

Pillay's conjecture for groups definable in weakly o-minimal nonvaluational structures

Pantelis Eleftheriou

University of Leeds

Fudan Model Theory and Philosophy of Mathematics Conference –
August 21, 2021

Outline

- ▶ \mathcal{M} weakly o-minimal structure: valuatinal, nonvaluational

Outline

- ▶ \mathcal{M} weakly o-minimal structure: valational, nonvalational
Nonvalational \Rightarrow dense trace of an o-minimal structure $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$
(Wencel)

Outline

- ▶ \mathcal{M} weakly o-minimal structure: valuatinal, nonvaluational
Nonvaluational \Rightarrow dense trace of an o-minimal structure $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$
(Wencel)

Let G be a group definable in a nonvaluational \mathcal{M} .

Outline

- ▶ \mathcal{M} weakly o-minimal structure: valutional, nonvaluational
Nonvaluational \Rightarrow dense trace of an o-minimal structure $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$
(Wencel)

Let G be a group definable in a nonvaluational \mathcal{M} .

- ▶ Thm 1: G is a dense subgroup of a group K definable in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$.

Outline

- ▶ \mathcal{M} weakly o-minimal structure: valuations, nonvaluational
Nonvaluational \Rightarrow dense trace of an o-minimal structure $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$
(Wencel)

Let G be a group definable in a nonvaluational \mathcal{M} .

- ▶ Thm 1: G is a dense subgroup of a group K definable in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$.
Pf: Using a group chunk theorem.

Outline

- ▶ \mathcal{M} weakly o-minimal structure: valuations, nonvaluational
Nonvaluational \Rightarrow dense trace of an o-minimal structure $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$
(Wencel)

Let G be a group definable in a nonvaluational \mathcal{M} .

- ▶ Thm 1: G is a dense subgroup of a group K definable in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$.
Pf: Using a group chunk theorem.
Qn: Is G a trace of a group K definable in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$?

Outline

- ▶ \mathcal{M} weakly o-minimal structure: valuatinal, nonvaluational
Nonvaluational \Rightarrow dense trace of an o-minimal structure $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$
(Wencel)

Let G be a group definable in a nonvaluational \mathcal{M} .

- ▶ Thm 1: G is a dense subgroup of a group K definable in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$.
Pf: Using a group chunk theorem.
Qn: Is G a trace of a group K definable in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$?
- ▶ Thm 2: Pillay's Conjecture (PC) for G .

Outline

- ▶ \mathcal{M} weakly o-minimal structure: valuations, nonvaluational
Nonvaluational \Rightarrow dense trace of an o-minimal structure $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$
(Wencel)

Let G be a group definable in a nonvaluational \mathcal{M} .

- ▶ Thm 1: G is a dense subgroup of a group K definable in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$.
Pf: Using a group chunk theorem.
Qn: Is G a trace of a group K definable in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$?
- ▶ Thm 2: Pillay's Conjecture (PC) for G .
Pf: By reduction to PC for K from Thm 1.

Outline

- ▶ \mathcal{M} weakly o-minimal structure: valuations, nonvaluational
Nonvaluational \Rightarrow dense trace of an o-minimal structure $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$
(Wencel)

Let G be a group definable in a nonvaluational \mathcal{M} .

- ▶ Thm 1: G is a dense subgroup of a group K definable in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$.
Pf: Using a group chunk theorem.
Qn: Is G a trace of a group K definable in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$?
- ▶ Thm 2: Pillay's Conjecture (PC) for G .
Pf: By reduction to PC for K from Thm 1.
Qn: Extensions?

Weakly o-minimal structures

- ▶ $\mathcal{M} = \langle M, <, \dots \rangle$ is *weakly o-minimal* if every definable $X \subseteq M$ is a finite union of convex sets.

Weakly o-minimal structures

- ▶ $\mathcal{M} = \langle M, <, \dots \rangle$ is *weakly o-minimal* if every definable $X \subseteq M$ is a finite union of convex sets.

Example

- (a) $\mathcal{M} = \langle \mathcal{R}, \text{Fin}(R) \rangle$, \mathcal{R} = non-standard extension of the real field.

Weakly o-minimal structures

- ▶ $\mathcal{M} = \langle M, <, \dots \rangle$ is *weakly o-minimal* if every definable $X \subseteq M$ is a finite union of convex sets.

Example

- (a) $\mathcal{M} = \langle \mathcal{R}, \text{Fin}(R) \rangle$, \mathcal{R} = non-standard extension of the real field.
- (b) $\mathcal{M} = \langle \mathbb{R}_{alg}, (0, \pi) \rangle$, \mathbb{R}_{alg} = field of real algebraic numbers.

Weakly o-minimal structures

- ▶ $\mathcal{M} = \langle M, <, \dots \rangle$ is *weakly o-minimal* if every definable $X \subseteq M$ is a finite union of convex sets.

Example

- (a) $\mathcal{M} = \langle \mathcal{R}, \text{Fin}(R) \rangle$, \mathcal{R} = non-standard extension of the real field.
- (b) $\mathcal{M} = \langle \mathbb{R}_{alg}, (0, \pi) \rangle$, \mathbb{R}_{alg} = field of real algebraic numbers.

(Baisalov-Poizat, Cherlin-Dickman, Macpherson-Marker-Steinhorn)

Weakly o-minimal structures

- ▶ $\mathcal{M} = \langle M, <, \dots \rangle$ is *weakly o-minimal* if every definable $X \subseteq M$ is a finite union of convex sets.

Example

- (a) $\mathcal{M} = \langle \mathcal{R}, \text{Fin}(R) \rangle$, \mathcal{R} = non-standard extension of the real field.
- (b) $\mathcal{M} = \langle \mathbb{R}_{alg}, (0, \pi) \rangle$, \mathbb{R}_{alg} = field of real algebraic numbers.

(Baisalov-Poizat, Cherlin-Dickman, Macpherson-Marker-Steinhorn)

In (b): \mathcal{M} is the *trace* of $\overline{\mathcal{M}} = \mathbb{R}_{alg}(\pi)$.

Weakly o-minimal structures

- ▶ $\mathcal{M} = \langle M, <, \dots \rangle$ is *weakly o-minimal* if every definable $X \subseteq M$ is a finite union of convex sets.

Example

- (a) $\mathcal{M} = \langle \mathcal{R}, \text{Fin}(R) \rangle$, \mathcal{R} = non-standard extension of the real field.
- (b) $\mathcal{M} = \langle \mathbb{R}_{alg}, (0, \pi) \rangle$, \mathbb{R}_{alg} = field of real algebraic numbers.

