

Let  $M$  be c.t.m. for  $\mathcal{L}$ . Let  $P \in M$  be forcing poset. Let  $\varphi(\vec{c})$  be a sentence of  $\mathcal{L}_{IP} \cap M$  and let  $G$  be  $P$ -generic over  $M$ . Then

$$M[G] \models \varphi(\vec{c}_G) \Leftrightarrow \exists p \in G (p \Vdash \varphi(\vec{c}))$$

Proof We prove by induction on  $\varphi$  that  $\Leftrightarrow$  holds

1. For atomic  $\varphi(\vec{c})$ , we prove by induction on the well-founded relation of  $(\tau_1, \theta_1, \neq) \triangleleft (\tau_2, \theta_2, \neq)$

1.1. For  $\tau = \theta$ .

1.1.1 we show  $M[G] \models \tau_a = \theta_a \Leftrightarrow \exists p \in G (p \Vdash \tau = \theta)$

Assume to contradiction that  $\forall p \in G \ p \nVdash \tau = \theta$ .

Initially, consider the set  $D' = \{ p \in P \mid p \Vdash \tau = \theta \vee p \Vdash \tau \neq \theta \}$

Then  $D'$  is dense, therefore  $G \cap D' \neq \emptyset$  take  $p \in G \cap D'$ ,

since by assumption  $p \nVdash \tau = \theta$ , then  $p \Vdash \tau \neq \theta$ . But we can't reach

$M[G] \models \tau_a \neq \theta_a$  by induction

But consider the set

$$D = \left\{ p \in P \mid p \Vdash \tau = \theta \vee \exists \dot{p} \text{ witness some weaker condition doesn't force } \tau = \theta \right\}$$

$$= \left\{ p \in P \mid p \Vdash \tau = \theta \vee \exists \delta \in \text{dom } \tau \cup \text{dom } \theta \left[ (p \Vdash \delta \in \tau \wedge p \Vdash \delta \neq \theta) \vee (p \Vdash \delta \in \tau \wedge p \Vdash \delta \in \theta) \right] \right\}$$

Clearly  $D$  is dense as  $D'$  is

So let  $p \in D \cap G$  and since  $p \nVdash \tau = \theta$ , we have some  $\delta \in \text{dom } \tau \cup \text{dom } \theta$

and we may assume  $p \Vdash \delta \in \tau$  and  $p \Vdash \delta \neq \theta$  (the other case is similar)

Now, by induction hypothesis,  $M[G] \models \delta_a \in \tau_a$

and  $M[G] \models \delta_a \neq \theta_a$  [for if  $M[G] \models \delta_a \in \theta_a$ , then there is  $q \in G$  s.t.  $q \Vdash \delta \in \theta$ .

then there is  $r \leq q, r \leq p$  s.t.  $r \Vdash \delta \in \theta, \neg \exists p \Vdash \delta \in \theta \Leftrightarrow \forall r \leq p \ r \nVdash \delta \in \theta$ ]

Therefore  $M[G] \models \tau_a \neq \theta_a \ \neg \exists!$

1.1.2 Assume there is  $p \in G$  s.t.  $p \Vdash \tau = \theta$ , show  $M[G] \models \tau_a = \theta_a$

We show  $\tau_a \subseteq \theta_a$ , the other direction is similar.

take and  $x \in \tau_a$  But definition, there is  $(\delta, r) \in \tau$  s.t.  $r \in G$  and  $x = \delta_a$

By IH,  $\delta_a \in \tau_a$  implies there is  $p_0 \in G$  s.t.  $p_0 \Vdash \delta \in \tau$

Take  $q \leq p, p_0$ , and by the definition of  $p \Vdash \tau = \theta$ ,  $q \Vdash \delta \in \theta$   
and  $q \in G$

By IH,  $\delta_a \in \theta_a$  Thus  $\tau_a \subseteq \theta_a$

1.2 For  $\neg \tau \in \tau$

Exercise For each atomic formula  $\varphi$   
 $\{ p \in P \mid p \Vdash \varphi \vee p \Vdash \neg \varphi \}$  is dense  
 Hint:  $p \Vdash \varphi \Leftrightarrow \forall q \leq p (q \nVdash \neg \varphi)$

By IH,  $\Delta_a \in \mathcal{U}_a$  Thus  $T_a \in \mathcal{U}_a$

### 1.2 For $\pi \in \mathcal{T}$

1.2.1 We show  $M(\mathcal{U}) \models \pi_a \in T_a \Rightarrow \exists p \in G$   $p \Vdash \pi \in \mathcal{T}$

Again, assume to contradiction that  $\forall p \in G$   $p \nVdash \pi \in \mathcal{T}$

