

Scott complexity of countable structures

Antonio Montalbán

U.C. Berkeley

August 2021

2021 Fudan Logic Summer School
Shanghai

Complexity of countable structures

Complexity of countable structures

A *structure* consists of a set (called the domain) on which we have constants, operations and relations.

Complexity of countable structures

A *structure* consists of a set (called the domain) on which we have constants, operations and relations.

Examples

- The group $(\mathbb{Q}; 0, +)$.

Complexity of countable structures

A *structure* consists of a set (called the domain) on which we have constants, operations and relations.

Examples

- The group $(\mathbb{Q}; 0, +)$.
- The linear ordering $(\mathbb{N}; \leq)$.

Complexity of countable structures

A *structure* consists of a set (called the domain) on which we have constants, operations and relations.

Examples

- The group $(\mathbb{Q}; 0, +)$.
- The linear ordering $(\mathbb{N}; \leq)$.
- The ring $(\mathbb{Q}[x]; 0, 1, +, \times)$.

Complexity of countable structures

A *structure* consists of a set (called the domain) on which we have constants, operations and relations.

Examples

- The group $(\mathbb{Q}; 0, +)$.
- The linear ordering $(\mathbb{N}; \leq)$.
- The ring $(\mathbb{Q}[x]; 0, 1, +, \times)$.
- The ordered ring $(\mathbb{Z}; 0, 1, +, \times, \leq)$.

Complexity of countable structures

A *structure* consists of a set (called the domain) on which we have constants, operations and relations.

Examples

- The group $(\mathbb{Q}; 0, +)$.
 - The linear ordering $(\mathbb{N}; \leq)$.
 - The ring $(\mathbb{Q}[x]; 0, 1, +, \times)$.
 - The ordered ring $(\mathbb{Z}; 0, 1, +, \times, \leq)$.
-

Complexity of countable structures

A *structure* consists of a set (called the domain) on which we have constants, operations and relations.

Examples

- The group $(\mathbb{Q}; 0, +)$.
 - The linear ordering $(\mathbb{N}; \leq)$.
 - The ring $(\mathbb{Q}[x]; 0, 1, +, \times)$.
 - The ordered ring $(\mathbb{Z}; 0, 1, +, \times, \leq)$.
-

Some structure are more complicated than others.

Complexity of countable structures

A *structure* consists of a set (called the domain) on which we have constants, operations and relations.

Examples

- The group $(\mathbb{Q}; 0, +)$.
 - The linear ordering $(\mathbb{N}; \leq)$.
 - The ring $(\mathbb{Q}[x]; 0, 1, +, \times)$.
 - The ordered ring $(\mathbb{Z}; 0, 1, +, \times, \leq)$.
-

Some structure are more complicated than others.

Can we measure this complexity? How?

Three aspects of complexity

Three aspects of complexity

Orbit complexity:

How complex are the automorphism orbits of tuples.

Three aspects of complexity

Orbit complexity:

How complex are the automorphism orbits of tuples.

Identification complexity:

How difficult is it to recognize the structure from other structures?

Three aspects of complexity

Orbit complexity:

How complex are the automorphism orbits of tuples.

Identification complexity:

How difficult is it to recognize the structure from other structures?

Isomorphism complexity:

How complex are isomorphisms between representations of the structure?

Three aspects of complexity

Orbit complexity:

How complex are the **automorphism orbits** of tuples.

Identification complexity:

How difficult is it to **recognize** the structure from other structures?

Isomorphism complexity:

How complex are **isomorphisms** between representations of the structure?

Three tools used to measure complexity:

Three aspects of complexity

Orbit complexity:

How complex are the automorphism orbits of tuples.

Identification complexity:

How difficult is it to recognize the structure from other structures?

Isomorphism complexity:

How complex are isomorphisms between representations of the structure?

Three tools used to measure complexity:

- Back-and-forth relations
- Borel/Baire complexity
- Infinitary formulas

Three aspects of complexity

Orbit complexity:

How complex are the automorphism orbits of tuples.

Identification complexity:

How difficult is it to recognize the structure from other structures?

Isomorphism complexity:

How complex are isomorphisms between representations of the structure?

Three tools used to measure complexity:

- Back-and-forth relations for orbit complexity.
- Borel/Baire complexity for identification and isomorphism complexity.
- Infinitary formulas for orbit and identification complexity.

The main theorem

Theorem: [M. 15] Let α be a successor ordinal and \mathcal{A} a countable structure. The following are equivalent:

- All automorphism orbits in \mathcal{A} are Σ_α^{in} -definable.
- The set of representations of \mathcal{A} is $\Pi_{\alpha+1}$ in the Borel hierarchy.
- There is an isomorphism function that is of Baire class $\alpha - 1$.

The main theorem

Theorem: [M. 15] Let α be a successor ordinal and \mathcal{A} a countable structure. The following are equivalent:

- All automorphism orbits in \mathcal{A} are Σ_α^{in} -definable.
- The set of representations of \mathcal{A} is $\Pi_{\alpha+1}$ in the Borel hierarchy.
- There is an isomorphism function that is of Baire class $\alpha - 1$.

Definition: Let $Rank(\mathcal{A})$ be the least such α .

The main theorem

Theorem: [M. 15] Let α be a successor ordinal and \mathcal{A} a countable structure. The following are equivalent:

- All automorphism orbits in \mathcal{A} are Σ_{α}^{in} -definable.
- The set of representations of \mathcal{A} is $\Pi_{\alpha+1}$ in the Borel hierarchy.
- There is an isomorphism function that is of Baire class $\alpha - 1$.

Definition: Let $Rank(\mathcal{A})$ be the least such α .

Other definitions of rank had been proposed: [Scott 65][Sacks 07][Ash–Knight 00]... However, this is the first equivalence theorem.

Technique 1

Technique 1: Back-and-forth relations
for **orbit** complexity.

Ash-Knight's version, in the spirit of Scott's original definition.

Technique 1: The back-and-forth relations

Fix a structure $\mathcal{A} = (A; e_A, *_A, \dots \leq_A, \dots)$.

Technique 1: The back-and-forth relations

Fix a structure $\mathcal{A} = (A; e_A, *_A, \leq_A, ..)$. Consider tuples $\bar{a}, \bar{b} \in A^{<\mathbb{N}}$.

Technique 1: The back-and-forth relations

Fix a structure $\mathcal{A} = (A; e_A, *_A, .. \leq_A, ..)$. Consider tuples $\bar{a}, \bar{b} \in A^{<\mathbb{N}}$.

Idea: For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define relations $\bar{a} \leq_n \bar{b}$ which say that \bar{a} looks like \bar{b} .

Technique 1: The back-and-forth relations

Fix a structure $\mathcal{A} = (A; e_A, *_A, .. \leq_A, ..)$. Consider tuples $\bar{a}, \bar{b} \in A^{<\mathbb{N}}$.

Idea: For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define relations $\bar{a} \leq_n \bar{b}$ which say that \bar{a} looks like \bar{b} .

akRank(\mathcal{A}) = the least n ($\bar{a} \leq_n \bar{b} \implies \bar{a} \cong \bar{b}$) where \cong means automorphic.

Technique 1: The back-and-forth relations

Fix a structure $\mathcal{A} = (A; e_A, *_A, .. \leq_A, ..)$. Consider tuples $\bar{a}, \bar{b} \in A^{<\mathbb{N}}$.

Idea: For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define relations $\bar{a} \leq_n \bar{b}$ which say that \bar{a} looks like \bar{b} .

akRank(\mathcal{A}) = the least n ($\bar{a} \leq_n \bar{b} \implies \bar{a} \cong \bar{b}$) where \cong means automorphic.

Define:

- $\bar{a} \equiv_0 \bar{b} \iff \bar{a}$ and \bar{b} generate isomorphic structures matching \bar{a} and \bar{b}

Technique 1: The back-and-forth relations

Fix a structure $\mathcal{A} = (A; e_A, *_A, .. \leq_A, ..)$. Consider tuples $\bar{a}, \bar{b} \in A^{<\mathbb{N}}$.

Idea: For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define relations $\bar{a} \leq_n \bar{b}$ which say that \bar{a} looks like \bar{b} .

akRank(\mathcal{A}) = the least n ($\bar{a} \leq_n \bar{b} \implies \bar{a} \cong \bar{b}$) where \cong means automorphic.

Define:

- $\bar{a} \equiv_0 \bar{b} \iff \bar{a}$ and \bar{b} generate isomorphic structures matching \bar{a} and \bar{b}
- $\bar{a} \leq_1 \bar{b} \iff \forall \bar{d} \in A^{<\mathbb{N}} \exists \bar{c} \in A^{<\mathbb{N}} \quad \bar{a}\bar{c} \equiv_0 \bar{b}\bar{d}$.

Technique 1: The back-and-forth relations

Fix a structure $\mathcal{A} = (A; e_A, *_A, .. \leq_A, ..)$. Consider tuples $\bar{a}, \bar{b} \in A^{<\mathbb{N}}$.

Idea: For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define relations $\bar{a} \leq_n \bar{b}$ which say that \bar{a} looks like \bar{b} .

akRank(\mathcal{A}) = the least n ($\bar{a} \leq_n \bar{b} \implies \bar{a} \cong \bar{b}$) where \cong means automorphic.

Define:

- $\bar{a} \equiv_0 \bar{b} \iff \bar{a}$ and \bar{b} generate isomorphic structures matching \bar{a} and \bar{b}
- $\bar{a} \leq_1 \bar{b} \iff \forall \bar{d} \in A^{<\mathbb{N}} \exists \bar{c} \in A^{<\mathbb{N}} \quad \bar{a}\bar{c} \equiv_0 \bar{b}\bar{d}$.

Technique 1: The back-and-forth relations

Fix a structure $\mathcal{A} = (A; e_A, *_A, .. \leq_A, ..)$. Consider tuples $\bar{a}, \bar{b} \in A^{<\mathbb{N}}$.

Idea: For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define relations $\bar{a} \leq_n \bar{b}$ which say that \bar{a} looks like \bar{b} .

akRank(\mathcal{A}) = the least n ($\bar{a} \leq_n \bar{b} \implies \bar{a} \cong \bar{b}$) where \cong means automorphic.

Define:

- $\bar{a} \equiv_0 \bar{b} \iff \bar{a}$ and \bar{b} generate isomorphic structures matching \bar{a} and \bar{b}
- $\bar{a} \leq_1 \bar{b} \iff \forall \bar{d} \in A^{<\mathbb{N}} \exists \bar{c} \in A^{<\mathbb{N}} \quad \bar{a}\bar{c} \equiv_0 \bar{b}\bar{d}$.

Technique 1: The back-and-forth relations

Fix a structure $\mathcal{A} = (A; e_A, *_A, .. \leq_A, ..)$. Consider tuples $\bar{a}, \bar{b} \in A^{<\mathbb{N}}$.

Idea: For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define relations $\bar{a} \leq_n \bar{b}$ which say that \bar{a} looks like \bar{b} .

akRank(\mathcal{A}) = the least n ($\bar{a} \leq_n \bar{b} \implies \bar{a} \cong \bar{b}$) where \cong means automorphic.

Define:

- $\bar{a} \equiv_0 \bar{b} \iff \bar{a}$ and \bar{b} generate isomorphic structures matching \bar{a} and \bar{b}
- $\bar{a} \leq_1 \bar{b} \iff \forall \bar{d} \in A^{<\mathbb{N}} \exists \bar{c} \in A^{<\mathbb{N}} \quad \bar{a}\bar{c} \equiv_0 \bar{b}\bar{d}$.

Technique 1: The back-and-forth relations

Fix a structure $\mathcal{A} = (A; e_A, *_A, .. \leq_A, ..)$. Consider tuples $\bar{a}, \bar{b} \in A^{<\mathbb{N}}$.

Idea: For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define relations $\bar{a} \leq_n \bar{b}$ which say that \bar{a} looks like \bar{b} .

akRank(\mathcal{A}) = the least n ($\bar{a} \leq_n \bar{b} \implies \bar{a} \cong \bar{b}$) where \cong means automorphic.

Define:

- $\bar{a} \equiv_0 \bar{b} \iff \bar{a}$ and \bar{b} generate isomorphic structures matching \bar{a} and \bar{b}
- $\bar{a} \leq_1 \bar{b} \iff \forall \bar{d} \in A^{<\mathbb{N}} \exists \bar{c} \in A^{<\mathbb{N}} \quad \bar{a}\bar{c} \equiv_0 \bar{b}\bar{d}$.

Technique 1: The back-and-forth relations

Fix a structure $\mathcal{A} = (A; e_A, *_A, .. \leq_A, ..)$. Consider tuples $\bar{a}, \bar{b} \in A^{<\mathbb{N}}$.

Idea: For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define relations $\bar{a} \leq_n \bar{b}$ which say that \bar{a} looks like \bar{b} .

akRank(\mathcal{A}) = the least n ($\bar{a} \leq_n \bar{b} \implies \bar{a} \cong \bar{b}$) where \cong means automorphic.