(Baisalov-Poizat, Cherlin-Dickman, Macpherson-Marker-Steinhorn)

In (b): \mathcal{M} is the *trace* of $\overline{\mathcal{M}} = \mathbb{R}_{alg}(\pi)$. Namely, for a set $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}_{alg}^n$,

X is definable in $\mathcal{M} \Leftrightarrow X = Y \cap \mathbb{R}_{alg}^n$, some Y definable in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$.

Weakly o-minimal structures

- ▶ $\mathcal{M} = \langle M, <, \dots \rangle$ is *weakly o-minimal* if every definable $X \subseteq M$ is a finite union of convex sets.

Example

- (a) $\mathcal{M} = \langle \mathcal{R}, \text{Fin}(R) \rangle$, \mathcal{R} = non-standard extension of the real field.
- (b) $\mathcal{M} = \langle \mathbb{R}_{alg}, (0, \pi) \rangle$, \mathbb{R}_{alg} = field of real algebraic numbers.

(Baisalov-Poizat, Cherlin-Dickman, Macpherson-Marker-Steinhorn)

In (b): \mathcal{M} is the *trace* of $\overline{\mathcal{M}} = \mathbb{R}_{alg}(\pi)$. Namely, for a set $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}_{alg}^n$,

X is definable in $\mathcal{M} \Leftrightarrow X = Y \cap \mathbb{R}_{alg}^n$, some Y definable in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$.

In (a): is \mathcal{M} the trace of a real closed field $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$?

Nonvaluational structures

Fix a weakly o-minimal structure $\mathcal{M} = \langle M, <, +, \dots \rangle$.

Definable = definable in \mathcal{M} with parameters.

Nonvaluational structures

Fix a weakly o-minimal structure $\mathcal{M} = \langle M, <, +, \dots \rangle$.

Definable = definable in \mathcal{M} with parameters.

- ▶ $\langle C, D \rangle$ is a *cut* if $C, D \subseteq M$ definable, $C < D$, $C \cup D = M$, and C has no maximum element.

Nonvaluational structures

Fix a weakly o-minimal structure $\mathcal{M} = \langle M, <, +, \dots \rangle$.

Definable = definable in \mathcal{M} with parameters.

- ▶ $\langle C, D \rangle$ is a *cut* if $C, D \subseteq M$ definable, $C < D$, $C \cup D = M$, and C has no maximum element.
- ▶ \mathcal{M} is *nonvaluational* if for every cut $\langle C, D \rangle$,
 $\inf\{y - x : x \in C, y \in D\} = 0$.

Nonvaluational structures

Fix a weakly o-minimal structure $\mathcal{M} = \langle M, <, +, \dots \rangle$.

Definable = definable in \mathcal{M} with parameters.

- ▶ $\langle C, D \rangle$ is a *cut* if $C, D \subseteq M$ definable, $C < D$, $C \cup D = M$, and C has no maximum element.
- ▶ \mathcal{M} is *nonvaluational* if for every cut $\langle C, D \rangle$, $\inf\{y - x : x \in C, y \in D\} = 0$. Valuational, otherwise.

Nonvaluational structures

Fix a weakly o-minimal structure $\mathcal{M} = \langle M, <, +, \dots \rangle$.

Definable = definable in \mathcal{M} with parameters.

- ▶ $\langle C, D \rangle$ is a *cut* if $C, D \subseteq M$ definable, $C < D$, $C \cup D = M$, and C has no maximum element.
- ▶ \mathcal{M} is *nonvaluational* if for every cut $\langle C, D \rangle$, $\inf\{y - x : x \in C, y \in D\} = 0$. Valuational, otherwise.
- ▶ the *Dedekind completion* \overline{M} of M is the set of all cuts $\langle C, D \rangle$. Embed $a \in M \mapsto ((-\infty, a), [a, +\infty)) \in \overline{M}$.

Nonvaluational structures

Fix a weakly o-minimal structure $\mathcal{M} = \langle M, <, +, \dots \rangle$.

Definable = definable in \mathcal{M} with parameters.

- ▶ $\langle C, D \rangle$ is a *cut* if $C, D \subseteq M$ definable, $C < D$, $C \cup D = M$, and C has no maximum element.
- ▶ \mathcal{M} is *nonvaluational* if for every cut $\langle C, D \rangle$, $\inf\{y - x : x \in C, y \in D\} = 0$. Valuational, otherwise.
- ▶ the *Dedekind completion* \overline{M} of M is the set of all cuts $\langle C, D \rangle$. Embed $a \in M \mapsto ((-\infty, a), [a, +\infty)) \in \overline{M}$.

From now on \mathcal{M} is nonvaluational.

Nonvaluational structures

Fix a weakly o-minimal structure $\mathcal{M} = \langle M, <, +, \dots \rangle$.

Definable = definable in \mathcal{M} with parameters.

- ▶ $\langle C, D \rangle$ is a *cut* if $C, D \subseteq M$ definable, $C < D$, $C \cup D = M$, and C has no maximum element.
- ▶ \mathcal{M} is *nonvaluational* if for every cut $\langle C, D \rangle$, $\inf\{y - x : x \in C, y \in D\} = 0$. Valuational, otherwise.
- ▶ the *Dedekind completion* \overline{M} of M is the set of all cuts $\langle C, D \rangle$. Embed $a \in M \mapsto ((-\infty, a), [a, +\infty)) \in \overline{M}$.

From now on \mathcal{M} is nonvaluational.

Theorem (Wencel (2008))

There is o-minimal $\overline{\mathcal{M}} = \langle \overline{M}, <, +, \dots \rangle$, such that $X \subseteq M^n$ is definable $\Leftrightarrow X = Y \cap M^n$, for some $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ -definable set $Y \subseteq \overline{M}^n$.

Nonvaluational structures

Fix a weakly o-minimal structure $\mathcal{M} = \langle M, <, +, \dots \rangle$.

Definable = definable in \mathcal{M} with parameters.

- ▶ $\langle C, D \rangle$ is a *cut* if $C, D \subseteq M$ definable, $C < D$, $C \cup D = M$, and C has no maximum element.
- ▶ \mathcal{M} is *nonvaluational* if for every cut $\langle C, D \rangle$, $\inf\{y - x : x \in C, y \in D\} = 0$. Valuational, otherwise.
- ▶ the *Dedekind completion* \overline{M} of M is the set of all cuts $\langle C, D \rangle$. Embed $a \in M \mapsto ((-\infty, a), [a, +\infty)) \in \overline{M}$.

From now on \mathcal{M} is nonvaluational.

Theorem (Wencel (2008))

There is o-minimal $\overline{\mathcal{M}} = \langle \overline{M}, <, +, \dots \rangle$, such that $X \subseteq M^n$ is definable $\Leftrightarrow X = Y \cap M^n$, for some $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ -definable set $Y \subseteq \overline{M}^n$.

