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Theorem (Solovay)
Assume there is a measurable cardinal, then there is a canonical $\Pi_{2}^{1}$ singleton, called 0\#.

Definition
$x$ is a $\Pi_{2}^{1}$ singleton iff $\{x\}$ is a $\Pi_{2}^{1}$ set. That is, iff there is a first formula $\varphi(v, y, z)$ such that

$$
v=x \text { iff } \forall y \exists z(\omega ; 0,1,+, \cdot, v, y, z) \models \varphi(v, y, z) .
$$

## 0 \#

From a measurable cardinal, we get a nontrivial elementary embedding $j: V \rightarrow M$. Restrict $j$ to $L$.

$$
j \upharpoonright L: L \rightarrow L
$$

is a nontrivial elementary embedding of $L$ into itself. Its existence is a large cardinal notion.
If there is a measurable cardinal, then

$$
0^{\#}=\left\{\left\ulcorner\varphi\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}\right)\right\urcorner: n \in \omega \wedge L \models \varphi\left(\aleph_{1}, \ldots, \aleph_{n}\right)\right\} .
$$

## 0 \#

## Definition

$0^{\#}$ exists iff there is a mouse $\left(L_{\alpha} ; \in, U\right)$.
$L_{\alpha}$ has a largest cardinal $\kappa . U$ is a partial normal measure on $\kappa . U$ measures all the subsets of $\kappa$ in $L_{\alpha}$ and is amenable to $L_{\alpha}$. $\left(L_{\alpha} ; \in, U\right)$ can be iterated.

$$
j:\left(L_{\alpha} ; \in, U\right) \rightarrow\left(L_{\beta} ; \in, U^{\prime}\right)
$$

The $\Pi_{2}^{1}$ definition of $0^{\#}$ is:
$0^{\#}$ codes a mouse $N, N$ is the $\Sigma_{1}$ Skolem hull of $\emptyset$, and for any countable ordinal $\gamma$, the $\gamma$-th iterate of the mouse is wellfounded.

## $0^{\#}$ and $L$


$0^{\#} \notin L$.
An inner model without $0^{\#}$ is close to $L$.
Theorem (Jensen)
If $N$ is an inner model and $0 \# \notin N$, then for any $N$-cardinal $\kappa \geq \aleph_{1}^{N},\left(\kappa^{+}\right)^{N}=\left(\kappa^{+}\right)^{L}$.

Question
Is there a $\Pi_{2}^{1}$ singleton $x$ such that $0<_{L} x<_{L} 0^{\#}$ ?
Definition
$x \leq_{L} y$ iff $x \in L[y] . x<_{L} y$ iff $x \leq_{L} y \wedge y \not Z_{L} x$.

## A $\Pi_{2}^{1}$ singleton between 0 and $0 \#$

## Theorem (Friedman)

There is a $\Pi_{2}^{1}$ singleton $x$ such that $0<_{L} x<_{L} 0^{\#}$.
Note: This is different from the $\Pi_{1}^{1}$ case. Kleene's $\mathcal{O}$ is a $\Pi_{1}^{1}$ singleton, but there is no $\Pi_{1}^{1}$ singleton $x$ such that $0<_{\text {HYP }} \times<_{\text {HYP }} \mathcal{O}$.
We try to generalize to the projective levels. Assume PD. The odd levels look alike.

Theorem (Kechris-Martin-Solovay)
There is a $\Pi_{2 n+1}^{1}$ singleton $M_{2 n-1}^{\#}$ such that no $\Pi_{2 n+1}^{1}$ singleton $x$ satisfies $0<M_{2 n-1} \times<_{M_{2 n-1}} M_{2 n-1}^{\#}$.

## The higher degree notion

$M_{n}(x)$ is the least canonical inner modeel containing $x$ and has $n$ Woodins cardinals.