Define:

- $\bar{a} \equiv_0 \bar{b} \iff \bar{a}$ and \bar{b} generate isomorphic structures matching \bar{a} and \bar{b}
- $\bar{a} \leq_1 \bar{b} \iff \forall \bar{d} \in A^{<\mathbb{N}} \exists \bar{c} \in A^{<\mathbb{N}} \quad \bar{a}\bar{c} \equiv_0 \bar{b}\bar{d}$.

Examples

- $\bar{a} \equiv_0 \bar{b} \iff \bar{a}$ and \bar{b} generate isomorphic structures matching \bar{a} and \bar{b}
- $\bar{a} \leq_1 \bar{b} \iff \forall \bar{d} \in A^{<\mathbb{N}} \exists \bar{c} \in A^{<\mathbb{N}} \quad \bar{a}\bar{c} \equiv_0 \bar{b}\bar{d}.$

Examples

- $\bar{a} \equiv_0 \bar{b} \iff \bar{a}$ and \bar{b} generate isomorphic structures matching \bar{a} and \bar{b}
- $\bar{a} \leq_1 \bar{b} \iff \forall \bar{d} \in A^{<\mathbb{N}} \exists \bar{c} \in A^{<\mathbb{N}} \quad \bar{a}\bar{c} \equiv_0 \bar{b}\bar{d}.$

Consider the order $(\mathbb{Q}; <)$:

Examples

- $\bar{a} \equiv_0 \bar{b} \iff \bar{a}$ and \bar{b} generate isomorphic structures matching \bar{a} and \bar{b}
- $\bar{a} \leq_1 \bar{b} \iff \forall \bar{d} \in A^{<\mathbb{N}} \exists \bar{c} \in A^{<\mathbb{N}} \quad \bar{a}\bar{c} \equiv_0 \bar{b}\bar{d}$.

Consider the order $(\mathbb{Q}; <)$:

- $(a_1, \dots, a_k) \equiv_0 (b_1, \dots, b_k) \iff (\forall i, j < k) \quad a_i < a_j \leftrightarrow b_i < b_j$.

Examples

- $\bar{a} \equiv_0 \bar{b} \iff \bar{a}$ and \bar{b} generate isomorphic structures matching \bar{a} and \bar{b}
- $\bar{a} \leq_1 \bar{b} \iff \forall \bar{d} \in A^{<\mathbb{N}} \exists \bar{c} \in A^{<\mathbb{N}} \quad \bar{a}\bar{c} \equiv_0 \bar{b}\bar{d}.$

Consider the order $(\mathbb{Q}; <)$:

- $(a_1, \dots, a_k) \equiv_0 (b_1, \dots, b_k) \iff (\forall i, j < k) \quad a_i < a_j \leftrightarrow b_i < b_j.$
- $\iff (a_1, \dots, a_k) \cong_{\mathbb{Q}} (b_1, \dots, b_k).$

Examples

- $\bar{a} \equiv_0 \bar{b} \iff \bar{a}$ and \bar{b} generate isomorphic structures matching \bar{a} and \bar{b}
- $\bar{a} \leq_1 \bar{b} \iff \forall \bar{d} \in A^{<\mathbb{N}} \exists \bar{c} \in A^{<\mathbb{N}} \quad \bar{a}\bar{c} \equiv_0 \bar{b}\bar{d}$.

Consider the order $(\mathbb{Q}; <)$:

- $(a_1, \dots, a_k) \equiv_0 (b_1, \dots, b_k) \iff (\forall i, j < k) \ a_i < a_j \leftrightarrow b_i < b_j$.
- $\iff (a_1, \dots, a_k) \cong_{\mathbb{Q}} (b_1, \dots, b_k)$.

Thus, $\bar{a} \equiv_0 \bar{b} \iff \bar{a} \cong \bar{b}$, and $akRank(\mathbb{Q}; <) = 0$.

Examples

- $\bar{a} \equiv_0 \bar{b} \iff \bar{a}$ and \bar{b} generate isomorphic structures matching \bar{a} and \bar{b}
- $\bar{a} \leq_1 \bar{b} \iff \forall \bar{d} \in A^{<\mathbb{N}} \exists \bar{c} \in A^{<\mathbb{N}} \quad \bar{a}\bar{c} \equiv_0 \bar{b}\bar{d}.$

Examples

- $\bar{a} \equiv_0 \bar{b} \iff \bar{a}$ and \bar{b} generate isomorphic structures matching \bar{a} and \bar{b}
- $\bar{a} \leq_1 \bar{b} \iff \forall \bar{d} \in A^{<\mathbb{N}} \exists \bar{c} \in A^{<\mathbb{N}} \quad \bar{a}\bar{c} \equiv_0 \bar{b}\bar{d}.$

Consider the order $(\mathbb{Z}; <)$:

Examples

- $\bar{a} \equiv_0 \bar{b} \iff \bar{a}$ and \bar{b} generate isomorphic structures matching \bar{a} and \bar{b}
- $\bar{a} \leq_1 \bar{b} \iff \forall \bar{d} \in A^{<\mathbb{N}} \exists \bar{c} \in A^{<\mathbb{N}} \quad \bar{a}\bar{c} \equiv_0 \bar{b}\bar{d}.$

Consider the order $(\mathbb{Z}; <)$:

- $(a_1, \dots, a_k) \equiv_0 (b_1, \dots, b_k) \iff (\forall i, j < k) \quad a_i < a_j \leftrightarrow b_i < b_j.$

Examples

- $\bar{a} \equiv_0 \bar{b} \iff \bar{a}$ and \bar{b} generate isomorphic structures matching \bar{a} and \bar{b}
- $\bar{a} \leq_1 \bar{b} \iff \forall \bar{d} \in A^{<\mathbb{N}} \exists \bar{c} \in A^{<\mathbb{N}} \quad \bar{a}\bar{c} \equiv_0 \bar{b}\bar{d}$.

Consider the order $(\mathbb{Z}; <)$:

- $(a_1, \dots, a_k) \equiv_0 (b_1, \dots, b_k) \iff (\forall i, j < k) \ a_i < a_j \leftrightarrow b_i < b_j$.
- $\not\iff (a_1, \dots, a_k) \cong_{\mathbb{Z}} (b_1, \dots, b_k)$.

Examples

- $\bar{a} \equiv_0 \bar{b} \iff \bar{a}$ and \bar{b} generate isomorphic structures matching \bar{a} and \bar{b}
- $\bar{a} \leq_1 \bar{b} \iff \forall \bar{d} \in A^{<\mathbb{N}} \exists \bar{c} \in A^{<\mathbb{N}} \quad \bar{a}\bar{c} \equiv_0 \bar{b}\bar{d}.$

Consider the order $(\mathbb{Z}; <)$:

- $(a_1, \dots, a_k) \equiv_0 (b_1, \dots, b_k) \iff (\forall i, j < k) \quad a_i < a_j \leftrightarrow b_i < b_j.$
- $\not\iff (a_1, \dots, a_k) \cong_{\mathbb{Z}} (b_1, \dots, b_k).$
- $(a_1, a_2) \leq_1 (b_1, b_2) \iff$

Examples

- $\bar{a} \equiv_0 \bar{b} \iff \bar{a}$ and \bar{b} generate isomorphic structures matching \bar{a} and \bar{b}
- $\bar{a} \leq_1 \bar{b} \iff \forall \bar{d} \in A^{<\mathbb{N}} \exists \bar{c} \in A^{<\mathbb{N}} \quad \bar{a}\bar{c} \equiv_0 \bar{b}\bar{d}.$

Consider the order $(\mathbb{Z}; <)$:

- $(a_1, \dots, a_k) \equiv_0 (b_1, \dots, b_k) \iff (\forall i, j < k) a_i < a_j \leftrightarrow b_i < b_j.$
- $\not\iff (a_1, \dots, a_k) \cong_{\mathbb{Z}} (b_1, \dots, b_k).$
- $(a_1, a_2) \leq_1 (b_1, b_2) \iff (a_1, a_2) \equiv_0 (b_1, b_2)$ and

Examples

- $\bar{a} \equiv_0 \bar{b} \iff \bar{a}$ and \bar{b} generate isomorphic structures matching \bar{a} and \bar{b}
- $\bar{a} \leq_1 \bar{b} \iff \forall \bar{d} \in A^{<\mathbb{N}} \exists \bar{c} \in A^{<\mathbb{N}} \quad \bar{a}\bar{c} \equiv_0 \bar{b}\bar{d}$.

Consider the order $(\mathbb{Z}; <)$:

- $(a_1, \dots, a_k) \equiv_0 (b_1, \dots, b_k) \iff (\forall i, j < k) a_i < a_j \leftrightarrow b_i < b_j$.
- $\not\iff (a_1, \dots, a_k) \cong_{\mathbb{Z}} (b_1, \dots, b_k)$.
- $(a_1, a_2) \leq_1 (b_1, b_2) \iff (a_1, a_2) \equiv_0 (b_1, b_2)$ and $|a_2 - a_1| \geq |b_2 - b_1|$.

Examples

- $\bar{a} \equiv_0 \bar{b} \iff \bar{a}$ and \bar{b} generate isomorphic structures matching \bar{a} and \bar{b}
- $\bar{a} \leq_1 \bar{b} \iff \forall \bar{d} \in A^{<\mathbb{N}} \exists \bar{c} \in A^{<\mathbb{N}} \quad \bar{a}\bar{c} \equiv_0 \bar{b}\bar{d}$.

Consider the order $(\mathbb{Z}; <)$:

- $(a_1, \dots, a_k) \equiv_0 (b_1, \dots, b_k) \iff (\forall i, j < k) \ a_i < a_j \leftrightarrow b_i < b_j$.
- $\not\iff (a_1, \dots, a_k) \cong_{\mathbb{Z}} (b_1, \dots, b_k)$.
- $(a_1, a_2) \leq_1 (b_1, b_2) \iff (a_1, a_2) \equiv_0 (b_1, b_2)$ and $|a_2 - a_1| \geq |b_2 - b_1|$.
- $\not\iff (a_1, a_2) \cong_{\mathbb{Z}} (b_1, b_2)$.

Examples

- $\bar{a} \equiv_0 \bar{b} \iff \bar{a}$ and \bar{b} generate isomorphic structures matching \bar{a} and \bar{b}
- $\bar{a} \leq_1 \bar{b} \iff \forall \bar{d} \in A^{<\mathbb{N}} \exists \bar{c} \in A^{<\mathbb{N}} \quad \bar{a}\bar{c} \equiv_0 \bar{b}\bar{d}.$

Consider the order $(\mathbb{Z}; <)$:

- $(a_1, \dots, a_k) \equiv_0 (b_1, \dots, b_k) \iff (\forall i, j < k) a_i < a_j \leftrightarrow b_i < b_j.$
- $\not\iff (a_1, \dots, a_k) \cong_{\mathbb{Z}} (b_1, \dots, b_k).$
- $(a_1, a_2) \leq_1 (b_1, b_2) \iff (a_1, a_2) \equiv_0 (b_1, b_2) \text{ and } |a_2 - a_1| \geq |b_2 - b_1|.$
- $\not\iff (a_1, a_2) \cong_{\mathbb{Z}} (b_1, b_2).$

$$\bar{a} \cong \bar{b} \iff \bar{a} \leq_1 \bar{b} \ \& \ \bar{b} \leq_1 \bar{a}.$$

Examples

- $\bar{a} \equiv_0 \bar{b} \iff \bar{a}$ and \bar{b} generate isomorphic structures matching \bar{a} and \bar{b}
- $\bar{a} \leq_1 \bar{b} \iff \forall \bar{d} \in A^{<\mathbb{N}} \exists \bar{c} \in A^{<\mathbb{N}} \quad \bar{a}\bar{c} \equiv_0 \bar{b}\bar{d}$.

Consider the order $(\mathbb{Z}; <)$:

- $(a_1, \dots, a_k) \equiv_0 (b_1, \dots, b_k) \iff (\forall i, j < k) a_i < a_j \leftrightarrow b_i < b_j$.
- $\not\iff (a_1, \dots, a_k) \cong_{\mathbb{Z}} (b_1, \dots, b_k)$.
- $(a_1, a_2) \leq_1 (b_1, b_2) \iff (a_1, a_2) \equiv_0 (b_1, b_2)$ and $|a_2 - a_1| \geq |b_2 - b_1|$.
- $\not\iff (a_1, a_2) \cong_{\mathbb{Z}} (b_1, b_2)$.

$\bar{a} \cong \bar{b} \iff \bar{a} \leq_1 \bar{b} \ \& \ \bar{b} \leq_1 \bar{a}$. Therefore $akRank(\mathbb{Z}; <) = 2$.

Technique 1: The back-and-forth relations

Fix a structure $\mathcal{A} = (A; e_A, *_A, .. \leq_A, ..)$. Consider tuples $\bar{a}, \bar{b} \in A^{<\mathbb{N}}$.

Idea: For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define relations $\bar{a} \leq_n \bar{b}$ which say that \bar{a} looks like \bar{b} .

akRank(\mathcal{A}) = the least n ($\bar{a} \leq_n \bar{b} \implies \bar{a} \cong \bar{b}$) where \cong means automorphic.

Define:

- $\bar{a} \equiv_0 \bar{b} \iff \bar{a}$ and \bar{b} generate isomorphic structures matching \bar{a} and \bar{b}
- $\bar{a} \leq_1 \bar{b} \iff \forall \bar{d} \in A^{<\mathbb{N}} \exists \bar{c} \in A^{<\mathbb{N}} \quad \bar{a}\bar{c} \equiv_0 \bar{b}\bar{d}$.