$\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ = **canonical o-minimal extension of \mathcal{M}**

Nonvaluational structures

Fix a weakly o-minimal structure $\mathcal{M} = \langle M, <, +, \dots \rangle$.

Definable = definable in \mathcal{M} with parameters.

- ▶ $\langle C, D \rangle$ is a *cut* if $C, D \subseteq M$ definable, $C < D$, $C \cup D = M$, and C has no maximum element.
- ▶ \mathcal{M} is *nonvaluational* if for every cut $\langle C, D \rangle$, $\inf\{y - x : x \in C, y \in D\} = 0$. Valuational, otherwise.
- ▶ the *Dedekind completion* \overline{M} of M is the set of all cuts $\langle C, D \rangle$. Embed $a \in M \mapsto ((-\infty, a), [a, +\infty)) \in \overline{M}$.

From now on \mathcal{M} is nonvaluational.

Theorem (Wencel (2008))

There is o-minimal $\overline{\mathcal{M}} = \langle \overline{M}, <, +, \dots \rangle$, such that $X \subseteq M^n$ is definable $\Leftrightarrow X = Y \cap M^n$, for some $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ -definable set $Y \subseteq \overline{M}^n$.

$\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ = **canonical o-minimal extension of \mathcal{M}**

Bar-Yehuda, Hasson, Peterzil: $\overline{\mathcal{M}} = \langle \overline{M}, \{cl(X)\}_{X \subseteq M^n} \text{ is } \emptyset\text{-definable} \rangle$.

Nonvaluational structures: sum-up

$\mathcal{M} = \langle M, <, +, \dots \rangle$ weakly o-minimal, nonvaluational

$\overline{\mathcal{M}} = \langle \overline{M}, <, +, \dots \rangle$ canonical o-minimal extension, \mathcal{M} trace of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$.

Nonvaluational structures: sum-up

$\mathcal{M} = \langle M, <, +, \dots \rangle$ weakly o-minimal, nonvaluational

$\overline{\mathcal{M}} = \langle \overline{M}, <, +, \dots \rangle$ canonical o-minimal extension, \mathcal{M} trace of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$.

For $X \subseteq \overline{M}^n$, $cl(X)$ is the closure in \overline{M}^n

Nonvaluational structures: sum-up

$\mathcal{M} = \langle M, <, +, \dots \rangle$ weakly o-minimal, nonvaluational

$\overline{\mathcal{M}} = \langle \overline{M}, <, +, \dots \rangle$ canonical o-minimal extension, \mathcal{M} trace of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$.

For $X \subseteq \overline{M}^n$, $cl(X)$ is the closure in \overline{M}^n , and

$$\dim X = \max\{k : \text{some projection of } X \text{ onto } k \text{ coordinates} \\ \text{has non-empty interior in } M^k\}$$

Nonvaluational structures: sum-up

$\mathcal{M} = \langle M, <, +, \dots \rangle$ weakly o-minimal, nonvaluational

$\overline{\mathcal{M}} = \langle \overline{M}, <, +, \dots \rangle$ canonical o-minimal extension, \mathcal{M} trace of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$.

For $X \subseteq \overline{M}^n$, $cl(X)$ is the closure in \overline{M}^n , and

$$\dim X = \max\{k : \text{some projection of } X \text{ onto } k \text{ coordinates} \\ \text{has non-empty interior in } M^k\}$$

Fact (Wencel)

Let $X \subseteq M^n$ be definable. Then

1. $cl(X)$ is $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ -definable.

Nonvaluational structures: sum-up

$\mathcal{M} = \langle M, <, +, \dots \rangle$ weakly o-minimal, nonvaluational

$\overline{\mathcal{M}} = \langle \overline{M}, <, +, \dots \rangle$ canonical o-minimal extension, \mathcal{M} trace of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$.

For $X \subseteq \overline{M}^n$, $cl(X)$ is the closure in \overline{M}^n , and

$$\dim X = \max\{k : \text{some projection of } X \text{ onto } k \text{ coordinates} \\ \text{has non-empty interior in } M^k\}$$

Fact (Wencel)

Let $X \subseteq M^n$ be definable. Then

1. $cl(X)$ is $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ -definable.
2. $\dim X = \dim cl(X)$.

Nonvaluational structures: sum-up

$\mathcal{M} = \langle M, <, +, \dots \rangle$ weakly o-minimal, nonvaluational

$\overline{\mathcal{M}} = \langle \overline{M}, <, +, \dots \rangle$ canonical o-minimal extension, \mathcal{M} trace of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$.

For $X \subseteq \overline{M}^n$, $cl(X)$ is the closure in \overline{M}^n , and

$$\dim X = \max\{k : \text{some projection of } X \text{ onto } k \text{ coordinates} \\ \text{has non-empty interior in } M^k\}$$

Fact (Wencel)

Let $X \subseteq M^n$ be definable. Then

1. $cl(X)$ is $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ -definable.
2. $\dim X = \dim cl(X)$.
3. Every definable map extends to an $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ -definable map.

Definable groups

Theorem 1

Let $G = (G, \cdot)$ be a definable group. Then there is an $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ -definable group K that contains G as a subgroup, such that:

Definable groups

Theorem 1

Let $G = (G, \cdot)$ be a definable group. Then there is an $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ -definable group K that contains G as a subgroup, such that:

1. if H is an $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ -definable group with $G \subseteq H$, then $K \hookrightarrow H$ (in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$).

Definable groups

Theorem 1

Let $G = (G, \cdot)$ be a definable group. Then there is an $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ -definable group K that contains G as a subgroup, such that:

1. if H is an $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ -definable group with $G \subseteq H$, then $K \hookrightarrow H$ (in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$).
2. $cl_K(G) = K$ and $\dim G = \dim K$.

Definable groups

Theorem 1

Let $G = (G, \cdot)$ be a definable group. Then there is an $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ -definable group K that contains G as a subgroup, such that:

1. if H is an $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ -definable group with $G \subseteq H$, then $K \hookrightarrow H$ (in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$).
2. $cl_K(G) = K$ and $\dim G = \dim K$.

Idea of the proof:

- ▶ Find an $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ -definable “group chunk” between G and $cl(G)$.

Definable groups

Theorem 1

Let $G = (G, \cdot)$ be a definable group. Then there is an $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ -definable group K that contains G as a subgroup, such that:

1. if H is an $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ -definable group with $G \subseteq H$, then $K \hookrightarrow H$ (in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$).
2. $cl_K(G) = K$ and $\dim G = \dim K$.

Idea of the proof:

- ▶ Find an $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ -definable “group chunk” between G and $cl(G)$.

Definition

Let \mathcal{N} be o-minimal, and $V \subseteq X \subseteq N^n$ definable. We call V *large in X* if $\dim(X \setminus V) < \dim X$.