Definition
$x \leq_{M_{n}} y$ iff $x \in M_{n}(y) . x<_{M_{n}} y$ iff $x \leq_{M_{n}} y \wedge y \not \mathbb{Z}_{M_{n}} x$.
Question
Does Friedman's result on $\Pi_{2}^{1}$ singletions generalize to $\Pi_{2 n}^{1}$ ? Is there a $\Pi_{4}^{1}$ singleton $x$ such that $0<M_{2} \times<_{M_{2}} M_{2}^{\#}$ ?
We have a pseudo result and an approach towards a true result.

## The $\Pi_{2}^{1}$ singleton argument

Use Jensen coding over $L$. Define over $L$ a class forcing $\mathbb{P}$ with a unique $\mathbb{P}$-generic, coded by a real $R<_{L} 0^{\#}$. The $\mathbb{P}$-generic is determined by $R$ in the following way:

- There is a $\Sigma_{1}$ over $L$ class function $\left\langle\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right\rangle \mapsto \tau\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right)$ such that the $\mathbb{P}$-generic determined by $R$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& G_{R}=\left\{p \in \mathbb{P}: p \text { is compatible with } \tau\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}\right)\right. \\
& \text { for all indiscernibles } \left.i_{1}<\cdots<i_{n}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

- $R$ codes $A \subseteq L$, Jensen coding.
- (The $\Pi_{2}^{1}$ definition of $R$ ) Whenever $\tau\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right)$ "contradicts" $R$ (think of $\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right)$ as a guess of indescernibles), $\boldsymbol{A}$ "kills" $\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right)$ by adding a club in $\alpha_{1}$.


## The $\Pi_{2}^{1}$ singleton argument

How to construct such a $\mathbb{P}$-generic over $L$ ?
We would like $G \subseteq \mathbb{P}$ to be preserved under all embeddings of $L$ arised from shifting indiscernibles.
If $j: L \rightarrow L$ and $j\left(c_{i}\right)=c_{f(i)}$, where $f$ is an order preserving map,
( $c_{i}: i \in \mathrm{Ord}$ ) is the class of indiscernibles, then $j^{\prime \prime} G \subseteq G$.

## The main difficulties

We would like to force over $M_{2}$ so that $M_{2}[R]$ looks like $M_{2}(R)$. This is not a problem with the $L$ case.
There is a technique developed by Friedman that preserves the Woodin cardinals by Jensen coding. Many extenders in $M_{2}$ prolongs to $M_{2}[R]$. In $M_{2}[R]$, there are two Woodin cardinals, and $M_{2}[R]$ can construct a version of $M_{2}(R)$.
This approach ends up with a pseudo- $\Pi_{4}^{1}$ singleton. We get a real $R$ such that $0<_{M_{2}} R<_{M_{2}} M_{2}^{\#}$ and for a $\Pi_{1}$-formula $\varphi, R$ is the unique solution to

$$
M_{2}[x] \models \varphi(x) .
$$

There is a distinction between $M_{2}[x]$ and $M_{2}(x)$.

## Another approach

Instead of working with $M_{2}$, we work with the direct limit of all countable iterates of $M_{2}$, called $M_{2, \infty}$.
Theorem (Steel)
$L_{\delta_{3}^{1}}\left[T_{3}, x\right]$ is an initial segment of $M_{2, \infty}(x)$.
$L\left[T_{3}\right]$ has a higher level analog of the $L$-indiscernibles. There is a higher level analog of the elementary embeddings of $L$ arised from shifting indiscernibles.
If $j: L\left[T_{3}\right] \rightarrow L\left[T_{3}\right]$ is arised from shifting "level-3 indiscernibles", then $j^{\prime \prime} G \subseteq G$.
This is possible. There is a method of characterizing $L_{\delta_{3}^{1}}\left[T_{3}\right]$ as a direct limit indexed by ordinals in $\delta_{3}^{1}$ (instead of indexing by arbitrary iterates of $M_{2}$ ).
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