Technique 1: The back-and-forth relations

Fix a structure $\mathcal{A} = (A; e_A, *_A, .. \leq_A, ..)$. Consider tuples $\bar{a}, \bar{b} \in A^{<\mathbb{N}}$.

Idea: For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define relations $\bar{a} \leq_n \bar{b}$ which say that \bar{a} looks like \bar{b} .

akRank(\mathcal{A}) = the least n ($\bar{a} \leq_n \bar{b} \implies \bar{a} \cong \bar{b}$) where \cong means automorphic.

Define:

- $\bar{a} \equiv_0 \bar{b} \iff \bar{a}$ and \bar{b} generate isomorphic structures matching \bar{a} and \bar{b}
- $\bar{a} \leq_1 \bar{b} \iff \forall \bar{d} \in A^{<\mathbb{N}} \exists \bar{c} \in A^{<\mathbb{N}} \quad \bar{a}\bar{c} \equiv_0 \bar{b}\bar{d}.$
- $\bar{a} \leq_2 \bar{b} \iff \forall \bar{d} \in A^{<\mathbb{N}} \exists \bar{c} \in A^{<\mathbb{N}} \quad \bar{a}\bar{c} \geq_1 \bar{b}\bar{d}.$

Technique 1: The back-and-forth relations

Fix a structure $\mathcal{A} = (A; e_A, *_A, \dots \leq_A, \dots)$. Consider tuples $\bar{a}, \bar{b} \in A^{<\mathbb{N}}$.

Idea: For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define relations $\bar{a} \leq_n \bar{b}$ which say that \bar{a} looks like \bar{b} .

akRank(\mathcal{A}) = the least n ($\bar{a} \leq_n \bar{b} \implies \bar{a} \cong \bar{b}$) where \cong means automorphic.

Define:

- $\bar{a} \equiv_0 \bar{b} \iff \bar{a}$ and \bar{b} generate isomorphic structures matching \bar{a} and \bar{b}
- $\bar{a} \leq_1 \bar{b} \iff \forall \bar{d} \in A^{<\mathbb{N}} \exists \bar{c} \in A^{<\mathbb{N}} \quad \bar{a}\bar{c} \equiv_0 \bar{b}\bar{d}$.
- $\bar{a} \leq_2 \bar{b} \iff \forall \bar{d} \in A^{<\mathbb{N}} \exists \bar{c} \in A^{<\mathbb{N}} \quad \bar{a}\bar{c} \geq_1 \bar{b}\bar{d}$.
- \vdots
- $\bar{a} \leq_{n+1} \bar{b} \iff \forall \bar{d} \in A^{<\mathbb{N}} \exists \bar{c} \in A^{<\mathbb{N}} \quad \bar{a}\bar{c} \geq_n \bar{b}\bar{d}$.
- \vdots

Technique 1: The back-and-forth relations

Fix a structure $\mathcal{A} = (A; e_A, *_A, \dots \leq_A, \dots)$. Consider tuples $\bar{a}, \bar{b} \in A^{<\mathbb{N}}$.

Idea: For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define relations $\bar{a} \leq_n \bar{b}$ which say that \bar{a} looks like \bar{b} .

akRank(\mathcal{A}) = the least n ($\bar{a} \leq_n \bar{b} \implies \bar{a} \cong \bar{b}$) where \cong means automorphic.

Define:

- $\bar{a} \equiv_0 \bar{b} \iff \bar{a}$ and \bar{b} generate isomorphic structures matching \bar{a} and \bar{b}
- $\bar{a} \leq_1 \bar{b} \iff \forall \bar{d} \in A^{<\mathbb{N}} \exists \bar{c} \in A^{<\mathbb{N}} \quad \bar{a}\bar{c} \equiv_0 \bar{b}\bar{d}$.
- $\bar{a} \leq_2 \bar{b} \iff \forall \bar{d} \in A^{<\mathbb{N}} \exists \bar{c} \in A^{<\mathbb{N}} \quad \bar{a}\bar{c} \geq_1 \bar{b}\bar{d}$.
- \vdots
- $\bar{a} \leq_{n+1} \bar{b} \iff \forall \bar{d} \in A^{<\mathbb{N}} \exists \bar{c} \in A^{<\mathbb{N}} \quad \bar{a}\bar{c} \geq_n \bar{b}\bar{d}$.
- \vdots
- $\bar{a} \leq_\omega \bar{b} \iff \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \quad \bar{a} \leq_n \bar{b}$.

Technique 1: The back-and-forth relations

Fix a structure $\mathcal{A} = (A; e_A, *_A, \dots \leq_A, \dots)$. Consider tuples $\bar{a}, \bar{b} \in A^{<\mathbb{N}}$.

Idea: For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define relations $\bar{a} \leq_n \bar{b}$ which say that \bar{a} looks like \bar{b} .

akRank(\mathcal{A}) = the least n ($\bar{a} \leq_n \bar{b} \implies \bar{a} \cong \bar{b}$) where \cong means automorphic.

Define:

- $\bar{a} \equiv_0 \bar{b} \iff \bar{a}$ and \bar{b} generate isomorphic structures matching \bar{a} and \bar{b}
- $\bar{a} \leq_1 \bar{b} \iff \forall \bar{d} \in A^{<\mathbb{N}} \exists \bar{c} \in A^{<\mathbb{N}} \quad \bar{a}\bar{c} \equiv_0 \bar{b}\bar{d}$.
- $\bar{a} \leq_2 \bar{b} \iff \forall \bar{d} \in A^{<\mathbb{N}} \exists \bar{c} \in A^{<\mathbb{N}} \quad \bar{a}\bar{c} \geq_1 \bar{b}\bar{d}$.
- \vdots
- $\bar{a} \leq_{n+1} \bar{b} \iff \forall \bar{d} \in A^{<\mathbb{N}} \exists \bar{c} \in A^{<\mathbb{N}} \quad \bar{a}\bar{c} \geq_n \bar{b}\bar{d}$.
- \vdots
- $\bar{a} \leq_\omega \bar{b} \iff \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \quad \bar{a} \leq_n \bar{b}$.
- $\bar{a} \leq_{\omega+1} \bar{b} \iff \forall \bar{d} \in A^{<\mathbb{N}} \exists \bar{c} \in A^{<\mathbb{N}} \quad \bar{a}\bar{c} \geq_\omega \bar{b}\bar{d}$.

Technique 1: The back-and-forth relations

Fix a structure $\mathcal{A} = (A; e_A, *_A, \dots \leq_A, \dots)$. Consider tuples $\bar{a}, \bar{b} \in A^{<\mathbb{N}}$.

Idea: For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define relations $\bar{a} \leq_n \bar{b}$ which say that \bar{a} looks like \bar{b} .

akRank(\mathcal{A}) = the least n ($\bar{a} \leq_n \bar{b} \implies \bar{a} \cong \bar{b}$) where \cong means automorphic.

Define:

- $\bar{a} \equiv_0 \bar{b} \iff \bar{a}$ and \bar{b} generate isomorphic structures matching \bar{a} and \bar{b}
- $\bar{a} \leq_1 \bar{b} \iff \forall \bar{d} \in A^{<\mathbb{N}} \exists \bar{c} \in A^{<\mathbb{N}} \quad \bar{a}\bar{c} \equiv_0 \bar{b}\bar{d}$.
- $\bar{a} \leq_2 \bar{b} \iff \forall \bar{d} \in A^{<\mathbb{N}} \exists \bar{c} \in A^{<\mathbb{N}} \quad \bar{a}\bar{c} \geq_1 \bar{b}\bar{d}$.
- \vdots
- $\bar{a} \leq_{n+1} \bar{b} \iff \forall \bar{d} \in A^{<\mathbb{N}} \exists \bar{c} \in A^{<\mathbb{N}} \quad \bar{a}\bar{c} \geq_n \bar{b}\bar{d}$.
- \vdots
- $\bar{a} \leq_\omega \bar{b} \iff \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \quad \bar{a} \leq_n \bar{b}$.
- $\bar{a} \leq_{\omega+1} \bar{b} \iff \forall \bar{d} \in A^{<\mathbb{N}} \exists \bar{c} \in A^{<\mathbb{N}} \quad \bar{a}\bar{c} \geq_\omega \bar{b}\bar{d}$.
- \vdots

Ordinals

$0, 1, 2, \dots, \omega, \omega + 1, \omega + 2, \dots, \omega + \omega = \omega^2, \omega^2 + 1, \omega^2 + 2, \dots, \omega^3, \dots, \omega^4,$
 $\dots, \omega \cdot \omega = \omega^2, \dots, \omega^3, \dots, \omega^4, \dots, \omega^\omega, \dots, \omega^{\omega^\omega}, \dots$

Ordinals

$0, 1, 2, \dots, \omega, \omega + 1, \omega + 2, \dots, \omega + \omega = \omega^2, \omega^2 + 1, \omega^2 + 2, \dots, \omega^3, \dots, \omega^4,$
 $\dots, \omega \cdot \omega = \omega^2, \dots, \omega^3, \dots, \omega^4, \dots, \omega^\omega, \dots, \omega^{\omega^\omega}, \dots$

Definition:

A linear ordering $(A; \leq_A)$ is *well-ordered* if every subset has a least element.

Ordinals

$0, 1, 2, \dots, \omega, \omega + 1, \omega + 2, \dots, \omega + \omega = \omega^2, \omega^2 + 1, \omega^2 + 2, \dots, \omega^3, \dots, \omega^4,$
 $\dots, \omega \cdot \omega = \omega^2, \dots, \omega^3, \dots, \omega^4, \dots, \omega^\omega, \dots, \omega^{\omega^\omega}, \dots$

Definition:

A linear ordering $(A; \leq_A)$ is *well-ordered* if every subset has a least element.
An *ordinal* is an isomorphism type of a well-ordering.

Ordinals

$0, 1, 2, \dots, \omega, \omega + 1, \omega + 2, \dots, \omega + \omega = \omega^2, \omega^2 + 1, \omega^2 + 2, \dots, \omega^3, \dots, \omega^4, \dots, \omega \cdot \omega = \omega^2, \dots, \omega^3, \dots, \omega^4, \dots, \omega^\omega, \dots, \omega^{\omega^\omega}, \dots$

Definition:

A linear ordering $(A; \leq_A)$ is *well-ordered* if every subset has a least element. An *ordinal* is an isomorphism type of a well-ordering.

Theorem: Given two well-orderings A and B , one of the following holds:

- A is isomorphic to an initial segment of B
- B is isomorphic to an initial segment of A

Ordinals

$0, 1, 2, \dots, \omega, \omega + 1, \omega + 2, \dots, \omega + \omega = \omega^2, \omega^2 + 1, \omega^2 + 2 \dots, \omega^3, \dots, \omega^4, \dots, \omega \cdot \omega = \omega^2, \dots, \omega^3, \dots, \omega^4, \dots, \omega^\omega, \dots, \omega^{\omega^\omega}, \dots$

Definition:

A linear ordering $(A; \leq_A)$ is *well-ordered* if every subset has a least element. An *ordinal* is an isomorphism type of a well-ordering.

Theorem: Given two well-orderings A and B , one of the following holds:

- A is isomorphic to an initial segment of B
- B is isomorphic to an initial segment of A

The class of ordinals is itself well-ordered by embeddability.

Ordinals

$0, 1, 2, \dots, \omega, \omega + 1, \omega + 2, \dots, \omega + \omega = \omega^2, \omega^2 + 1, \omega^2 + 2, \dots, \omega^3, \dots, \omega^4, \dots, \omega \cdot \omega = \omega^2, \dots, \omega^3, \dots, \omega^4, \dots, \omega^\omega, \dots, \omega^{\omega^\omega}, \dots$

Definition:

A linear ordering $(A; \leq_A)$ is *well-ordered* if every subset has a least element. An *ordinal* is an isomorphism type of a well-ordering.

Theorem: Given two well-orderings A and B , one of the following holds:

- A is isomorphic to an initial segment of B
- B is isomorphic to an initial segment of A

The class of ordinals is itself well-ordered by embeddability.

Transfinite recursion: $(\forall H: \mathcal{X}^{\text{ordinals}} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}) (\exists F: \text{ordinals} \rightarrow \mathcal{X})$

$$F(\alpha) = H(F \upharpoonright \{\beta : \beta < \alpha\})$$

Orbit complexity via back-and-forth relations

Fix a structure $\mathcal{A} = (A; e_A, *_{A}, \dots, \prec_A, \dots)$. Consider tuples $\bar{a}, \bar{b} \in A^{<\mathbb{N}}$.

Orbit complexity via back-and-forth relations

Fix a structure $\mathcal{A} = (A; e_A, *_{A}, \dots, \prec_A, \dots)$. Consider tuples $\bar{a}, \bar{b} \in A^{<\mathbb{N}}$.

Orbit complexity via back-and-forth relations

Fix a structure $\mathcal{A} = (A; e_A, *_A, \dots, \prec_A, \dots)$. Consider tuples $\bar{a}, \bar{b} \in A^{<\mathbb{N}}$.