Group chunk (\mathcal{N} o-minimal)

- ▶ An \mathcal{N} -definable group chunk is a triple (X, i, F) , where $X \subseteq N^n$ is \mathcal{N} -definable, and $i : X \rightarrow N^n$, $F : Z \subseteq X^2 \rightarrow N^n$ are \mathcal{N} -definable maps that “resemble” group inverse and multiplication, respectively, on a large subset of X :

Group chunk (\mathcal{N} o-minimal)

- ▶ An \mathcal{N} -definable group chunk is a triple (X, i, F) , where $X \subseteq N^n$ is \mathcal{N} -definable, and $i : X \rightarrow N^n$, $F : Z \subseteq X^2 \rightarrow N^n$ are \mathcal{N} -definable maps that “resemble” group inverse and multiplication, respectively, on a large subset of X :
 1. i is injective on a large subset of X .

Group chunk (\mathcal{N} o-minimal)

- An \mathcal{N} -definable group chunk is a triple (X, i, F) , where $X \subseteq N^n$ is \mathcal{N} -definable, and $i : X \rightarrow N^n$, $F : Z \subseteq X^2 \rightarrow N^n$ are \mathcal{N} -definable maps that “resemble” group inverse and multiplication, respectively, on a large subset of X :
1. i is injective on a large subset of X .
 2. for every $x \in X$, $F(x, -) : Z_x \rightarrow F(x, Z_x)$ and $F(-, x) : Z^x \rightarrow F(Z^x, x)$ are bijections between large subsets of X .

Group chunk (\mathcal{N} o-minimal)

- An \mathcal{N} -definable group chunk is a triple (X, i, F) , where $X \subseteq N^n$ is \mathcal{N} -definable, and $i : X \rightarrow N^n$, $F : Z \subseteq X^2 \rightarrow N^n$ are \mathcal{N} -definable maps that “resemble” group inverse and multiplication, respectively, on a large subset of X :
1. i is injective on a large subset of X .
 2. for every $x \in X$, $F(x, -) : Z_x \rightarrow F(x, Z_x)$ and $F(-, x) : Z^x \rightarrow F(Z^x, x)$ are bijections between large subsets of X .
 3. for every $(x, y) \in Z$, there is a large $S_{(x,y)} \subseteq X$, such that for all $z \in S_{(x,y)}$, the following expressions are defined and are equal:

$$(a) \quad F(F(x, y), z) = F(x, F(y, z))$$

$$(b) \quad F(x, F(i(x), z)) = z = F(F(z, x), i(x)),$$

Group chunk (\mathcal{N} o-minimal)

- An \mathcal{N} -definable group chunk is a triple (X, i, F) , where $X \subseteq N^n$ is \mathcal{N} -definable, and $i : X \rightarrow N^n$, $F : Z \subseteq X^2 \rightarrow N^n$ are \mathcal{N} -definable maps that “resemble” group inverse and multiplication, respectively, on a large subset of X :
1. i is injective on a large subset of X .
 2. for every $x \in X$, $F(x, -) : Z_x \rightarrow F(x, Z_x)$ and $F(-, x) : Z^x \rightarrow F(Z^x, x)$ are bijections between large subsets of X .
 3. for every $(x, y) \in Z$, there is a large $S_{(x,y)} \subseteq X$, such that for all $z \in S_{(x,y)}$, the following expressions are defined and are equal:
 - (a) $F(F(x, y), z) = F(x, F(y, z))$
 - (b) $F(x, F(i(x), z)) = z = F(F(z, x), i(x))$,
 4. for every $x \in X$, $\pi_1 F^{-1}(x)$ and $\pi_2 F^{-1}(x)$ are large in X .

Group chunk theorem (GCT)

Theorem

Let (X, i, F) be an \mathcal{N} -definable group chunk, with $X \subseteq N^n$, $i : X \rightarrow N^n$, and $F : Z \subseteq X^2 \rightarrow N^n$.

Group chunk theorem (GCT)

Theorem

Let (X, i, F) be an \mathcal{N} -definable group chunk, with $X \subseteq N^n$, $i : X \rightarrow N^n$, and $F : Z \subseteq X^2 \rightarrow N^n$. Then:

- (i) there is an \mathcal{N} -definable group $K = \langle K, * \rangle$ with $X \subseteq K$ large in K , and such that for every $(x, y) \in Z$,

$$F(x, y) = x * y.$$

Group chunk theorem (GCT)

Theorem

Let (X, i, F) be an \mathcal{N} -definable group chunk, with $X \subseteq N^n$, $i : X \rightarrow N^n$, and $F : Z \subseteq X^2 \rightarrow N^n$. Then:

- (i) there is an \mathcal{N} -definable group $K = \langle K, * \rangle$ with $X \subseteq K$ large in K , and such that for every $(x, y) \in Z$,

$$F(x, y) = x * y.$$

- (ii) K \mathcal{N} -definably embeds into any \mathcal{N} -definable group H that extends (X, i, F) , and if X is large in H , then $K \cong H$ (in \mathcal{N}).

Back to Theorem 1:

Let $G = (G, \cdot)$ be a definable group. Then there is an $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ -definable group K that contains G as a subgroup, such that:

1. if H is an $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ -definable group with $G \subseteq H$, then $K \hookrightarrow H$ (in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$).
2. $cl_K(G) = K$ and $\dim G = \dim K$.

Back to Theorem 1:

Let $G = (G, \cdot)$ be a definable group. Then there is an $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ -definable group K that contains G as a subgroup, such that:

1. if H is an $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ -definable group with $G \subseteq H$, then $K \hookrightarrow H$ (in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$).
2. $cl_K(G) = K$ and $\dim G = \dim K$.

Sketch of the proof.

Step I. Find an $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ -definable group chunk (X, i, F) , extending (G, \cdot) , with $G \subseteq X \subseteq cl(G)$.

Step II. Apply GCT to (X, i, F) . We get a group K as needed.

Back to Theorem 1:

Let $G = (G, \cdot)$ be a definable group. Then there is an $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ -definable group K that contains G as a subgroup, such that:

1. if H is an $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ -definable group with $G \subseteq H$, then $K \hookrightarrow H$ (in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$).
2. $cl_K(G) = K$ and $\dim G = \dim K$.

Sketch of the proof.

Step I. Find an $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ -definable group chunk (X, i, F) , extending (G, \cdot) , with $G \subseteq X \subseteq cl(G)$.

Pf. Extend $^{-1}, \cdot$ to $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ -definable maps $i : X \rightarrow N^n$, $F : Z \subseteq X^2 \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}^n$, making sure i , $F(x, -)$ and $F(-, x)$ remain injective.

Step II. Apply GCT to (X, i, F) . We get a group K as needed.

