

A natural way to get stronger notion of randomness is to have weaker notion of test, i.e. a random set should pass more tests

Recall that, a M-L test is a uniformly c.e. sequence

We can relax the definition by require it to be only uniformly c.e. relative in some oracle A

Recall. we say B is c.e. in A iff

$$B = W_e^A = \{n \mid \Phi_e^A(n) \downarrow\} \text{ for some } e.$$

$\{U_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is uniformly c.e. in A iff there are basis $\{R_e\}_{e \in \mathbb{N}}$ of $\{U_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ which is uniformly c.e.

Def Z is M-L random relative to A iff Z passes all M-L tests

$\{U_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ uniformly c.e. in A

Similarly, we can define the relativised version of K

A prefix-free oracle machine is an oracle machine who domain is prefix-free

$$K_m^A(\tau) = K_{M^A}(\tau) = \text{the length of the shortest } \delta \text{ s.t. } M^A(\delta) = \tau$$

where M is a prefix-free oracle machine, A is an oracle

$$K^A(\tau) = K_u^A(\tau) \text{ for some universal prefix-free oracle machine}$$

Exe there is an universal prefix-free oracle machine

Also we can define a (super)martingale $d: \mathcal{Z}^{\omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}$ as c.e. in A

Fact TFAE

1) Z is M-L random relative to A

2) There is a constant c such that for any n

$$K(\mathbb{Z}^M) \geq n - c$$

3) There is no (super)martingale d.c.e. in A
wins on \mathbb{Z}

Proof relative

Fact If $\mathbb{Z} \leq_T A$, then \mathbb{Z} is not M-L random relative to A

Proof Assume $\mathbb{Z} = \Phi^A$.

Define $U_n = \lfloor \Phi^A \upharpoonright_n \rfloor$ which is a ML test relative to A \square

Theorem Let $A, B \in \mathcal{M}$, Then

$A \oplus B$ is M-L random $\Leftrightarrow B$ is M-L random and
 A is M-L random relative to B

Proof See section 6.9

Corollary If A, B are M-L random, then

A is M-L random relative to $B \Leftrightarrow B$ is M-L random relative to A

Corollary If $A \oplus B$ is M-L random, then $A \upharpoonright_T B$

Definition We say a set $A \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ is low if $A' \equiv_T \phi'$

Now, since we always have $\phi' \leq_T A'$, so it is equivalent to say A is low if $A' \leq_T \phi'$

Recall a set A is Δ_2^0 iff $A \leq_T \phi'$

since we always have $A \leq_T A'$, so a low set is always Δ_2^0

And if A is low, then $A \leq_T \phi'$

Thm There is a simple low set.

Corollary There is a non-computable low set

Def We say a set A is general low if $A' \equiv_T A \oplus \phi'$

Fact If A is Δ_2^0 and generalized low, then A is low.

But generalized low set may not be Δ_2^0

Thm If Z is Δ_2^0 and Z is M-L random relative to A

Then A is generalized low

Proof Z is Δ_2^0 so there is a function $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ s.t.

For each n , $f(n) = \mu s \forall t \geq s (Z_s \upharpoonright n = Z_t \upharpoonright n)$

Note $f \leq_T \phi'$

We want to compute $A' = \{e \mid \Phi_e^A(e) \downarrow\}$

Define

$\delta_e = Z_{s_e} \upharpoonright e+1$ if s_e is the first step that $\Phi_{e, s_e}^A(e) \downarrow$
if no such s_e , it is undefined

Let $U_n = \{\delta_e \mid e \geq n\}$

Then $\{\delta_e\}_{e \geq n}$ is uniformly c.e. in A

$$\text{And } \mu U_n \leq \sum_{e \geq n} \delta_e \leq \sum_{e \geq n} 2^{-(e+1)} = 2^{-n}$$

So $\{\delta_e\}_{e \geq n}$ is a M-L test relative to A

— $\bigcap_n U_n$, i.e. $Z \in \{\delta_e\}$ only for finitely many e .

i.e. $Z_{s_e} \upharpoonright e+1 \neq Z$ only for almost all e

i.e. $s_e \leq f(e)$ for almost all e

So for almost all e , to decide if $\Phi_e^A(e) \downarrow$,

we need only to check if $\Phi_{e, f(e)}^A(e) \downarrow$ which is computable in

$A \oplus f$ and so $A \oplus \phi'$ \square

There is a canonical hierarchy of stronger notion of randomness

Def A set A is n -random if A is M-L random relative to $\phi^{(n-1)}$

Recall A is 1-random iff A is M-L random

We look at Σ -randomness (M - L random relative to ϕ')

It seems that Σ -randomness is already fixing the drawbacks (too weak) of M - L randomness

Fact Ω is not Σ -randomness

Proof $\Omega \equiv_T \phi'$

Let $U_n = \lfloor \Omega \upharpoonright n \rfloor$ \square

Exe No left c.e. set is Σ -random

We show Σ -random sets are powerless as oracle

Thm If A is Σ -random, then A is generalized low

Proof Assume A is Σ -random then A is M - L random relative to ϕ' and so to Ω . Since Ω is M - L random so $A \oplus \Omega$ is M - L random, therefore

Ω is M - L random relative to A

Since Ω is Δ_2^0 so A is generalized low \square