Define:

- $\bar{a} \equiv_0 \bar{b} \iff \bar{a}$ and \bar{b} generate isomorphic structures matching \bar{a} and \bar{b}

Orbit complexity via back-and-forth relations

Fix a structure $\mathcal{A} = (A; e_A, *_A, \dots, \prec_A, \dots)$. Consider tuples $\bar{a}, \bar{b} \in A^{<\mathbb{N}}$.

Define:

- $\bar{a} \equiv_0 \bar{b} \iff \bar{a}$ and \bar{b} generate isomorphic structures matching \bar{a} and \bar{b}
- $\bar{a} \leq_\alpha \bar{b} \iff (\forall \beta < \alpha) \forall \bar{d} \in A^{<\mathbb{N}} \exists \bar{c} \in A^{<\mathbb{N}} \quad \bar{a}\bar{c} \geq_\beta \bar{b}\bar{d}$.

Orbit complexity via back-and-forth relations

Fix a structure $\mathcal{A} = (A; e_A, *_A, \dots, \prec_A, \dots)$. Consider tuples $\bar{a}, \bar{b} \in A^{<\mathbb{N}}$.

Define:

- $\bar{a} \equiv_0 \bar{b} \iff \bar{a}$ and \bar{b} generate isomorphic structures matching \bar{a} and \bar{b}
- $\bar{a} \leq_\alpha \bar{b} \iff (\forall \beta < \alpha) \forall \bar{d} \in A^{<\mathbb{N}} \exists \bar{c} \in A^{<\mathbb{N}} \quad \bar{a}\bar{c} \geq_\beta \bar{b}\bar{d}$.

Theorem: [Scott '60s]

There exists an ordinal α such that $\forall \bar{a}, \bar{b} \in A^{<\mathbb{N}} (\bar{a} \leq_\alpha \bar{b} \implies \bar{a} \cong \bar{b})$

Orbit complexity via back-and-forth relations

Fix a structure $\mathcal{A} = (A; e_A, *_A, \dots, \prec_A, \dots)$. Consider tuples $\bar{a}, \bar{b} \in A^{<\mathbb{N}}$.

Define:

- $\bar{a} \equiv_0 \bar{b} \iff \bar{a}$ and \bar{b} generate isomorphic structures matching \bar{a} and \bar{b}
- $\bar{a} \leq_\alpha \bar{b} \iff (\forall \beta < \alpha) \forall \bar{d} \in A^{<\mathbb{N}} \exists \bar{c} \in A^{<\mathbb{N}} \quad \bar{a}\bar{c} \geq_\beta \bar{b}\bar{d}$.

Theorem: [Scott '60s]

There exists an ordinal α such that $\forall \bar{a}, \bar{b} \in A^{<\mathbb{N}} (\bar{a} \leq_\alpha \bar{b} \implies \bar{a} \cong \bar{b})$

$akRank(\mathcal{A})$ = the least α ($\bar{a} \leq_\alpha \bar{b} \implies \bar{a} \cong \bar{b}$) where \cong means automorphic.

This is Ash-Knight's version, which is in the style of Scott's original definition, but closer in value to ours.

Orbit complexity via back-and-forth relations

Fix a structure $\mathcal{A} = (A; e_A, *_A, \dots, \prec_A, \dots)$. Consider tuples $\bar{a}, \bar{b} \in A^{<\mathbb{N}}$.

Define:

- $\bar{a} \equiv_0 \bar{b} \iff \bar{a}$ and \bar{b} generate isomorphic structures matching \bar{a} and \bar{b}
- $\bar{a} \leq_\alpha \bar{b} \iff (\forall \beta < \alpha) \forall \bar{d} \in A^{<\mathbb{N}} \exists \bar{c} \in A^{<\mathbb{N}} \quad \bar{a}\bar{c} \geq_\beta \bar{b}\bar{d}$.

Theorem: [Scott '60s]

There exists an ordinal α such that $\forall \bar{a}, \bar{b} \in A^{<\mathbb{N}} (\bar{a} \leq_\alpha \bar{b} \implies \bar{a} \cong \bar{b})$

$akRank(\mathcal{A})$ = the least α ($\bar{a} \leq_\alpha \bar{b} \implies \bar{a} \cong \bar{b}$) where \cong means automorphic.

This is Ash-Knight's version, which is in the style of Scott's original definition, but closer in value to ours.

(Do not read:) In reality we use the **back-and-forth rank**:

$bfRank(\mathcal{A})$ = least $\alpha \quad \forall \bar{a} \in A^{<\mathbb{N}} \exists \bar{a}' \in A^{<\mathbb{N}} \forall \bar{b}\bar{b}' \in A^{<\mathbb{N}}, \quad \bar{a}\bar{a}' \leq_\alpha \bar{b}\bar{b}' \implies \bar{a} \cong_{\mathcal{A}} \bar{b}$

Orbit complexity via back-and-forth relations

Fix a structure $\mathcal{A} = (A; e_A, *_A, \dots, \prec_A, \dots)$. Consider tuples $\bar{a}, \bar{b} \in A^{<\mathbb{N}}$.

Define:

- $\bar{a} \equiv_0 \bar{b} \iff \bar{a}$ and \bar{b} generate isomorphic structures matching \bar{a} and \bar{b}
- $\bar{a} \leq_\alpha \bar{b} \iff (\forall \beta < \alpha) \forall \bar{d} \in A^{<\mathbb{N}} \exists \bar{c} \in A^{<\mathbb{N}} \quad \bar{a}\bar{c} \geq_\beta \bar{b}\bar{d}$.

Theorem: [Scott '60s]

There exists an ordinal α such that $\forall \bar{a}, \bar{b} \in A^{<\mathbb{N}} (\bar{a} \leq_\alpha \bar{b} \implies \bar{a} \cong \bar{b})$

$akRank(\mathcal{A}) =$ the least $\alpha (\bar{a} \leq_\alpha \bar{b} \implies \bar{a} \cong \bar{b})$ where \cong means automorphic.

This is Ash-Knight's version, which is in the style of Scott's original definition, but closer in value to ours.

(Do not read:) In reality we use the **back-and-forth rank**:

$bfRank(\mathcal{A}) =$ least $\alpha \forall \bar{a} \in A^{<\mathbb{N}} \exists \bar{a}' \in A^{<\mathbb{N}} \forall \bar{b}\bar{b}' \in A^{<\mathbb{N}}, \bar{a}\bar{a}' \leq_\alpha \bar{b}\bar{b}' \implies \bar{a} \cong_{\mathcal{A}} \bar{b}$

Lemma: [M. 15] $akRank(\mathcal{A}) \leq bfRank(\mathcal{A}) \leq akRank(\mathcal{A}) + 1$.

Technique 2

Technique 2: Borel/Baire complexity
for **identification** and **isomorphism** complexity.

Technique 2: Representations of structures

Note: Every countable structure is isomorphic to one with domain \mathbb{N} .

Technique 2: Representations of structures

Note: Every countable structure is isomorphic to one with domain \mathbb{N} .

Fix a vocabulary τ .

Technique 2: Representations of structures

Note: Every countable structure is isomorphic to one with domain \mathbb{N} .

Fix a vocabulary τ . Say $\tau = \{e, *, \prec\}$.

Technique 2: Representations of structures

Note: Every countable structure is isomorphic to one with domain \mathbb{N} .

Fix a vocabulary τ . Say $\tau = \{e, *, \prec\}$.

Definition: Let \mathcal{X}_τ be the set of structures on vocabulary τ and domain \mathbb{N} .

Note: $\mathcal{C} \in \mathcal{X}_\tau \iff \mathcal{C}$ is of the form $\mathcal{C} = (\mathbb{N}; e_{\mathcal{C}}, *_{\mathcal{C}}, \prec_{\mathcal{C}})$,

Technique 2: Representations of structures

Note: Every countable structure is isomorphic to one with domain \mathbb{N} .

Fix a vocabulary τ . Say $\tau = \{e, *, \prec\}$.

Definition: Let \mathcal{X}_τ be the set of structures on vocabulary τ and domain \mathbb{N} .

Note: $\mathcal{C} \in \mathcal{X}_\tau \iff \mathcal{C}$ is of the form $\mathcal{C} = (\mathbb{N}; e_{\mathcal{C}}, *_{\mathcal{C}}, \prec_{\mathcal{C}})$,
where $e_{\mathcal{C}} \in \mathbb{N}$, $*_{\mathcal{C}} \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}}$ and $\prec_{\mathcal{C}} \in 2^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}}$.

Technique 2: Representations of structures

Note: Every countable structure is isomorphic to one with domain \mathbb{N} .

Fix a vocabulary τ . Say $\tau = \{e, *, \prec\}$.

Definition: Let \mathcal{X}_τ be the set of structures on vocabulary τ and domain \mathbb{N} .

Note: $\mathcal{C} \in \mathcal{X}_\tau \iff \mathcal{C}$ is of the form $\mathcal{C} = (\mathbb{N}; e_{\mathcal{C}}, *_{\mathcal{C}}, \prec_{\mathcal{C}})$,
where $e_{\mathcal{C}} \in \mathbb{N}$, $*_{\mathcal{C}} \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}}$ and $\prec_{\mathcal{C}} \in 2^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}}$.

$$\mathcal{X}_\tau \cong \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}} \times 2^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}}$$

Technique 2: Representations of structures

Note: Every countable structure is isomorphic to one with domain \mathbb{N} .

Fix a vocabulary τ . Say $\tau = \{e, *, \prec\}$.

Definition: Let \mathcal{X}_τ be the set of structures on vocabulary τ and domain \mathbb{N} .

Note: $\mathcal{C} \in \mathcal{X}_\tau \iff \mathcal{C}$ is of the form $\mathcal{C} = (\mathbb{N}; e_{\mathcal{C}}, *_{\mathcal{C}}, \prec_{\mathcal{C}})$,
where $e_{\mathcal{C}} \in \mathbb{N}$, $*_{\mathcal{C}} \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}}$ and $\prec_{\mathcal{C}} \in 2^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}}$.

$$\mathcal{X}_\tau \cong \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}} \times 2^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathbb{N}^{1 \sqcup \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \sqcup \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}}$$

Technique 2: Representations of structures

Note: Every countable structure is isomorphic to one with domain \mathbb{N} .

Fix a vocabulary τ . Say $\tau = \{e, *, \prec\}$.

Definition: Let \mathcal{X}_τ be the set of structures on vocabulary τ and domain \mathbb{N} .

Note: $\mathcal{C} \in \mathcal{X}_\tau \iff \mathcal{C}$ is of the form $\mathcal{C} = (\mathbb{N}; e_{\mathcal{C}}, *_{\mathcal{C}}, \prec_{\mathcal{C}})$,
where $e_{\mathcal{C}} \in \mathbb{N}$, $*_{\mathcal{C}} \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}}$ and $\prec_{\mathcal{C}} \in 2^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}}$.

$$\mathcal{X}_\tau \cong \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}} \times 2^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathbb{N}^{1 \sqcup \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \sqcup \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}} \cong \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}.$$

Technique 2: Representations of structures

Note: Every countable structure is isomorphic to one with domain \mathbb{N} .

Fix a vocabulary τ . Say $\tau = \{e, *, \prec\}$.

Definition: Let \mathcal{X}_τ be the set of structures on vocabulary τ and domain \mathbb{N} .

Note: $\mathcal{C} \in \mathcal{X}_\tau \iff \mathcal{C}$ is of the form $\mathcal{C} = (\mathbb{N}; e_{\mathcal{C}}, *_{\mathcal{C}}, \prec_{\mathcal{C}})$,
where $e_{\mathcal{C}} \in \mathbb{N}$, $*_{\mathcal{C}} \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}}$ and $\prec_{\mathcal{C}} \in 2^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}}$.

$$\mathcal{X}_\tau \cong \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}} \times 2^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathbb{N}^{1 \sqcup \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \sqcup \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}} \cong \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}.$$

Equip \mathcal{X}_τ with the **topology** from $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ given by the power of the discrete topology.

The space of structures \mathcal{X}_τ

Definition: Let \mathcal{X}_τ be the set of structures on vocabulary τ and domain \mathbb{N} .

\mathcal{X}_τ inherits its topology from $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$.

The space of structures \mathcal{X}_τ

Definition: Let \mathcal{X}_τ be the set of structures on vocabulary τ and domain \mathbb{N} .

\mathcal{X}_τ inherits its topology from $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$.

Definition: For a structure \mathcal{A} , let $\text{Copies}(\mathcal{A}) = \{\mathcal{B} \in \mathcal{X}_\tau : \mathcal{B} \cong \mathcal{A}\}$.

The space of structures \mathcal{X}_τ

Definition: Let \mathcal{X}_τ be the set of structures on vocabulary τ and domain \mathbb{N} .

\mathcal{X}_τ inherits its topology from $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$.

Definition: For a structure \mathcal{A} , let $\text{Copies}(\mathcal{A}) = \{\mathcal{B} \in \mathcal{X}_\tau : \mathcal{B} \cong \mathcal{A}\}$.

Theorem: [Scot 65, Lopez Escobar 65] For every \mathcal{A} , $\text{Copies}(\mathcal{A})$ is Borel.