Back to Theorem 1:

Let $G = (G, \cdot)$ be a definable group. Then there is an $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ -definable group K that contains G as a subgroup, such that:

1. if H is an $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ -definable group with $G \subseteq H$, then $K \hookrightarrow H$ (in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$).
2. $cl_K(G) = K$ and $\dim G = \dim K$.

Sketch of the proof.

Step I. Find an $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ -definable group chunk (X, i, F) , extending (G, \cdot) , with $G \subseteq X \subseteq cl(G)$.

Pf. Extend $^{-1}, \cdot$ to $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ -definable maps $i : X \rightarrow N^n$, $F : Z \subseteq X^2 \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}^n$, making sure i , $F(x, -)$ and $F(-, x)$ remain injective.
Restrict X further, so that the group chunk properties are met.

Step II. Apply GCT to (X, i, F) . We get a group K as needed.

Back to Theorem 1:

Let $G = (G, \cdot)$ be a definable group. Then there is an $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ -definable group K that contains G as a subgroup, such that:

1. if H is an $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ -definable group with $G \subseteq H$, then $K \hookrightarrow H$ (in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$).
2. $cl_K(G) = K$ and $\dim G = \dim K$.

Sketch of the proof.

Step I. Find an $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ -definable group chunk (X, i, F) , extending (G, \cdot) , with $G \subseteq X \subseteq cl(G)$.

Pf. Extend $^{-1}, \cdot$ to $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ -definable maps $i : X \rightarrow N^n$, $F : Z \subseteq X^2 \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}^n$, making sure i , $F(x, -)$ and $F(-, x)$ remain injective.
Restrict X further, so that the group chunk properties are met.

Step II. Apply GCT to (X, i, F) . We get a group K as needed.

Pf (2.) Recall, $G \subseteq X \subseteq cl(G)$, and $X \subseteq K$ large in K .

Back to Theorem 1:

Let $G = (G, \cdot)$ be a definable group. Then there is an $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ -definable group K that contains G as a subgroup, such that:

1. if H is an $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ -definable group with $G \subseteq H$, then $K \hookrightarrow H$ (in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$).
2. $cl_K(G) = K$ and $\dim G = \dim K$.

Sketch of the proof.

Step I. Find an $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ -definable group chunk (X, i, F) , extending (G, \cdot) , with $G \subseteq X \subseteq cl(G)$.

Pf. Extend $^{-1}, \cdot$ to $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ -definable maps $i : X \rightarrow N^n$, $F : Z \subseteq X^2 \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}^n$, making sure i , $F(x, -)$ and $F(-, x)$ remain injective.
Restrict X further, so that the group chunk properties are met.

Step II. Apply GCT to (X, i, F) . We get a group K as needed.

Pf (2.) Recall, $G \subseteq X \subseteq cl(G)$, and $X \subseteq K$ large in K .

For $cl_K(G) = K$, take K -open $U \subseteq K$.

Back to Theorem 1:

Let $G = (G, \cdot)$ be a definable group. Then there is an $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ -definable group K that contains G as a subgroup, such that:

1. if H is an $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ -definable group with $G \subseteq H$, then $K \hookrightarrow H$ (in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$).
2. $cl_K(G) = K$ and $\dim G = \dim K$.

Sketch of the proof.

Step I. Find an $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ -definable group chunk (X, i, F) , extending (G, \cdot) , with $G \subseteq X \subseteq cl(G)$.

Pf. Extend $^{-1}, \cdot$ to $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ -definable maps $i : X \rightarrow N^n$, $F : Z \subseteq X^2 \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}^n$, making sure i , $F(x, -)$ and $F(-, x)$ remain injective.
Restrict X further, so that the group chunk properties are met.

Step II. Apply GCT to (X, i, F) . We get a group K as needed.

Pf (2.) Recall, $G \subseteq X \subseteq cl(G)$, and $X \subseteq K$ large in K .

For $cl_K(G) = K$, take K -open $U \subseteq K$. Since X is large in K , $\dim U \cap X = \dim X$.

Back to Theorem 1:

Let $G = (G, \cdot)$ be a definable group. Then there is an $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ -definable group K that contains G as a subgroup, such that:

1. if H is an $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ -definable group with $G \subseteq H$, then $K \hookrightarrow H$ (in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$).
2. $cl_K(G) = K$ and $\dim G = \dim K$.

Sketch of the proof.

Step I. Find an $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ -definable group chunk (X, i, F) , extending (G, \cdot) , with $G \subseteq X \subseteq cl(G)$.

Pf. Extend $^{-1}, \cdot$ to $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ -definable maps $i : X \rightarrow N^n$, $F : Z \subseteq X^2 \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}^n$, making sure i , $F(x, -)$ and $F(-, x)$ remain injective.
Restrict X further, so that the group chunk properties are met.

Step II. Apply GCT to (X, i, F) . We get a group K as needed.

Pf (2.) Recall, $G \subseteq X \subseteq cl(G)$, and $X \subseteq K$ large in K .

For $cl_K(G) = K$, take K -open $U \subseteq K$. Since X is large in K , $\dim U \cap X = \dim X$. But $X \subseteq cl(G)$, hence $U \cap G \neq \emptyset$.

Back to Theorem 1:

Let $G = (G, \cdot)$ be a definable group. Then there is an $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ -definable group K that contains G as a subgroup, such that:

1. if H is an $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ -definable group with $G \subseteq H$, then $K \hookrightarrow H$ (in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$).
2. $cl_K(G) = K$ and $\dim G = \dim K$.

Sketch of the proof.

Step I. Find an $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ -definable group chunk (X, i, F) , extending (G, \cdot) , with $G \subseteq X \subseteq cl(G)$.

Pf. Extend $^{-1}, \cdot$ to $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ -definable maps $i : X \rightarrow N^n$, $F : Z \subseteq X^2 \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}^n$, making sure i , $F(x, -)$ and $F(-, x)$ remain injective.
Restrict X further, so that the group chunk properties are met.

Step II. Apply GCT to (X, i, F) . We get a group K as needed.

Pf (2.) Recall, $G \subseteq X \subseteq cl(G)$, and $X \subseteq K$ large in K .

For $cl_K(G) = K$, take K -open $U \subseteq K$. Since X is large in K , $\dim U \cap X = \dim X$. But $X \subseteq cl(G)$, hence $U \cap G \neq \emptyset$.

Also, $\dim G = \dim cl(G) = \dim X = \dim K$.

Pillay's Conjecture (PC)

Let G be a group definable in a sufficiently saturated o-minimal structure \mathcal{N} .

Pillay's Conjecture (PC)

Let G be a group definable in a sufficiently saturated o-minimal structure \mathcal{N} . Then:

1. G has a smallest type-definable subgroup G^{00} of bounded index.
2. G/G^{00} , equipped with the logic topology, is a compact real Lie group.
3. If G is definable compact, then $\dim_{\text{Lie}} G/G^{00} = \dim G$.

