $\Pi_1^0$ -set, then there must be some real  $x \in A$  with  $x \leq_T \emptyset'$ .*

## Proof.

By the compactness, define  $x$  to be the leftmost infinite path through the recursive tree. □

# Low basis theorem (I)

## Theorem (Jockusch and Soare)

*If  $A \subseteq 2^\omega$  is a nonempty  $\Pi_1^0$ -set, then there must be some real  $x \in A$  with  $x' \leq_T \emptyset'$ .*

We  $\emptyset'$ -recursively build a sequence recursive infinite trees  $T = T_0 \supseteq T_1 \cdots$  and finite segments  $\sigma_0 \prec \sigma_1 \cdots$  from  $T_s$  as follows:  
At stage  $s+1$ , check whether there are infinitely many  $\tau \succ \sigma_s$  in  $T_s$  so that  $\Phi_s(\tau)(s)$  is undefined.  
Case (1). Yes. Let  $\sigma_{s+1} = \sigma_s$  and  $T_{s+1} = \{\tau \mid \tau \text{ is comparable with } \sigma_s \wedge \Phi_s(\tau)(s) \uparrow\}$ .

# Low basis theorem (II)

Case (2). No. By compactness, find some  $n$  so that for each  $\sigma \in T_s$  with length  $n$  extending  $\sigma_s$ ,  $\Phi_s(\sigma)(s) \downarrow$ .  $\emptyset'$ -recursively find  $\sigma_{s+1} \in T_s$  extending with length  $n$  so that  $T_{s+1} = \{\tau \succ \sigma_{s+1} \mid \tau \in T_s\}$  is infinite.

$x = \bigcup_s \sigma_s$  is an infinite path through  $T$  with required property.

# Domination property (1)

Given two  $f, g \in \omega^\omega$ , we say that  $f$  dominates  $g$  if  $\exists m \forall n \geq m f(n) \geq g(n)$ .

## Theorem (Kucera)

*If  $x$  is a nonrecursive  $\Delta_2^0$  real, then there is function  $f \leq_T x$  that is not dominated by any recursive function.*

Since  $x$  is  $\Delta_2^0$ , there is a recursive function  $\hat{x}$  so that  $\forall n \lim_s \hat{x}(s, n) = x(n)$ . Define  $f(n)$  to be least stage  $s$  so that for each  $m \leq n$ ,  $\hat{x}(s, m) = x(m)$ .  $f$  is  $x$ -recursive. Suppose that  $f$  is dominated by a recursive increasing function  $g$ . Define  $g^{(i+1)} = g(g^{(i)})$  for each  $i \in \omega$ .

## Domination property (2)

Then for any  $n$ , there must be some  $i$  so that for any  $m \leq n$  and  $\forall s \in [g^{(i)}(m), g^{(i+1)}(m)) \hat{x}(s, m) = \hat{x}(s+1, m)$ . Then  $\hat{x}(g^{(i)}(m), m) = x(m)$  for each  $m \leq n$ .

Then  $x$  must be recursive, a contradiction.



# HiF basis theorem (I)

A real  $x$  is hyperimmune-free if each function  $f \leq_T x$  is dominated by a recursive function.

Theorem (Jockusch and Soare)

*Given a nonempty  $\Pi_1^0$  set  $A$ , there is a hyperimmune-free real  $x \in A$ .*

We build a sequence recursive infinite trees  $T = T_0 \supseteq T_1 \cdots$  and finite segments  $\sigma_0 \prec \sigma_1 \cdots$  from  $T_s$  as follows:

At stage  $s+1$ , check whether there is some  $n$  so that there are infinitely many  $\tau \succ \sigma_s$  in  $T_s$  so that  $\Phi_s(\tau)(n)$  is undefined.

Case (1). Yes. Let  $T_{s+1} = \{\tau \mid \tau \text{ is comparable with } \sigma_s \wedge \Phi_s(\tau)(n) \uparrow\}$  and  $\sigma_{s+1} \succ \sigma_s$  has infinitely many extensions in  $T_{s+1}$  with length at least  $s+1$ .

Case (2). No. For each  $n$ , recursively find some  $m$  so that for each  $\sigma \in T_s$  with length  $m$ ,  $\Phi_s(\sigma)(n) \downarrow$ . Let  $g_s(n)$  be the sum of all of the values. Define  $T_{s+1} = T$  and  $\sigma_{s+1} \succ \sigma$  has infinitely many

# HiF basis theorem (II)

Case (2). No. For each  $n$ , recursively find some  $m$  so that for each  $\sigma \in T_s$  with length  $m$ ,  $\Phi_s(\sigma)(n) \downarrow$ . Let  $g_s(n)$  be the sum of all of the values. Define  $T_{s+1} = T_s$  and  $\sigma_{s+1} \succ \sigma_s$  has infinitely many extensions in  $T_{s+1}$  with length at least  $s+1$ . Let  $x = \bigcup_s \sigma_s$  be an infinite path through  $T$ .

# Recursion theorem and *DNR*-functions

## Theorem (Kleene)

For any  $x$ -recursive function  $f: \omega \rightarrow \omega$ , there is some  $e$  so that  $\Phi_e^x = \Phi_{f(e)}^x$ , where  $\{\Phi_e^x\}_e$  is an  $x$ -effective enumeration of  $x$ -partial recursive functions.