The space of structures \mathcal{X}_τ

Definition: Let \mathcal{X}_τ be the set of structures on vocabulary τ and domain \mathbb{N} .

\mathcal{X}_τ inherits its topology from $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$.

Definition: For a structure \mathcal{A} , let $\text{Copies}(\mathcal{A}) = \{\mathcal{B} \in \mathcal{X}_\tau : \mathcal{B} \cong \mathcal{A}\}$.

Theorem: [Scot 65, Lopez Escobar 65] For every \mathcal{A} , $\text{Copies}(\mathcal{A})$ is **Borel**.

Recall:

The **Borel** sets are the smallest σ -algebra containing the opens sets.

They are built from the clopen sets using countable unions and intersections.

The space of structures \mathcal{X}_τ

Definition: Let \mathcal{X}_τ be the set of structures on vocabulary τ and domain \mathbb{N} .

\mathcal{X}_τ inherits its topology from $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$.

Definition: For a structure \mathcal{A} , let $\text{Copies}(\mathcal{A}) = \{\mathcal{B} \in \mathcal{X}_\tau : \mathcal{B} \cong \mathcal{A}\}$.

Theorem: [Scot 65, Lopez Escobar 65] For every \mathcal{A} , $\text{Copies}(\mathcal{A})$ is Borel.

Recall:

The **Borel** sets are the smallest σ -algebra containing the opens sets.

They are built from the clopen sets using countable unions and intersections.

We can measure identification complexity of \mathcal{A} in terms of the Borel complexity of $\text{Copies}(\mathcal{A})$.

Depths of Borel sets

Depths of Borel sets

We count alternations of \cup versus \cap .

Depths of Borel sets

We count alternations of \cup versus \cap .

A Σ_n subset of \mathcal{X}_τ (or of $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$):

$$\underbrace{\bigcup_{i_0 \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcap_{i_1 \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcup_{i_2 \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcap_{i_3 \in \mathbb{N}} \cdots}_{n \text{ alternations}} \underbrace{\left(C_{i_0, i_1, \dots, i_n} \right)}_{\text{clopen}}$$

Depths of Borel sets

We count alternations of \cup versus \cap .

A Σ_n subset of \mathcal{X}_τ (or of $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$):

$$\underbrace{\bigcup_{i_0 \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcap_{i_1 \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcup_{i_2 \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcap_{i_3 \in \mathbb{N}} \cdots}_{n \text{ alternations}} \underbrace{\left(C_{i_0, i_1, \dots, i_n} \right)}_{\text{clopen}}$$

A Π_n subset of \mathcal{X}_τ (or of $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$):

$$\underbrace{\bigcap_{i_0 \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcup_{i_1 \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcap_{i_2 \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcup_{i_3 \in \mathbb{N}} \cdots}_{n \text{ alternations}} \underbrace{\left(C_{i_0, i_1, \dots, i_n} \right)}_{\text{clopen}}$$

Depths of Borel sets

We count alternations of \cup versus \cap .

A Σ_n subset of \mathcal{X}_τ (or of $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$):

$$\underbrace{\bigcup_{i_0 \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcap_{i_1 \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcup_{i_2 \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcap_{i_3 \in \mathbb{N}} \cdots}_{n \text{ alternations}} \underbrace{\left(C_{i_0, i_1, \dots, i_n} \right)}_{\text{clopen}}$$

A Π_n subset of \mathcal{X}_τ (or of $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$):

$$\underbrace{\bigcap_{i_0 \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcup_{i_1 \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcap_{i_2 \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcup_{i_3 \in \mathbb{N}} \cdots}_{n \text{ alternations}} \underbrace{\left(C_{i_0, i_1, \dots, i_n} \right)}$$

- Σ_1 same as open

Depths of Borel sets

We count alternations of \cup versus \cap .

A Σ_n subset of \mathcal{X}_τ (or of $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$):

$$\underbrace{\bigcup_{i_0 \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcap_{i_1 \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcup_{i_2 \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcap_{i_3 \in \mathbb{N}} \cdots}_{n \text{ alternations}} \underbrace{\left(C_{i_0, i_1, \dots, i_n} \right)}_{\text{clopen}}$$

A Π_n subset of \mathcal{X}_τ (or of $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$):

$$\underbrace{\bigcap_{i_0 \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcup_{i_1 \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcap_{i_2 \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcup_{i_3 \in \mathbb{N}} \cdots}_{n \text{ alternations}} \underbrace{\left(C_{i_0, i_1, \dots, i_n} \right)}$$

- Σ_1 same as open
- Π_1 same as closed

Depths of Borel sets

We count alternations of \cup versus \cap .

A Σ_n subset of \mathcal{X}_τ (or of $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$):

$$\underbrace{\bigcup_{i_0 \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcap_{i_1 \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcup_{i_2 \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcap_{i_3 \in \mathbb{N}} \cdots}_{n \text{ alternations}} \underbrace{\left(C_{i_0, i_1, \dots, i_n} \right)}_{\text{clopen}}$$

A Π_n subset of \mathcal{X}_τ (or of $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$):

$$\underbrace{\bigcap_{i_0 \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcup_{i_1 \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcap_{i_2 \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcup_{i_3 \in \mathbb{N}} \cdots}_{n \text{ alternations}} \underbrace{\left(C_{i_0, i_1, \dots, i_n} \right)}$$

- Σ_1 same as open
- Π_1 same as closed
- Σ_2 same as F_σ

Depths of Borel sets

We count alternations of \cup versus \cap .

A Σ_n subset of \mathcal{X}_τ (or of $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$):

$$\underbrace{\bigcup_{i_0 \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcap_{i_1 \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcup_{i_2 \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcap_{i_3 \in \mathbb{N}} \cdots}_{n \text{ alternations}} \underbrace{\left(C_{i_0, i_1, \dots, i_n} \right)}_{\text{clopen}}$$

A Π_n subset of \mathcal{X}_τ (or of $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$):

$$\underbrace{\bigcap_{i_0 \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcup_{i_1 \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcap_{i_2 \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcup_{i_3 \in \mathbb{N}} \cdots}_{n \text{ alternations}} \underbrace{\left(C_{i_0, i_1, \dots, i_n} \right)}_{\text{clopen}}$$

- Σ_1 same as open
- Π_1 same as closed
- Σ_2 same as F_σ
- Π_2 same as G_δ

Depths of Borel sets

We count alternations of \cup versus \cap .

A Σ_n subset of \mathcal{X}_τ (or of $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$):

$$\underbrace{\bigcup_{i_0 \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcap_{i_1 \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcup_{i_2 \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcap_{i_3 \in \mathbb{N}} \cdots}_{n \text{ alternations}} \underbrace{\left(C_{i_0, i_1, \dots, i_n} \right)}_{\text{clopen}}$$

A Π_n subset of \mathcal{X}_τ (or of $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$):

$$\underbrace{\bigcap_{i_0 \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcup_{i_1 \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcap_{i_2 \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcup_{i_3 \in \mathbb{N}} \cdots}_{n \text{ alternations}} \underbrace{\left(C_{i_0, i_1, \dots, i_n} \right)}_{\text{clopen}}$$

- Σ_1 same as open
- Π_1 same as closed
- Σ_2 same as F_σ
- Π_2 same as G_δ

$$\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \Pi_n$$

Depths of Borel sets

We count alternations of \cup versus \cap .

A Σ_n subset of \mathcal{X}_τ (or of $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$):

$$\underbrace{\bigcup_{i_0 \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcap_{i_1 \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcup_{i_2 \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcap_{i_3 \in \mathbb{N}} \cdots}_{n \text{ alternations}} \underbrace{\left(C_{i_0, i_1, \dots, i_n} \right)}_{\text{clopen}}$$

A Π_n subset of \mathcal{X}_τ (or of $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$):

$$\underbrace{\bigcap_{i_0 \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcup_{i_1 \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcap_{i_2 \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcup_{i_3 \in \mathbb{N}} \cdots}_{n \text{ alternations}} \underbrace{\left(C_{i_0, i_1, \dots, i_n} \right)}_{\text{clopen}}$$

- Σ_1 same as open
- Π_1 same as closed
- Σ_2 same as F_σ
- Π_2 same as G_δ

$\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \Pi_n$ is not a σ -algebra yet,

Depths of Borel sets

We count alternations of \cup versus \cap .

A Σ_n subset of \mathcal{X}_τ (or of $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$):

$$\underbrace{\bigcup_{i_0 \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcap_{i_1 \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcup_{i_2 \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcap_{i_3 \in \mathbb{N}} \cdots}_{n \text{ alternations}} \underbrace{\left(C_{i_0, i_1, \dots, i_n} \right)}_{\text{clopen}}$$

A Π_n subset of \mathcal{X}_τ (or of $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$):

$$\underbrace{\bigcap_{i_0 \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcup_{i_1 \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcap_{i_2 \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcup_{i_3 \in \mathbb{N}} \cdots}_{n \text{ alternations}} \underbrace{\left(C_{i_0, i_1, \dots, i_n} \right)}_{\text{clopen}}$$

- Σ_1 same as open
- Π_1 same as closed
- Σ_2 same as F_σ
- Π_2 same as G_δ

$\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \Pi_n$ is not a σ -algebra yet, so not all the Borel sets.

ordinal depths of Borel sets

ordinal depths of Borel sets

A Σ_α subset of \mathcal{X}_τ is a countable union of Π_β sets for $\beta < \alpha$

ordinal depths of Borel sets

A Π_α subset of \mathcal{X}_τ is a countable intersection of Σ_β sets for $\beta < \alpha$.

ordinal depths of Borel sets

A Π_α subset of \mathcal{X}_τ is a countable intersection of Σ_β sets for $\beta < \alpha$.

Lemma: Every Borel set is Π_α for some countable ordinal α .

ordinal depths of Borel sets

A Π_α subset of \mathcal{X}_τ is a countable intersection of Σ_β sets for $\beta < \alpha$.

Lemma: Every Borel set is Π_α for some countable ordinal α .

Definition: $\text{Copies}(\mathcal{A}) = \{\mathcal{B} : \mathcal{B} \cong \mathcal{A} \text{ and } \mathcal{B} \text{ has domain } \mathbb{N}\}$.

ordinal depths of Borel sets

A Π_α subset of \mathcal{X}_τ is a countable intersection of Σ_β sets for $\beta < \alpha$.

Lemma: Every Borel set is Π_α for some countable ordinal α .

Definition: $\text{Copies}(\mathcal{A}) = \{\mathcal{B} : \mathcal{B} \cong \mathcal{A} \text{ and } \mathcal{B} \text{ has domain } \mathbb{N}\}$.

Theorem: [Scot 65, Lopez Escobar 65] For every \mathcal{A} , $\text{Copies}(\mathcal{A})$ is Borel.

ordinal depths of Borel sets

A Π_α subset of \mathcal{X}_τ is a countable intersection of Σ_β sets for $\beta < \alpha$.

Lemma: Every Borel set is Π_α for some countable ordinal α .

Definition: $\text{Copies}(\mathcal{A}) = \{\mathcal{B} : \mathcal{B} \cong \mathcal{A} \text{ and } \mathcal{B} \text{ has domain } \mathbb{N}\}$.

Theorem: [Scot 65, Lopez Escobar 65] For every \mathcal{A} , $\text{Copies}(\mathcal{A})$ is Borel.

Definition: Let $\text{BorelRank}(\mathcal{A})$ be the least α such that $\text{Copies}(\mathcal{A})$ is $\Pi_{\alpha+1}$.

ordinal depths of Borel sets

A \prod_α subset of \mathcal{X}_τ is a countable intersection of Σ_β sets for $\beta < \alpha$.

Lemma: Every Borel set is \prod_α for some countable ordinal α .

Definition: $\text{Copies}(\mathcal{A}) = \{\mathcal{B} : \mathcal{B} \cong \mathcal{A} \text{ and } \mathcal{B} \text{ has domain } \mathbb{N}\}$.

Theorem: [Scot 65, Lopez Escobar 65] For every \mathcal{A} , $\text{Copies}(\mathcal{A})$ is Borel.

Definition: Let $\text{BorelRank}(\mathcal{A})$ be the least α such that $\text{Copies}(\mathcal{A})$ is $\prod_{\alpha+1}$.

Theorem: [M. 15] $\text{BorelRank}(\mathcal{A}) = \text{bfRank}(\mathcal{A})$.

ordinal depths of Borel sets

A Π_α subset of \mathcal{X}_τ is a countable intersection of Σ_β sets for $\beta < \alpha$.

Lemma: Every Borel set is Π_α for some countable ordinal α .

Definition: $Copies(\mathcal{A}) = \{\mathcal{B} : \mathcal{B} \cong \mathcal{A} \text{ and } \mathcal{B} \text{ has domain } \mathbb{N}\}$.

Theorem: [Scot 65, Lopez Escobar 65] For every \mathcal{A} , $Copies(\mathcal{A})$ is Borel.

Definition: Let $BorelRank(\mathcal{A})$ be the least α such that $Copies(\mathcal{A})$ is $\Pi_{\alpha+1}$.

Theorem: [M. 15] $BorelRank(\mathcal{A}) = bfRank(\mathcal{A})$.