Pillay's Conjecture (PC)

Let G be a group definable in a sufficiently saturated o-minimal structure \mathcal{N} . Then:

1. G has a smallest type-definable subgroup G^{00} of bounded index.
2. G/G^{00} , equipped with the logic topology, is a compact real Lie group.
3. If G is definable compact, then $\dim_{\text{Lie}} G/G^{00} = \dim G$.

Example

Let $S^1 \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ be the unit circle with complex multiplication,

Pillay's Conjecture (PC)

Let G be a group definable in a sufficiently saturated o-minimal structure \mathcal{N} . Then:

1. G has a smallest type-definable subgroup G^{00} of bounded index.
2. G/G^{00} , equipped with the logic topology, is a compact real Lie group.
3. If G is definable compact, then $\dim_{\text{Lie}} G/G^{00} = \dim G$.

Example

Let $S^1 \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ be the unit circle with complex multiplication, $G = S^1(\mathcal{N})$, where \mathcal{N} is a non-standard extension of the real field.

Pillay's Conjecture (PC)

Let G be a group definable in a sufficiently saturated o-minimal structure \mathcal{N} . Then:

1. G has a smallest type-definable subgroup G^{00} of bounded index.
2. G/G^{00} , equipped with the logic topology, is a compact real Lie group.
3. If G is definable compact, then $\dim_{\text{Lie}} G/G^{00} = \dim G$.

Example

Let $S^1 \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ be the unit circle with complex multiplication, $G = S^1(\mathcal{N})$, where \mathcal{N} is a non-standard extension of the real field. Let $st : G \rightarrow S^1$ be the standard part map.

Pillay's Conjecture (PC)

Let G be a group definable in a sufficiently saturated o-minimal structure \mathcal{N} . Then:

1. G has a smallest type-definable subgroup G^{00} of bounded index.
2. G/G^{00} , equipped with the logic topology, is a compact real Lie group.
3. If G is definable compact, then $\dim_{\text{Lie}} G/G^{00} = \dim G$.

Example

Let $S^1 \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ be the unit circle with complex multiplication, $G = S^1(\mathcal{N})$, where \mathcal{N} is a non-standard extension of the real field. Let $st : G \rightarrow S^1$ be the standard part map. Then $G^{00} = \ker(st)$ and $G/G^{00} = S^1$.

Pillay's Conjecture (PC)

Let G be a group definable in a sufficiently saturated o-minimal structure \mathcal{N} . Then:

1. G has a smallest type-definable subgroup G^{00} of bounded index.
2. G/G^{00} , equipped with the logic topology, is a compact real Lie group.
3. If G is definable compact, then $\dim_{\text{Lie}} G/G^{00} = \dim G$.

Example

Let $S^1 \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ be the unit circle with complex multiplication, $G = S^1(\mathcal{N})$, where \mathcal{N} is a non-standard extension of the real field.

Let $st : G \rightarrow S^1$ be the standard part map.

Then $G^{00} = \ker(st)$ and $G/G^{00} = S^1$.

- ▶ In weakly o-minimal (and in fact NIP) structures
 - G^{00} always exists

Pillay's Conjecture (PC)

Let G be a group definable in a sufficiently saturated o-minimal structure \mathcal{N} . Then:

1. G has a smallest type-definable subgroup G^{00} of bounded index.
2. G/G^{00} , equipped with the logic topology, is a compact real Lie group.
3. If G is definable compact, then $\dim_{\text{Lie}} G/G^{00} = \dim G$.

Example

Let $S^1 \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ be the unit circle with complex multiplication, $G = S^1(\mathcal{N})$, where \mathcal{N} is a non-standard extension of the real field.

Let $st : G \rightarrow S^1$ be the standard part map.

Then $G^{00} = \ker(st)$ and $G/G^{00} = S^1$.

- ▶ In weakly o-minimal (and in fact NIP) structures
 - G^{00} always exists
 - definably compactness has been generalized to *finitely satisfiable generics (fsg)* (which amounts to the existence of a nice measure).

fsg – measures

Fact

Let G be a group definable in a NIP structure. TFAE:

1. G has *fsg*
2. G admits a left-invariant generically stable measure
3. G admits a left-invariant finitely interpretation measure (*fim*).

PC for weakly o-minimal nonvaluational structures

Theorem 2

Assume \mathcal{M} is sufficiently saturated. Let G be a definable group, and K as in Theorem 1.

PC for weakly o-minimal nonvaluational structures

Theorem 2

Assume \mathcal{M} is sufficiently saturated. Let G be a definable group, and K as in Theorem 1. Then:

1. $G^{00} = G \cap K^{00}$.

PC for weakly o-minimal nonvaluational structures

Theorem 2

Assume \mathcal{M} is sufficiently saturated. Let G be a definable group, and K as in Theorem 1. Then:

1. $G^{00} = G \cap K^{00}$.
2. $G/G^{00} \cong K/K^{00}$, and hence is a compact real Lie group.

PC for weakly o-minimal nonvaluational structures

Theorem 2

Assume \mathcal{M} is sufficiently saturated. Let G be a definable group, and K as in Theorem 1. Then:

1. $G^{00} = G \cap K^{00}$.
2. $G/G^{00} \cong K/K^{00}$, and hence is a compact real Lie group.
3. If G has fsg, then so does K , and hence $\dim_{\text{Lie}} G/G^{00} = \dim G$.

PC for weakly o-minimal nonvaluational structures

Theorem 2

Assume \mathcal{M} is sufficiently saturated. Let G be a definable group, and K as in Theorem 1. Then:

1. $G^{00} = G \cap K^{00}$.
2. $G/G^{00} \cong K/K^{00}$, and hence is a compact real Lie group.
3. If G has fsg, then so does K , and hence $\dim_{\text{Lie}} G/G^{00} = \dim G$.

Sketch of the proof.

PC for weakly o-minimal nonvaluational structures

Theorem 2

Assume \mathcal{M} is sufficiently saturated. Let G be a definable group, and K as in Theorem 1. Then:

1. $G^{00} = G \cap K^{00}$.
2. $G/G^{00} \cong K/K^{00}$, and hence is a compact real Lie group.
3. If G has fsg, then so does K , and hence $\dim_{\text{Lie}} G/G^{00} = \dim G$.

Sketch of the proof.

For 1, let $H = \bigcap_i H_i$ be a type-definable subgroup of G of bounded index.

PC for weakly o-minimal nonvaluational structures

Theorem 2

Assume \mathcal{M} is sufficiently saturated. Let G be a definable group, and K as in Theorem 1. Then:

1. $G^{00} = G \cap K^{00}$.
2. $G/G^{00} \cong K/K^{00}$, and hence is a compact real Lie group.
3. If G has fsg, then so does K , and hence $\dim_{\text{Lie}} G/G^{00} = \dim G$.