Intuitively,  $D' = \{ p \in \mathbb{P} \mid p \Vdash \pi \in \mathcal{T} \vee p \nVdash \pi \in \mathcal{T} \}$  is dense, but still it doesn't work

Let  $D = \{ p \in \mathbb{P} \mid p \Vdash \pi \in \mathcal{T} \vee$  p satisfies some weaker condition doesn't force  $\pi \in \mathcal{T} \}$

$= \{ p \in \mathbb{P} \mid p \Vdash \pi \in \mathcal{T} \vee \forall q \leq p \exists (s, r) \in \mathcal{T} (q \leq r \rightarrow q \nVdash \pi = s) \}$

Clearly  $D$  is dense, so let  $p \in G \cap D$ , so we have

$\forall (s, r) \in \mathcal{T} \exists q \leq p, r$   $q \nVdash \pi = s$

Take any  $x \in T_a$ , there is some  $(s, r) \in \mathcal{T}$  s.t.  $\Delta_a = x$  and  $r \in G$

Let  $q_0 \leq p, r$  and  $q_0 \in G$ , then  $\forall q \leq q_0$   $q \nVdash \pi = s$  i.e.  $q_0 \Vdash \pi \neq s$

By IH,  $M(\mathcal{U}) \models x = \Delta_a \neq \pi_a$  so  $M(\mathcal{U}) \models \pi_a \in T_a \mapsto \perp$

1.2.2 To show  $\exists p \in G$   $p \Vdash \pi \in \mathcal{T} \Rightarrow M(\mathcal{U}) \models \pi_a \in T_a$

By definition  $E = \{ q \in \mathbb{P} \mid \exists (s, r) \in \mathcal{T} (q \leq r \wedge q \Vdash \pi = s) \}$  is dense below  $p$

and since  $p \in G$ ,  $E \cap G \neq \emptyset$ , take  $q$  and  $(s, r) \in \mathcal{T}$  s.t.  $q \leq r$  and  $q \Vdash \pi = s$

then  $r \in G$  so  $\Delta_a \in T_a$ , and by IH,  $\pi_a = \Delta_a$ , so  $\pi_a \in T_a$

### 1.3 For $\neg \psi$

1.3.1 To show  $M(\mathcal{U}) \models \neg \psi \Rightarrow \exists p \in G$   $p \Vdash \neg \psi$

Assume  $M(\mathcal{U}) \not\models \neg \psi$ , by IH  $\forall p \in G$   $p \nVdash \neg \psi$

Assume to contradiction that  $\forall p \in G$   $p \nVdash \neg \psi$

Let  $D = \{ p \in \mathbb{P} \mid p \Vdash \neg \psi \vee p \nVdash \neg \psi \}$ , then  $D$  is dense

so there is  $p \in D \cap G \mapsto \perp$

1.3.2 To show  $\exists p \in G$   $p \Vdash \neg \psi \Rightarrow M(\mathcal{U}) \models \neg \psi$

Assume to contradiction that  $M(\mathcal{U}) \not\models \neg \psi$ . By IH,  $\exists p' \in G$   $p' \Vdash \psi$

take  $r \leq p, p'$  then contradict with  $p \Vdash \neg \psi$

### 1.4 $\varphi \wedge \psi$ is trivial

### 1.5 For $\forall x \varphi(x)$

1.5.1 To show  $M(\mathcal{U}) \models \forall x \varphi(x) \Rightarrow \exists p \in G$   $p \Vdash \forall x \varphi(x)$

Assume to contradiction that  $\forall p \in G$   $p \nVdash \forall x \varphi(x)$

(consider  $D = \{ p \in P \mid p \Vdash \forall x \varphi(x) \vee \exists z \in M^p \ p \Vdash \neg \varphi(z) \}$ )

Then  $D$  is dense. Let  $p \in D \cap G$ , since  $p \Vdash \forall x \varphi(x)$ .

there is  $\tau \in M^p$  s.t.  $p \Vdash \neg \varphi(\tau)$  by ZH.  $m[G] \models \neg \varphi(\tau_a) \ \tau_a \in G$

l.f.2 To show  $\exists p \in G \ p \Vdash \forall x \varphi(x) \Rightarrow m[G] \models \forall x \varphi(x)$

$\forall x \in m[G], x = \tau_a$  for some  $\tau \in M^p$ . but then  $p \Vdash \varphi(\tau)$

so  $m[G] \models \varphi(\tau_a)$  by ZH. □