Example2: $Copies(\mathbb{Q}; <)$ is Π_2 and $Rank(\mathbb{Q}; <) = 1$.

ordinal depths of Borel sets

A Π_α subset of \mathcal{X}_τ is a countable intersection of Σ_β sets for $\beta < \alpha$.

Lemma: Every Borel set is Π_α for some countable ordinal α .

Definition: $Copies(\mathcal{A}) = \{\mathcal{B} : \mathcal{B} \cong \mathcal{A} \text{ and } \mathcal{B} \text{ has domain } \mathbb{N}\}$.

Theorem: [Scot 65, Lopez Escobar 65] For every \mathcal{A} , $Copies(\mathcal{A})$ is Borel.

Definition: Let $BorelRank(\mathcal{A})$ be the least α such that $Copies(\mathcal{A})$ is $\Pi_{\alpha+1}$.

Theorem: [M. 15] $BorelRank(\mathcal{A}) = bfRank(\mathcal{A})$.

Example2: $Copies(\mathbb{Q}; <)$ is Π_2 and $Rank(\mathbb{Q}; <) = 1$.

$Copies(\mathbb{Z}; <)$ is Π_3 and $Rank(\mathbb{Z}; <) = 2$.

Isomorphism funtions

Isomorphism functions

Definition: $F: \text{Copies}(\mathcal{A})^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ is an **isomorphism function** for \mathcal{A} if for any two copies, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D} , of \mathcal{A} with domain \mathbb{N} ,
 $F(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$ is an isomorphism from \mathcal{C} to \mathcal{D} .

Isomorphism functions

Definition: $F: \text{Copies}(\mathcal{A})^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ is an **isomorphism function** for \mathcal{A} if for any two copies, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D} , of \mathcal{A} with domain \mathbb{N} ,
 $F(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$ is an isomorphism from \mathcal{C} to \mathcal{D} .

We can measure isomorphism complexity of \mathcal{A} in terms of its isomorphism function.

Isomorphism functions

Definition: $F: \text{Copies}(\mathcal{A})^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ is an **isomorphism function** for \mathcal{A} if for any two copies, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D} , of \mathcal{A} with domain \mathbb{N} ,
 $F(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$ is an isomorphism from \mathcal{C} to \mathcal{D} .

We can measure isomorphism complexity of \mathcal{A} in terms of its isomorphism function.

Definition: A function is

- of **Baire class 0** if it is continuous.

Isomorphism functions

Definition: $F: \text{Copies}(\mathcal{A})^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ is an **isomorphism function** for \mathcal{A} if for any two copies, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D} , of \mathcal{A} with domain \mathbb{N} ,
 $F(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$ is an isomorphism from \mathcal{C} to \mathcal{D} .

We can measure isomorphism complexity of \mathcal{A} in terms of its isomorphism function.

Definition: A function is

- of **Baire class 0** if it is continuous.
- of **Baire class 1** if it is a pointwise limit of continuous functions.

Isomorphism functions

Definition: $F: \text{Copies}(\mathcal{A})^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ is an **isomorphism function** for \mathcal{A} if for any two copies, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D} , of \mathcal{A} with domain \mathbb{N} ,
 $F(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$ is an isomorphism from \mathcal{C} to \mathcal{D} .

We can measure isomorphism complexity of \mathcal{A} in terms of its isomorphism function.

Definition: A function is

- of **Baire class 0** if it is continuous.
- of **Baire class 1** if it is a pointwise limit of continuous functions.

Isomorphism functions

Definition: $F: \text{Copies}(\mathcal{A})^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ is an **isomorphism function** for \mathcal{A} if for any two copies, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D} , of \mathcal{A} with domain \mathbb{N} ,
 $F(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$ is an isomorphism from \mathcal{C} to \mathcal{D} .

We can measure isomorphism complexity of \mathcal{A} in terms of its isomorphism function.

Definition: A function is

- of **Baire class 0** if it is continuous.
- of **Baire class 1** if it is a pointwise limit of continuous functions.

Isomorphism functions

Definition: $F: \text{Copies}(\mathcal{A})^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ is an **isomorphism function** for \mathcal{A} if for any two copies, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D} , of \mathcal{A} with domain \mathbb{N} ,
 $F(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$ is an isomorphism from \mathcal{C} to \mathcal{D} .

We can measure isomorphism complexity of \mathcal{A} in terms of its isomorphism function.

Definition: A function is

- of **Baire class 0** if it is continuous.
- of **Baire class 1** if it is a pointwise limit of continuous functions.

Examples

Consider the order $(\mathbb{Q}; <)$:

Let $\mathcal{C} = (\mathbb{N}; <_C)$ and $\mathcal{D} = (\mathbb{N}; <_D)$ be two copies of $(\mathbb{Q}; <)$.

Examples

Consider the order $(\mathbb{Q}; <)$:

Let $\mathcal{C} = (\mathbb{N}; <_C)$ and $\mathcal{D} = (\mathbb{N}; <_D)$ be two copies of $(\mathbb{Q}; <)$.
 $(\mathbb{Q}; <)$ has a **continuous** isomorphism function.

Examples

Consider the order $(\mathbb{Q}; <)$:

Let $\mathcal{C} = (\mathbb{N}; <_C)$ and $\mathcal{D} = (\mathbb{N}; <_D)$ be two copies of $(\mathbb{Q}; <)$.
 $(\mathbb{Q}; <)$ has a **continuous** isomorphism function.

Consider the order $(\mathbb{Z}; <)$:

Let $\mathcal{C} = (\mathbb{N}; <_C)$ and $\mathcal{D} = (\mathbb{N}; <_D)$ be two copies of $(\mathbb{Z}; <)$.

Examples

Consider the order $(\mathbb{Q}; <)$:

Let $\mathcal{C} = (\mathbb{N}; <_C)$ and $\mathcal{D} = (\mathbb{N}; <_D)$ be two copies of $(\mathbb{Q}; <)$.
 $(\mathbb{Q}; <)$ has a **continuous** isomorphism function.

Consider the order $(\mathbb{Z}; <)$:

Let $\mathcal{C} = (\mathbb{N}; <_C)$ and $\mathcal{D} = (\mathbb{N}; <_D)$ be two copies of $(\mathbb{Z}; <)$.
 $(\mathbb{Z}; <)$ has an isomorphism function of **Baire class 1**.

Isomorphism funtions

Isomorphism functions

Definition: $F: \text{Copies}(\mathcal{A})^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ is an **isomorphism function** for \mathcal{A} if, for any two $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D} \in \text{Copies}(\mathcal{A})$, $F(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$ is an isomorphism from \mathcal{C} to \mathcal{D} .

Isomorphism functions

Definition: $F: \text{Copies}(\mathcal{A})^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ is an **isomorphism function** for \mathcal{A} if, for any two $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D} \in \text{Copies}(\mathcal{A})$, $F(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$ is an isomorphism from \mathcal{C} to \mathcal{D} .

We can measure isomorphism complexity of \mathcal{A} in terms of its isomorphism function.

Isomorphism functions

Definition: $F: \text{Copies}(\mathcal{A})^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ is an **isomorphism function** for \mathcal{A} if, for any two $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D} \in \text{Copies}(\mathcal{A})$, $F(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$ is an isomorphism from \mathcal{C} to \mathcal{D} .

We can measure isomorphism complexity of \mathcal{A} in terms of its isomorphism function.

Definition: A function is

- of **Baire class 0** if it is continuous.

Isomorphism functions

Definition: $F: \text{Copies}(\mathcal{A})^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ is an **isomorphism function** for \mathcal{A} if, for any two $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D} \in \text{Copies}(\mathcal{A})$, $F(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$ is an isomorphism from \mathcal{C} to \mathcal{D} .

We can measure isomorphism complexity of \mathcal{A} in terms of its isomorphism function.

Definition: A function is

- of **Baire class 0** if it is continuous.
- of **Baire class 1** if it is a pointwise limit of continuous functions.

Isomorphism functions

Definition: $F: \text{Copies}(\mathcal{A})^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ is an **isomorphism function** for \mathcal{A} if, for any two $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D} \in \text{Copies}(\mathcal{A})$, $F(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$ is an isomorphism from \mathcal{C} to \mathcal{D} .

We can measure isomorphism complexity of \mathcal{A} in terms of its isomorphism function.

Definition: A function is

- of **Baire class 0** if it is continuous.
- of **Baire class 1** if it is a pointwise limit of continuous functions.
- of **Baire class 2** if it is a pointwise limit of functions of Baire class 1.
- \vdots

Isomorphism functions

Definition: $F: \text{Copies}(\mathcal{A})^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ is an **isomorphism function** for \mathcal{A} if, for any two $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D} \in \text{Copies}(\mathcal{A})$, $F(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$ is an isomorphism from \mathcal{C} to \mathcal{D} .

We can measure isomorphism complexity of \mathcal{A} in terms of its isomorphism function.

Definition: A function is

- of **Baire class 0** if it is continuous.
- of **Baire class α** if it is a pointwise limit of functions of Baire class β for some $\beta < \alpha$.

Isomorphism functions

Definition: $F: \text{Copies}(\mathcal{A})^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ is an **isomorphism function** for \mathcal{A} if, for any two $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D} \in \text{Copies}(\mathcal{A})$, $F(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$ is an isomorphism from \mathcal{C} to \mathcal{D} .

We can measure isomorphism complexity of \mathcal{A} in terms of its isomorphism function.

Definition: A function is

- of **Baire class 0** if it is continuous.
- of **Baire class α** if it is a pointwise limit of functions of Baire class β for some $\beta < \alpha$.

Definition: Let $\text{BaireRank}(\mathcal{A})$ be the least α such that \mathcal{A} has an **isomorphism function** that is of Baire class $\alpha - 1$.

Isomorphism functions

Definition: $F: \text{Copies}(\mathcal{A})^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ is an **isomorphism function** for \mathcal{A} if, for any two $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D} \in \text{Copies}(\mathcal{A})$, $F(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$ is an isomorphism from \mathcal{C} to \mathcal{D} .

We can measure isomorphism complexity of \mathcal{A} in terms of its isomorphism function.

Definition: A function is

- of **Baire class 0** if it is continuous.
- of **Baire class α** if it is a pointwise limit of functions of Baire class β for some $\beta < \alpha$.

Definition: Let $\text{BaireRank}(\mathcal{A})$ be the least α such that \mathcal{A} has an **isomorphism function** that is of Baire class $\alpha - 1$.

Theorem: [M. 15] $\text{BorelRank}(\mathcal{A}) = \text{BaireRank}(\mathcal{A})$.

Recall: $\text{BorelRank}(\mathcal{A})$ is the least α such that $\text{Copies}(\mathcal{A})$ is $\mathbf{\Pi}_{\alpha+1}$ in the Borel hierarchy.

Technique 3

Technique 3: Infinitary formulas
for **orbit** and **identification** complexity.

Technique 3: The infinitary 1st-order language

Technique 3: The infinitary 1st-order language

A *vocabulary* is a set of *symbols* for constants, functions, and relations.

Technique 3: The infinitary 1st-order language

A *vocabulary* is a set of *symbols* for constants, functions, and relations.

For instance, $\tau = \{0, 1, +, \times, <\}$ is a vocabulary.

Technique 3: The infinitary 1st-order language

A *vocabulary* is a set of *symbols* for constants, functions, and relations.

For instance, $\tau = \{0, 1, +, \times, <\}$ is a vocabulary.

We then have rules to write down formulas using the *vocabulary*, the *logical symbols* $\wedge, \vee, \rightarrow, \neg, \forall, \exists$, and *variable symbols* x, y, z, \dots

Technique 3: The infinitary 1st-order language

A *vocabulary* is a set of *symbols* for constants, functions, and relations.

For instance, $\tau = \{0, 1, +, \times, <\}$ is a vocabulary.

We then have rules to write down formulas using the *vocabulary*, the *logical symbols* $\wedge, \vee, \rightarrow, \neg, \forall, \exists$, and *variable symbols*, x, y, z, \dots

For instance, $\forall y(x < y \rightarrow \forall z(z + x < z + y + 1))$ is a well-formed formula,

Technique 3: The infinitary 1st-order language

A *vocabulary* is a set of *symbols* for constants, functions, and relations.

For instance, $\tau = \{0, 1, +, \times, <\}$ is a vocabulary.

We then have rules to write down formulas using the *vocabulary*, the *logical symbols* $\wedge, \vee, \rightarrow, \neg, \forall, \exists$, and *variable symbols*, x, y, z, \dots

For instance, $\forall y(x < y \rightarrow \forall z(z + x < z + y + 1))$ is a well-formed formula,
with free variable x .

Technique 3: The infinitary 1st-order language

A *vocabulary* is a set of *symbols* for constants, functions, and relations.

For instance, $\tau = \{0, 1, +, \times, <\}$ is a vocabulary.

We then have rules to write down formulas using the *vocabulary*, the *logical symbols* $\wedge, \vee, \rightarrow, \neg, \forall, \exists$, and *variable symbols*, x, y, z, \dots

For instance, $\forall y(\mathbf{x} < y \rightarrow \forall z(z + \mathbf{x} < z + y + 1))$ is a well-formed formula,
with free variable x .