Sketch of the proof.

For 1, let $H = \bigcap_i H_i$ be a type-definable subgroup of G of bounded index. We want: $G \cap K^{00} \subseteq H$.

PC for weakly o-minimal nonvaluational structures

Theorem 2

Assume \mathcal{M} is sufficiently saturated. Let G be a definable group, and K as in Theorem 1. Then:

1. $G^{00} = G \cap K^{00}$.
2. $G/G^{00} \cong K/K^{00}$, and hence is a compact real Lie group.
3. If G has fsg, then so does K , and hence $\dim_{\text{Lie}} G/G^{00} = \dim G$.

Sketch of the proof.

For 1, let $H = \bigcap_i H_i$ be a type-definable subgroup of G of bounded index. We want: $G \cap K^{00} \subseteq H$. Let $L = \text{cl}_K(H)$.

PC for weakly o-minimal nonvaluational structures

Theorem 2

Assume \mathcal{M} is sufficiently saturated. Let G be a definable group, and K as in Theorem 1. Then:

1. $G^{00} = G \cap K^{00}$.
2. $G/G^{00} \cong K/K^{00}$, and hence is a compact real Lie group.
3. If G has fsg, then so does K , and hence $\dim_{\text{Lie}} G/G^{00} = \dim G$.

Sketch of the proof.

For 1, let $H = \bigcap_i H_i$ be a type-definable subgroup of G of bounded index. We want: $G \cap K^{00} \subseteq H$. Let $L = \text{cl}_K(H)$. Check that $L = \bigcap_i \text{cl}_K(H_i)$ is a type-definable subgroup of K of bounded index.

PC for weakly o-minimal nonvaluational structures

Theorem 2

Assume \mathcal{M} is sufficiently saturated. Let G be a definable group, and K as in Theorem 1. Then:

1. $G^{00} = G \cap K^{00}$.
2. $G/G^{00} \cong K/K^{00}$, and hence is a compact real Lie group.
3. If G has fsg, then so does K , and hence $\dim_{\text{Lie}} G/G^{00} = \dim G$.

Sketch of the proof.

For 1, let $H = \bigcap_i H_i$ be a type-definable subgroup of G of bounded index. We want: $G \cap K^{00} \subseteq H$. Let $L = \text{cl}_K(H)$. Check that $L = \bigcap_i \text{cl}_K(H_i)$ is a type-definable subgroup of K of bounded index. So $K^{00} \subseteq L$ and $G \cap K^{00} \subseteq G \cap L$.

PC for weakly o-minimal nonvaluational structures

Theorem 2

Assume \mathcal{M} is sufficiently saturated. Let G be a definable group, and K as in Theorem 1. Then:

1. $G^{00} = G \cap K^{00}$.
2. $G/G^{00} \cong K/K^{00}$, and hence is a compact real Lie group.
3. If G has fsg, then so does K , and hence $\dim_{\text{Lie}} G/G^{00} = \dim G$.

Sketch of the proof.

For 1, let $H = \bigcap_i H_i$ be a type-definable subgroup of G of bounded index. We want: $G \cap K^{00} \subseteq H$. Let $L = \text{cl}_K(H)$. Check that $L = \bigcap_i \text{cl}_K(H_i)$ is a type-definable subgroup of K of bounded index. So $K^{00} \subseteq L$ and $G \cap K^{00} \subseteq G \cap L$. Remains to check $H = G \cap L$:

PC for weakly o-minimal nonvaluational structures

Theorem 2

Assume \mathcal{M} is sufficiently saturated. Let G be a definable group, and K as in Theorem 1. Then:

1. $G^{00} = G \cap K^{00}$.
2. $G/G^{00} \cong K/K^{00}$, and hence is a compact real Lie group.
3. If G has fsg, then so does K , and hence $\dim_{\text{Lie}} G/G^{00} = \dim G$.

Sketch of the proof.

For 1, let $H = \bigcap_i H_i$ be a type-definable subgroup of G of bounded index. We want: $G \cap K^{00} \subseteq H$. Let $L = \text{cl}_K(H)$. Check that $L = \bigcap_i \text{cl}_K(H_i)$ is a type-definable subgroup of K of bounded index. So $K^{00} \subseteq L$ and $G \cap K^{00} \subseteq G \cap L$. Remains to check $H = G \cap L$: indeed, for every coset aH , $\dim aH = \dim H = \dim L$, and since $\text{cl}_K(H) = L$, we get $aH \cap H \neq \emptyset$.

PC for weakly o-minimal nonvaluational structures

Theorem 2

Assume \mathcal{M} is sufficiently saturated. Let G be a definable group, and K as in Theorem 1. Then:

1. $G^{00} = G \cap K^{00}$.
2. $G/G^{00} \cong K/K^{00}$, and hence is a compact real Lie group.
3. If G has fsg, then so does K , and hence $\dim_{\text{Lie}} G/G^{00} = \dim G$.

Sketch of the proof.

For 1, let $H = \bigcap_i H_i$ be a type-definable subgroup of G of bounded index. We want: $G \cap K^{00} \subseteq H$. Let $L = \text{cl}_K(H)$. Check that $L = \bigcap_i \text{cl}_K(H_i)$ is a type-definable subgroup of K of bounded index. So $K^{00} \subseteq L$ and $G \cap K^{00} \subseteq G \cap L$. Remains to check $H = G \cap L$: indeed, for every coset aH , $\dim aH = \dim H = \dim L$, and since $\text{cl}_K(H) = L$, we get $aH \cap H \neq \emptyset$.

For 2, show $xG^{00} \mapsto xK^{00}$ is an isomorphism.

PC for weakly o-minimal nonvaluational structures

Theorem 2

Assume \mathcal{M} is sufficiently saturated. Let G be a definable group, and K as in Theorem 1. Then:

1. $G^{00} = G \cap K^{00}$.
2. $G/G^{00} \cong K/K^{00}$, and hence is a compact real Lie group.
3. If G has fsg, then so does K , and hence $\dim_{\text{Lie}} G/G^{00} = \dim G$.

Sketch of the proof.

For 1, let $H = \bigcap_i H_i$ be a type-definable subgroup of G of bounded index. We want: $G \cap K^{00} \subseteq H$. Let $L = \text{cl}_K(H)$. Check that $L = \bigcap_i \text{cl}_K(H_i)$ is a type-definable subgroup of K of bounded index. So $K^{00} \subseteq L$ and $G \cap K^{00} \subseteq G \cap L$. Remains to check $H = G \cap L$: indeed, for every coset aH , $\dim aH = \dim H = \dim L$, and since $\text{cl}_K(H) = L$, we get $aH \cap H \neq \emptyset$.

For 2, show $xG^{00} \mapsto xK^{00}$ is an isomorphism.