## Definition

A function  $f$  is diagonally non-recursive (or *DNR*-) function if there is no  $e$  so that  $\Phi_e = \Phi_{f(e)}$ .

Clearly there is a *DNR*-function.

# DNR-function

## Proposition (Jockusch)

*Given a real  $x$ , there is a DNR-function  $f \leq_T x$  if and only if there is some  $g \leq_T x$  so that  $\forall e g(e) \neq \Phi_e(e)$ .*

## Proof.

Given  $f$  be a DNR-function. Let  $d$  be a recursive function so that  $\Phi_{d(e)} = \Phi_{\Phi_e(e)}$ . Then let  $g = f(d)$ . Then for all  $e$ ,  $\Phi_{f(d(e))} \neq \Phi_{d(e)} = \Phi_{\Phi_e(e)}$ . So  $g(e) \neq \Phi_e(e)$ .

Let  $\theta$  be a partial recursive function so that  $\theta(e) \in W_e$  if  $W_e \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $q$  be a recursive function so that  $\Phi_{q(e)} = \theta(e)$  and  $W_{f(e)} = \{g(q(e))\}$ . We prove that  $W_{f(e)} \neq W_e$  for all  $e$ . Otherwise,  $W_{f(e_0)} = W_{e_0}$ . Then  $\Phi_{q(e_0)}(q(e_0)) = \theta(e_0) = g(q(e_0))$ .



# Some properties of *DNR*-functions

## Theorem (Arslanov)

*If  $x$  is an r.e. real and is of *DNR*-degree, then  $x \equiv_T \emptyset'$ .*

## Lemma

*The set  $\{x \in 2^\omega \mid x \in \text{DNR}\}$  is  $\Pi_1^0$ .*

So there is a high *DNR* real and a low *DNR*-real.

# Minimal degrees (I)

## Theorem (Spector)

*There is a minimal degree  $\mathbf{x}$ . I.e. a non-recursive real  $x$  so that for any real  $y <_T x$ ,  $y$  is recursive.*

We build a sequence recursive perfect tree  $T_0 = 2^{<\omega} \supseteq T_1 \supset \cdots$  with roots  $\sigma_0 = \emptyset \prec \sigma_1 \prec \cdots$  respectively as follows:

At stage  $s+1$ ,

Case(1). There is some  $\tau \succeq \sigma_s$  in  $T_s$  and some  $n$  so that  $\forall \nu \succeq \tau (\nu \in T_s \rightarrow \Phi_s(\nu)(n) \uparrow)$ . Let  $\sigma_{s+1} = \tau$  and  $T_{s+1} = \{\nu \mid \nu \text{ is comparable with } \sigma_{s+1} \wedge \nu \in T_s\}$ .

## Minimal degrees (II)

Case(2). There is some  $\tau \succeq \sigma_s$  in  $T_s$  and some  $n$  so that  $\forall m \geq n \forall \nu_0 \succeq \tau \forall \nu_1 \succeq \tau (\nu_0 \in T_s \wedge \nu_1 \in T_s \wedge \Phi_s(\nu_0)(m) \downarrow \wedge \Phi_s(\nu_1)(m) \downarrow \rightarrow \Phi_s(\nu_0)(m) = \Phi_s(\nu_1)(m))$ . Let  $\sigma_{s+1} = \tau$ . Then one can build a recursive perfect subtree  $T_{s+1}$  of  $T_s$  so that for any infinite path  $z$  through  $T_{s+1}$ ,  $\Phi_s(x)(m)$  is defined everywhere. And actually they all must be recursive.

Case (3). Otherwise. Then one can build a recursive perfect subtree  $T_{s+1}$  of  $T_s$  so that for different infinite paths  $z_0, z_1$  through  $T_{s+1}$ , both  $\Phi_s(z_0)$  and  $\Phi_s(z_1)$  are defined everywhere but they are different functions. So for every  $z \in [T_s]$ ,  $z \leq_T \Phi_s(z)$ . Let  $\sigma_{s+1}$  be the root of  $T_{s+1}$ .

Set  $x = \bigcup_s \sigma_s$ .

# References

- 1 Turing Computability: Theory and Applications, Robert Soare.



# Exercise

- ①  $x \leq_{tt} y$  if and only if there is a recursive function  $\Phi$  and a recursive function  $f: \omega \rightarrow \omega$  so that  $\forall n \Phi(y \upharpoonright f(n)) = x \upharpoonright n$ .
- ② Given a non-recursive real  $x \in 2^\omega$ , the set  $\{y \in 2^\omega \mid x \leq_T y\}$  is meager.
- ③ Low basis theorem fails for a  $\Pi_1^0$  subset of  $\omega^\omega$ .
- ④ There is a hyperimmune-free *DNR*-real  $x \leq_T \emptyset''$ .
- ⑤ If  $x \in 2^\omega$  is *DNR*, then every nonempty  $\Pi_1^0$  subset of  $2^\omega$  contains a member Turing below  $x$ .
- ⑥ How many minimal degrees are there?
- ⑦ Given a countable set of reals  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \omega}$ , there is a some real  $z$  Turing above all of them but there is no real  $y <_T z$  so that  $y$  is Turing above all of them.