Given a structure \mathcal{A} , a formula $\varphi(\bar{x})$, and $\bar{a} \in A^{<\mathbb{N}}$,
one can *define* what it means for φ *to be true of \bar{a} in \mathcal{A}*

Technique 3: The infinitary 1st-order language

A *vocabulary* is a set of *symbols* for constants, functions, and relations.

For instance, $\tau = \{0, 1, +, \times, <\}$ is a vocabulary.

We then have rules to write down formulas using the *vocabulary*, the *logical symbols* $\wedge, \vee, \rightarrow, \neg, \forall, \exists$, and *variable symbols*, x, y, z, \dots

For instance, $\forall y(x < y \rightarrow \forall z(z + x < z + y + 1))$ is a well-formed formula,
with free variable x .

Given a structure \mathcal{A} , a formula $\varphi(\bar{x})$, and $\bar{a} \in A^{<\mathbb{N}}$,
one can *define* what it means for φ to be true of \bar{a} in \mathcal{A} (we write $\mathcal{A} \models \varphi(\bar{a})$).

Technique 3: The infinitary 1st-order language

A *vocabulary* is a set of *symbols* for constants, functions, and relations.

For instance, $\tau = \{0, 1, +, \times, <\}$ is a vocabulary.

We then have rules to write down formulas using the *vocabulary*, the *logical symbols* $\wedge, \vee, \rightarrow, \neg, \forall, \exists$, and *variable symbols*, x, y, z, \dots

For instance, $\forall y(\mathbf{x} < y \rightarrow \forall z(z + \mathbf{x} < z + y + 1))$ is a well-formed formula,
with free variable x .

Given a structure \mathcal{A} , a formula $\varphi(\bar{x})$, and $\bar{a} \in A^{<\mathbb{N}}$,
one can *define* what it means for φ *to be true of \bar{a} in \mathcal{A}* (we write $\mathcal{A} \models \varphi(\bar{a})$).

In *1st-order languages*, \forall and \exists range over the elements of the structure.

Technique 3: The infinitary 1st-order language

A *vocabulary* is a set of *symbols* for constants, functions, and relations.

For instance, $\tau = \{0, 1, +, \times, <\}$ is a vocabulary.

We then have rules to write down formulas using the *vocabulary*, the *logical symbols* $\wedge, \vee, \rightarrow, \neg, \forall, \exists$, and *variable symbols*, x, y, z, \dots

For instance, $\forall y(x < y \rightarrow \forall z(z + x < z + y + 1))$ is a well-formed formula,
with free variable x .

Given a structure \mathcal{A} , a formula $\varphi(\vec{x})$, and $\vec{a} \in A^{<\mathbb{N}}$,
one can *define* what it means for φ *to be true of \vec{a} in \mathcal{A}* (we write $\mathcal{A} \models \varphi(\vec{a})$).

In *1st-order languages*, \forall and \exists range over the elements of the structure.
In *infinitary languages*, *conjunctions and disjunctions* can be *infinitary*.

Infinitary 1st-order formulas

In **1st-order languages**, \forall and \exists range over the elements of the structure.

In **infinitary languages**, **conjunctions and disjunctions** can be **infinitary**.

Infinitary 1st-order formulas

In **1st-order languages**, \forall and \exists range over the elements of the structure.

In **infinitary languages**, conjunctions and disjunctions can be infinitary.

Example:

In a group $\mathcal{G} = (G; e, *)$:

Infinitary 1st-order formulas

In **1st-order languages**, \forall and \exists range over the elements of the structure.

In **infinitary languages**, conjunctions and disjunctions can be infinitary.

Example: $\text{torsion}(x) \equiv$

In a group $\mathcal{G} = (G; e, *)$:

Infinitary 1st-order formulas

In **1st-order languages**, \forall and \exists range over the elements of the structure.

In **infinitary languages**, conjunctions and disjunctions can be **infinitary**.

Example:

$$\textit{torsion}(x) \equiv \bigvee_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (x * \underbrace{x * x * \dots * x}_{n \text{ times}} = e),$$

In a group $\mathcal{G} = (G; e, *)$:

Infinitary 1st-order formulas

In **1st-order languages**, \forall and \exists range over the elements of the structure.

In **infinitary languages**, conjunctions and disjunctions can be **infinitary**.

Example:

$$\textit{torsion}(x) \equiv \bigvee_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (x * \underbrace{x * x * \dots * x}_{n \text{ times}} = e),$$

In a group $\mathcal{G} = (G; e, *)$: $\textit{divisible}(x) \equiv$

Infinitary 1st-order formulas

In **1st-order languages**, \forall and \exists range over the elements of the structure.

In **infinitary languages**, conjunctions and disjunctions can be **infinitary**.

Example:

$$\textit{torsion}(x) \equiv \bigvee_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (x * \underbrace{x * x * \dots * x}_{n \text{ times}} = e),$$

In a group $\mathcal{G} = (G; e, *)$:

$$\textit{divisible}(x) \equiv \bigwedge_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \exists y (y * \underbrace{y * y * \dots * y}_{n \text{ times}} = x),$$

Infinitary 1st-order formulas

In **1st-order languages**, \forall and \exists range over the elements of the structure.

In **infinitary languages**, conjunctions and disjunctions can be **infinitary**.

Example:

$$\textit{torsion}(x) \equiv \bigvee_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (x * \underbrace{x * x * \dots * x}_{n \text{ times}} = e),$$

In a group $\mathcal{G} = (G; e, *)$:
$$\textit{divisible}(x) \equiv \bigwedge_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \exists y (y * \underbrace{y * y * \dots * y}_{n \text{ times}} = x),$$

Theorem: [Scott 65] For every automorphism invariant set $B \subset \mathcal{A}^k$, there is an infinitary formula $\varphi(\bar{x})$ such that $B = \{\bar{b} \in \mathcal{A}^k : \mathcal{A} \models \varphi(\bar{b})\}$.

Infinitary 1st-order formulas

In **1st-order languages**, \forall and \exists range over the elements of the structure.

In **infinitary languages**, conjunctions and disjunctions can be **infinitary**.

Example:

$$\textit{torsion}(x) \equiv \bigvee_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (x * \underbrace{x * x * \dots * x}_{n \text{ times}} = e),$$

In a group $\mathcal{G} = (G; e, *)$:
$$\textit{divisible}(x) \equiv \bigwedge_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \exists y (y * \underbrace{y * y * \dots * y}_{n \text{ times}} = x),$$

Theorem: [Scott 65] For every automorphism invariant set $B \subset \mathcal{A}^k$, there is an infinitary formula $\varphi(\bar{x})$ such that $B = \{\bar{b} \in \mathcal{A}^k : \mathcal{A} \models \varphi(\bar{b})\}$.

We can measure orbit complexity of \mathcal{A} using the complexity of these formulas.

Infinitary 1st-order formulas

In **1st-order languages**, \forall and \exists range over the elements of the structure.

In **infinitary languages**, conjunctions and disjunctions can be **infinitary**.

Example:
$$\textit{torsion}(x) \equiv \bigvee_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (x * \underbrace{x * x * \dots * x}_{n \text{ times}} = e),$$

In a group $\mathcal{G} = (G; e, *)$:
$$\textit{divisible}(x) \equiv \bigwedge_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \exists y (y * \underbrace{y * y * \dots * y}_{n \text{ times}} = x),$$

Theorem: [Scott 65] For every automorphism invariant set $B \subset \mathcal{A}^k$, there is an infinitary formula $\varphi(\bar{x})$ such that $B = \{\bar{b} \in \mathcal{A}^k : \mathcal{A} \models \varphi(\bar{b})\}$.

We can measure orbit complexity of \mathcal{A} using the complexity of these formulas.

Theorem: [Scott 65] For every countable structure \mathcal{A} , there is an infinitary sentence $\psi_{\mathcal{A}}$ such that, for countable structures \mathcal{C} , $\mathcal{C} \models \psi_{\mathcal{A}} \iff \mathcal{C} \cong \mathcal{A}$.

Infinitary 1st-order formulas

In **1st-order languages**, \forall and \exists range over the elements of the structure.

In **infinitary languages**, conjunctions and disjunctions can be infinitary.

Example:
$$\textit{torsion}(x) \equiv \bigvee_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (x * \underbrace{x * x * \dots * x}_{n \text{ times}} = e),$$

In a group $\mathcal{G} = (G; e, *)$:
$$\textit{divisible}(x) \equiv \bigwedge_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \exists y (y * \underbrace{y * y * \dots * y}_{n \text{ times}} = x),$$

Theorem: [Scott 65] For every automorphism invariant set $B \subset \mathcal{A}^k$, there is an infinitary formula $\varphi(\bar{x})$ such that $B = \{\bar{b} \in \mathcal{A}^k : \mathcal{A} \models \varphi(\bar{b})\}$.

We can measure orbit complexity of \mathcal{A} using the complexity of these formulas.

Theorem: [Scott 65] For every countable structure \mathcal{A} , there is an infinitary sentence $\psi_{\mathcal{A}}$ such that, for countable structures \mathcal{C} , $\mathcal{C} \models \psi_{\mathcal{A}} \iff \mathcal{C} \cong \mathcal{A}$.

We can measure identification complexity of \mathcal{A} in terms of the complexity of $\psi_{\mathcal{A}}$.

Depths of infinitary formulas

Depths of infinitary formulas

We count alternations of \exists and \forall versus \forall and \exists .

Depths of infinitary formulas

We count alternations of \exists and \forall versus \forall and \exists .

A Σ_n^{in} formula is one of the form:

$$\underbrace{\bigvee_{i_0 \in \mathbb{N}} \exists \bar{y}_0 \quad \bigwedge_{i_1 \in \mathbb{N}} \forall \bar{y}_1 \quad \bigvee_{i_2 \in \mathbb{N}} \exists \bar{y}_2 \quad \bigwedge_{i_3 \in \mathbb{N}} \forall \bar{y}_3 \quad \cdots}_{n \text{ alternations}} \underbrace{\left(\psi_{i_0, i_1, \dots, i_n}(\bar{x}, \bar{y}_0, \bar{y}_1, \dots, \bar{y}_n) \right)}_{\text{finitary, quantifier free}}$$

Depths of infinitary formulas

We count alternations of \exists and \forall versus \forall and \exists .

A Σ_n^{in} formula is one of the form:

$$\underbrace{\bigvee_{i_0 \in \mathbb{N}} \exists \bar{y}_0 \quad \bigwedge_{i_1 \in \mathbb{N}} \forall \bar{y}_1 \quad \bigvee_{i_2 \in \mathbb{N}} \exists \bar{y}_2 \quad \bigwedge_{i_3 \in \mathbb{N}} \forall \bar{y}_3 \quad \cdots}_{n \text{ alternations}} \underbrace{\left(\psi_{i_0, i_1, \dots, i_n}(\bar{x}, \bar{y}_0, \bar{y}_1, \dots, \bar{y}_n) \right)}_{\text{finitary, quantifier free}}$$

A Π_n^{in} formula is one of the form:

$$\underbrace{\bigwedge_{i_0 \in \mathbb{N}} \forall \bar{y}_0 \quad \bigvee_{i_1 \in \mathbb{N}} \exists \bar{y}_1 \quad \bigwedge_{i_2 \in \mathbb{N}} \forall \bar{y}_2 \quad \bigvee_{i_3 \in \mathbb{N}} \exists \bar{y}_3 \quad \cdots}_{n \text{ alternations}} \underbrace{\left(\psi_{i_0, i_1, \dots, i_n}(\bar{x}, \bar{y}_0, \bar{y}_1, \dots, \bar{y}_n) \right)}_{\text{finitary, quantifier free}}$$

ordinal depths of infinitary formulas

ordinal depths of infinitary formulas

A Σ_α^{in} formula is one of the form: $\bigvee_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \exists \bar{y} \underbrace{(\psi_i(\bar{x}, \bar{y}))}_{\Pi_\beta^{in} \text{ for } \beta < \alpha}$

ordinal depths of infinitary formulas

A Π_β^{in} formula is one of the form: $\bigwedge_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \forall \bar{y} \underbrace{(\varphi_i(\bar{x}, \bar{y}))}_{\Sigma_\gamma^{in} \text{ for } \gamma < \beta}$

ordinal depths of infinitary formulas

A Π_β^{in} formula is one of the form: $\bigwedge_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \forall \bar{y} \underbrace{(\varphi_i(\bar{x}, \bar{y}))}_{\Sigma_\gamma^{in} \text{ for } \gamma < \beta}$

Theorem: [Scott 65] For every automorphism invariant set $B \subset \mathcal{A}^k$, there is an infinitary formula $\varphi(\bar{x})$ such that $B = \{\bar{b} \in \mathcal{A}^k : \mathcal{A} \models \varphi(\bar{b})\}$.

Definition: Let $OrbitRank(\mathcal{A})$ be the least α such that every automorphism orbit is Σ_α^{in} -definable.

ordinal depths of infinitary formulas

A Π^{in}_{β} formula is one of the form: $\bigwedge_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \forall \bar{y} \left(\underbrace{\varphi_i(\bar{x}, \bar{y})}_{\Sigma^{\text{in}}_{\gamma} \text{ for } \gamma < \beta} \right)$

Definition: Let $\text{OrbitRank}(\mathcal{A})$ be the least α such that every automorphism orbit is $\Sigma^{\text{in}}_{\alpha}$ -definable.

ordinal depths of infinitary formulas

A Π_β^{in} formula is one of the form: $\bigwedge_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \forall \bar{y} \underbrace{(\varphi_i(\bar{x}, \bar{y}))}_{\Sigma_\gamma^{in} \text{ for } \gamma < \beta}$

Definition: Let $OrbitRank(\mathcal{A})$ be the least α such that every automorphism orbit is Σ_α^{in} -definable.