For 3, if μ is a nice measure on G , so is $\nu(X) := \mu(X \cap G)$ on K . □

Questions

1. Is G a trace of a group K definable in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$?
2. Is $\mathcal{M} = \langle \mathcal{R}, \text{Fin}(R) \rangle$ the trace of a real closed field?

Questions

1. Is G a trace of a group K definable in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$?
2. Is $\mathcal{M} = \langle \mathcal{R}, \text{Fin}(R) \rangle$ the trace of a real closed field?
3. Definable groups in dense pairs? E.g. $\langle \text{Real field}, \mathbb{R}_{alg} \rangle$, or $\langle \overline{\mathcal{M}}, \mathcal{M} \rangle$.

Questions

1. Is G a trace of a group K definable in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$?
2. Is $\mathcal{M} = \langle \mathcal{R}, \text{Fin}(R) \rangle$ the trace of a real closed field?
3. Definable groups in dense pairs? E.g. $\langle \text{Real field}, \mathbb{R}_{alg} \rangle$, or $\langle \overline{\mathcal{M}}, \mathcal{M} \rangle$.
4. Definable groups in NIP expansions of o-minimal structures?

Questions

1. Is G a trace of a group K definable in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$?
2. Is $\mathcal{M} = \langle \mathcal{R}, \text{Fin}(R) \rangle$ the trace of a real closed field?
3. Definable groups in dense pairs? E.g. $\langle \text{Real field}, \mathbb{R}_{alg} \rangle$, or $\langle \overline{\mathcal{M}}, \mathcal{M} \rangle$.
4. Definable groups in NIP expansions of o-minimal structures?

Thank you!

A *Keisler measure* μ on G is a finitely additive probability measure on $\text{Def}(G)$; that is, a map $\mu : \text{Def}(G) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$, $\mu(G) = 1$, and for $Y, Z \in \text{Def}(G)$,

$$\mu(Y \cup Z) = \mu(Y) + \mu(Z) - \mu(Y \cap Z).$$

A *Keisler measure* μ on G is a finitely additive probability measure on $\text{Def}(G)$; that is, a map $\mu : \text{Def}(G) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$, $\mu(G) = 1$, and for $Y, Z \in \text{Def}(G)$,

$$\mu(Y \cup Z) = \mu(Y) + \mu(Z) - \mu(Y \cap Z).$$

For $X \subseteq M^r$, $a_1, \dots, a_k \in M^r$, $|\text{Av}(a_1, \dots, a_k; X)| := \frac{1}{k} |\{i : a_i \in X\}|$.

fim

A *Keisler measure* μ on G is a finitely additive probability measure on $\text{Def}(G)$; that is, a map $\mu : \text{Def}(G) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$, $\mu(G) = 1$, and for $Y, Z \in \text{Def}(G)$,

$$\mu(Y \cup Z) = \mu(Y) + \mu(Z) - \mu(Y \cap Z).$$

For $X \subseteq M^r$, $a_1, \dots, a_k \in M^r$, $\text{Av}(a_1, \dots, a_k; X) := \frac{1}{k} |\{i : a_i \in X\}|$.

A Keisler measure μ on G is called a *frequency interpretation measure* (*fim*) if for every formula $\varphi(x; y)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, there are $a_1, \dots, a_k \in M^n$, such that for every $c \in M^m$, and for $X = \varphi(R; c)$, we have

$$|\mu(X) - \text{Av}(a_1, \dots, a_k; X)| < \varepsilon.$$

A *Keisler measure* μ on G is a finitely additive probability measure on $\text{Def}(G)$; that is, a map $\mu : \text{Def}(G) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$, $\mu(G) = 1$, and for $Y, Z \in \text{Def}(G)$,

$$\mu(Y \cup Z) = \mu(Y) + \mu(Z) - \mu(Y \cap Z).$$

For $X \subseteq M^r$, $a_1, \dots, a_k \in M^r$, $\text{Av}(a_1, \dots, a_k; X) := \frac{1}{k} |\{i : a_i \in X\}|$.

A Keisler measure μ on G is called a *frequency interpretation measure* (*fim*) if for every formula $\varphi(x; y)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, there are $a_1, \dots, a_k \in M^n$, such that for every $c \in M^m$, and for $X = \varphi(R; c)$, we have

$$|\mu(X) - \text{Av}(a_1, \dots, a_k; X)| < \varepsilon.$$

Example

Let $G = S^1$, μ measures length, and $\mu(G) = 1$.

fim

A *Keisler measure* μ on G is a finitely additive probability measure on $\text{Def}(G)$; that is, a map $\mu : \text{Def}(G) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$, $\mu(G) = 1$, and for $Y, Z \in \text{Def}(G)$,

$$\mu(Y \cup Z) = \mu(Y) + \mu(Z) - \mu(Y \cap Z).$$

For $X \subseteq M^r$, $a_1, \dots, a_k \in M^r$, $\text{Av}(a_1, \dots, a_k; X) := \frac{1}{k} |\{i : a_i \in X\}|$.

A Keisler measure μ on G is called a *frequency interpretation measure* (*fim*) if for every formula $\varphi(x; y)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, there are $a_1, \dots, a_k \in M^n$, such that for every $c \in M^m$, and for $X = \varphi(R; c)$, we have

$$|\mu(X) - \text{Av}(a_1, \dots, a_k; X)| < \varepsilon.$$

Example

Let $G = S^1$, μ measures length, and $\mu(G) = 1$.

If $\varphi(x, a, b)$ defines the arc (a, b) , and $\varepsilon \leq 1$,

A *Keisler measure* μ on G is a finitely additive probability measure on $\text{Def}(G)$; that is, a map $\mu : \text{Def}(G) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$, $\mu(G) = 1$, and for $Y, Z \in \text{Def}(G)$,

$$\mu(Y \cup Z) = \mu(Y) + \mu(Z) - \mu(Y \cap Z).$$

For $X \subseteq M^r$, $a_1, \dots, a_k \in M^r$, $\text{Av}(a_1, \dots, a_k; X) := \frac{1}{k} |\{i : a_i \in X\}|$.

A Keisler measure μ on G is called a *frequency interpretation measure* (*fim*) if for every formula $\varphi(x; y)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, there are $a_1, \dots, a_k \in M^n$, such that for every $c \in M^m$, and for $X = \varphi(R; c)$, we have

$$|\mu(X) - \text{Av}(a_1, \dots, a_k; X)| < \varepsilon.$$

Example

Let $G = S^1$, μ measures length, and $\mu(G) = 1$.

If $\varphi(x, a, b)$ defines the arc (a, b) , and $\varepsilon \leq 1$,

we can let $k = \lceil 1/\varepsilon \rceil$ and choose a_1, \dots, a_k to equipartition G .