Theorem: [Scott 65] For every countable structure \mathcal{A} , there is an infinitary sentence $\psi_{\mathcal{A}}$ such that, for countable structures \mathcal{C} , $\mathcal{C} \models \psi_{\mathcal{A}} \iff \mathcal{C} \cong \mathcal{A}$.

Definition: Let $SSRank(\mathcal{A})$ be the least α such that there is a $\Pi_{\alpha+1}^{in}$ sentence true only about \mathcal{A} among countable structures.

ordinal depths of infinitary formulas

A Π_β^{in} formula is one of the form: $\bigwedge_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \forall \bar{y} \underbrace{(\varphi_i(\bar{x}, \bar{y}))}_{\Sigma_\gamma^{in} \text{ for } \gamma < \beta}$

Definition: Let $OrbitRank(\mathcal{A})$ be the least α such that every automorphism orbit is Σ_α^{in} -definable.

Definition: Let $SSRank(\mathcal{A})$ be the least α such that there is a $\Pi_{\alpha+1}^{in}$ sentence true only about \mathcal{A} among countable structures.

ordinal depths of infinitary formulas

A Π_β^{in} formula is one of the form: $\bigwedge_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \forall \bar{y} \underbrace{(\varphi_i(\bar{x}, \bar{y}))}_{\Sigma_\gamma^{in} \text{ for } \gamma < \beta}$

Definition: Let $OrbitRank(\mathcal{A})$ be the least α such that every automorphism orbit is Σ_α^{in} -definable.

Definition: Let $SSRank(\mathcal{A})$ be the least α such that there is a $\Pi_{\alpha+1}^{in}$ sentence true only about \mathcal{A} among countable structures.

ordinal depths of infinitary formulas

A Π_β^{in} formula is one of the form: $\bigwedge_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \forall \bar{y} \underbrace{(\varphi_i(\bar{x}, \bar{y}))}_{\Sigma_\gamma^{in} \text{ for } \gamma < \beta}$

Definition: Let $OrbitRank(\mathcal{A})$ be the least α such that every automorphism orbit is Σ_α^{in} -definable.

Definition: Let $SSRank(\mathcal{A})$ be the least α such that there is a $\Pi_{\alpha+1}^{in}$ sentence true only about \mathcal{A} among countable structures.

Theorem:

- $SSRank(\mathcal{A}) = BorelRank(\mathcal{A})$.

[Lopez Escobar 65, Vaught 75]

ordinal depths of infinitary formulas

A Π_β^{in} formula is one of the form: $\bigwedge_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \forall \bar{y} \underbrace{(\varphi_i(\bar{x}, \bar{y}))}_{\Sigma_\gamma^{in} \text{ for } \gamma < \beta}$

Definition: Let $OrbitRank(\mathcal{A})$ be the least α such that every automorphism orbit is Σ_α^{in} -definable.

Definition: Let $SSRank(\mathcal{A})$ be the least α such that there is a $\Pi_{\alpha+1}^{in}$ sentence true only about \mathcal{A} among countable structures.

Theorem:

- $SSRank(\mathcal{A}) = BorelRank(\mathcal{A})$. [Lopez Escobar 65, Vaught 75]
- $SSRank(\mathcal{A}) = OrbitRank(\mathcal{A})$. [M. 15]

Examples

Consider the order $(\mathbb{Q}; <)$:

- $(a_1, \dots, a_k) \cong (b_1, \dots, b_k) \iff (\forall i, j < k) a_i < a_j \leftrightarrow b_i < b_j.$

Examples

Consider the order $(\mathbb{Q}; <)$:

- $(a_1, \dots, a_k) \cong (b_1, \dots, b_k) \iff (\forall i, j < k) a_i < a_j \leftrightarrow b_i < b_j$.
- The automorphism orbit of $(1/2, 7, 4)$ is defined by a formula $\varphi(x_1, x_2, x_3) \equiv x_1 < x_3 \wedge x_3 < x_2$.

Examples

Consider the order $(\mathbb{Q}; <)$:

- $(a_1, \dots, a_k) \cong (b_1, \dots, b_k) \iff (\forall i, j < k) a_i < a_j \leftrightarrow b_i < b_j$.
- The automorphism orbit of $(1/2, 7, 4)$ is defined by a formula
$$\varphi(x_1, x_2, x_3) \equiv x_1 < x_3 \wedge x_3 < x_2.$$

Σ_1^{in}

Examples

Consider the order $(\mathbb{Q}; <)$:

- $(a_1, \dots, a_k) \cong (b_1, \dots, b_k) \iff (\forall i, j < k) a_i < a_j \leftrightarrow b_i < b_j$.
- The automorphism orbit of $(1/2, 7, 4)$ is defined by a formula
$$\varphi(x_1, x_2, x_3) \equiv x_1 < x_3 \wedge x_3 < x_2.$$
- $(\mathbb{Q}; <)$ is the unique countable dense linear order with no endpoints

Σ_1^{in}

Examples

Consider the order $(\mathbb{Q}; <)$:

- $(a_1, \dots, a_k) \cong (b_1, \dots, b_k) \iff (\forall i, j < k) a_i < a_j \leftrightarrow b_i < b_j$.
- The automorphism orbit of $(1/2, 7, 4)$ is defined by a formula
$$\varphi(x_1, x_2, x_3) \equiv x_1 < x_3 \wedge x_3 < x_2.$$
- $(\mathbb{Q}; <)$ is the unique countable dense linear order with no endpoints

Σ_1^{in}

Examples

Consider the order $(\mathbb{Q}; <)$:

- $(a_1, \dots, a_k) \cong (b_1, \dots, b_k) \iff (\forall i, j < k) a_i < a_j \leftrightarrow b_i < b_j$.
- The automorphism orbit of $(1/2, 7, 4)$ is defined by a formula
$$\varphi(x_1, x_2, x_3) \equiv x_1 < x_3 \wedge x_3 < x_2.$$
- $(\mathbb{Q}; <)$ is the unique countable dense linear order with no endpoints

Σ_1^{in}
 Π_2^{in}

$Rank(\mathbb{Q}; <) = 1.$

Examples

Consider the order $(\mathbb{Q}; <)$:

- $(a_1, \dots, a_k) \cong (b_1, \dots, b_k) \iff (\forall i, j < k) a_i < a_j \leftrightarrow b_i < b_j$.
- The automorphism orbit of $(1/2, 7, 4)$ is defined by a formula $\varphi(x_1, x_2, x_3) \equiv x_1 < x_3 \wedge x_3 < x_2$.
- $(\mathbb{Q}; <)$ is the unique countable dense linear order with no endpoints

Σ_1^{in}
 Π_2^{in}

$Rank(\mathbb{Q}; <) = 1$.

Consider the order $(\mathbb{Z}; <)$:

- The automorphism orbit of $(-1, 2)$ is defined by a formula $\varphi(x_1, x_2) \equiv x_1 < x_2 \wedge \exists y_1, y_2 (x_1 < y_1 < y_2 < x_2 \wedge \forall z (x_1 < z < x_2 \rightarrow z = y_1 \vee z = y_2))$.

Examples

Consider the order $(\mathbb{Q}; <)$:

- $(a_1, \dots, a_k) \cong (b_1, \dots, b_k) \iff (\forall i, j < k) a_i < a_j \leftrightarrow b_i < b_j$.
- The automorphism orbit of $(1/2, 7, 4)$ is defined by a formula $\varphi(x_1, x_2, x_3) \equiv x_1 < x_3 \wedge x_3 < x_2$.
- $(\mathbb{Q}; <)$ is the unique countable dense linear order with no endpoints

Σ_1^{in}
 Π_2^{in}

$Rank(\mathbb{Q}; <) = 1$.

Consider the order $(\mathbb{Z}; <)$:

- The automorphism orbit of $(-1, 2)$ is defined by a formula $\varphi(x_1, x_2) \equiv x_1 < x_2 \wedge \exists y_1, y_2 (x_1 < y_1 < y_2 < x_2 \wedge \forall z (x_1 < z < x_2 \rightarrow z = y_1 \vee z = y_2))$.

Σ_2^{in}

Examples

Consider the order $(\mathbb{Q}; <)$:

- $(a_1, \dots, a_k) \cong (b_1, \dots, b_k) \iff (\forall i, j < k) a_i < a_j \leftrightarrow b_i < b_j$.
- The automorphism orbit of $(1/2, 7, 4)$ is defined by a formula $\varphi(x_1, x_2, x_3) \equiv x_1 < x_3 \wedge x_3 < x_2$.
- $(\mathbb{Q}; <)$ is the unique countable dense linear order with no endpoints

Σ_1^{in}
 Π_2^{in}

$Rank(\mathbb{Q}; <) = 1$.

Consider the order $(\mathbb{Z}; <)$:

- The automorphism orbit of $(-1, 2)$ is defined by a formula $\varphi(x_1, x_2) \equiv x_1 < x_2 \wedge \exists y_1, y_2 (x_1 < y_1 < y_2 < x_2 \wedge \forall z (x_1 < z < x_2 \rightarrow z = y_1 \vee z = y_2))$.
- $(\mathbb{Z}; <)$ is the linear order with no endpoints with all intervals finite.

Σ_2^{in}

Examples

Consider the order $(\mathbb{Q}; <)$:

- $(a_1, \dots, a_k) \cong (b_1, \dots, b_k) \iff (\forall i, j < k) a_i < a_j \leftrightarrow b_i < b_j$.
- The automorphism orbit of $(1/2, 7, 4)$ is defined by a formula $\varphi(x_1, x_2, x_3) \equiv x_1 < x_3 \wedge x_3 < x_2$.
- $(\mathbb{Q}; <)$ is the unique countable dense linear order with no endpoints

Σ_1^{in}
 Π_2^{in}

$Rank(\mathbb{Q}; <) = 1$.

Consider the order $(\mathbb{Z}; <)$:

- The automorphism orbit of $(-1, 2)$ is defined by a formula $\varphi(x_1, x_2) \equiv x_1 < x_2 \wedge \exists y_1, y_2 (x_1 < y_1 < y_2 < x_2 \wedge \forall z (x_1 < z < x_2 \rightarrow z = y_1 \vee z = y_2))$.
- $(\mathbb{Z}; <)$ is the linear order with no endpoints with all intervals finite.

Σ_2^{in}
 Π_3^{in}

Examples

Consider the order $(\mathbb{Q}; <)$:

- $(a_1, \dots, a_k) \cong (b_1, \dots, b_k) \iff (\forall i, j < k) a_i < a_j \leftrightarrow b_i < b_j$.
- The automorphism orbit of $(1/2, 7, 4)$ is defined by a formula $\varphi(x_1, x_2, x_3) \equiv x_1 < x_3 \wedge x_3 < x_2$.
- $(\mathbb{Q}; <)$ is the unique countable dense linear order with no endpoints

Σ_1^{in}
 Π_2^{in}

$Rank(\mathbb{Q}; <) = 1$.

Consider the order $(\mathbb{Z}; <)$:

- The automorphism orbit of $(-1, 2)$ is defined by a formula $\varphi(x_1, x_2) \equiv x_1 < x_2 \wedge \exists y_1, y_2 (x_1 < y_1 < y_2 < x_2 \wedge \forall z (x_1 < z < x_2 \rightarrow z = y_1 \vee z = y_2))$.
- $(\mathbb{Z}; <)$ is the linear order with no endpoints with all intervals finite.

Σ_2^{in}
 Π_3^{in}

$Rank(\mathbb{Z}; <) = 2$.

$Rank(\cdot)$ is a **robust** measure of complexity

Theorem: [M. 15] Let α be an ordinal and \mathcal{A} a countable structure. The following are equivalent:

- **Orbit complexity**
 - $\forall \bar{a} \in A^{<\mathbb{N}} \exists \bar{a}' \in A^{<\mathbb{N}} \forall \bar{b}, \bar{b}' \in A^{<\mathbb{N}}, \quad \bar{a}\bar{a}' \leq_{\alpha} \bar{b}\bar{b}' \Rightarrow \bar{a} \cong_{\mathcal{A}} \bar{b}.$
 - All automorphism orbits in \mathcal{A} are Σ_{α}^{in} -definable.
- **Identification complexity**
 - The set of copies of \mathcal{A} is $\Pi_{\alpha+1}$ in the Borel hierarchy.
 - There is a $\Pi_{\alpha+1}^{in}$ sentence uniquely identifying \mathcal{A} .
- **Isomorphism complexity**
 - \mathcal{A} has an isomorphism function that is of **Baire class** $\alpha - 1$.

Let $Rank(\mathcal{A})$ be the least such α .

$= bfRank(\mathcal{A}) = OrbitRank(\mathcal{A}) = BorelRank(\mathcal{A}) = SSRank(\mathcal{A}) = BaireRank(\mathcal{A}).$